



CNR - MH50050008662026

IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, PANDHARPUR
Order Below Exh.1 in Criminal Bail Application No. 439/2026

Suraj Abhiman Shinde & Ors. ... Applicants/accused

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent/State
(Through Police Station Officer
Karkamb Police Station
vide C.R. No. 183/2026)

Appearance

Mr. D. T. Randive, Ld. Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. S. S. Dulange, Ld. APP for the Respondent / State.

(ORAL ORDER)
(Dictated and pronounced in the open Court)

This is an application filed by the applicants/accused for grant of anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in connection with C.R.No. 186/2026 registered with Karkamb police station for the offence punishable under section 119(1), 115(2), 351(2), 352, 189(2), 190, 191(2) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

02. Applicants/accused submitted that they are innocent and

have no concern with the offence. They have been falsely implicated in this crime. There is nothing to be recovered or discovered from the present applicants. Applicant apprehends that, they will be arrested. If they released on bail, they will not tamper with the prosecution evidence. They have no criminal antecedent. The applicants/accused are willing and ready to abide all the terms and conditions imposed by the Court. Applicant No. 1 filed cases under Atrocities Act and demand of ransom amount against complainant and others and in order to give counter blow, false crime has been registered against them. Hence, they prayed for releasing them on anticipatory bail.

03. Learned APP submitted reply at Exh. 7 and resisted the application on the ground that offence is serious in nature and it is punishable up to 5 years imprisonment. Investigation is going on. Accused No. 1 to 5 are still absconding from the date of offence. The accused persons removed cash of Rs. 50,000/- from pocket of pant of informant. Said amount is yet to be recovered. For seizure of cash and vehicle used in crime, custody of accused is necessary. Accused may flee away, if bail is granted to them. Learned APP prayed for rejection of anticipatory bail application.

04. The I.O. filed say vide Exh. 8. It is contended that, investigation is in progress. It is alleged in FIR that, accused No. 1 removed cash of Rs. 50,000/- from pocket of informant. Accused will not produce said muddemal if bail is granted to them. The tipper used in the crime is yet to be recovered. Lastly I.O. prayed for rejection of application.

05. The informant also filed his say at Exh. 9 and resisted application on the ground that, the accused may put pressure on them for withdrawing the complaint. They will also file false crime against them. There is possibility of repetition of crime. Lastly informant prayed for rejection of application.

06. Heard Ld. Adv. Shri. Randive for applicants/accused and Ld. APP Shri. Dulange for state, at length.

07. Perused contents of application and say filed by APP and I.O. By this application the applicants prayed for grant of application. The names of the applicants are mentioned in FIR as accused Nos. 2, 3 and 5. As per FIR, incident occurred on 11.06.2026 but the FIR lodged on 12.06.2026. There is delay of one day in lodging the report, which is not explained in FIR. The allegations in FIR are that, accused Suraj Shinde and Abhiman Shinde got down from Tipper. The accused No. 3 Samadhan assaulted informant by fist and kicks blows. The accused Akash Shinde removed cash of Rs. 50,000/- from pocket of pant of informant. Thus, the role attributed to the applicants/accused is to the extent of abuses and assault by fist blows to the complainant. The allegation of removing cash of Rs. 50,000/- from the pocket of informant is against the accused Akash Shinde. The role of applicants/accused is very limited. They have not used any weapon. Nothing is to be seized or recovered at the instance of applicants /accused persons. Therefore, custodial interrogation of applicants is not required. Hence, they can be

granted anticipatory bail.

08. In present case, the report of I.O. shows that, the I.O. has recorded the statements of witnesses. Also drawn spot panchnama. Nothing remained to be seized or recovered at the instance of applicants. In my view, custodial interrogation of applicants is not required. Therefore, the applicants are entitled for grant of anticipatory bail.

09. In present case, the applicants/accused persons are ready to cooperate investigation machinery. They are not having any criminal antecedent. Therefore, by imposing conditions they can be granted anticipatory bail. Moreover the alleged offence is punishable up to imprisonment for five years. Informant if already discharged from hospital. So far as applicants/accused are concerned, practically investigation of this crime has been completed. Therefore, anticipatory bail can be granted to the accused persons.

10. Considering the nature of offence and role played by applicants/accused in the crime, in my view applicants are entitled for grant of anticipatory bail. Hence application deserves to be allowed. Hence, I proceed to pass following order :

ORDER

- 1 Criminal Bail Application No. 439/2026 is allowed.
- 2 Applicants/accused namely 1) Suraj Abhiman Shinde, 2) Abhiman Digambar Shinde and 3) Samadhan Dagadu Chandanshive, all resident of Karkamb, Tal. Pandharpur, Dist. Solapur are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing P. B. of Rs. 25,000/-

each with one surety of like amount each in the event of their arrest in connection with C.R.No. 186/2026 registered with Karkamb police station for the offence punishable under sections 119(1), 115(2), 351(2), 352, 189(2), 190, 191(2) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, on following conditions :-

- a) Applicants/accused and their sureties shall provide their respective residential addresses, mobile numbers and email addresses, if any to investigation officer. Applicants/accused shall intimate any such change in address or telephone number and Email ID forthwith.
 - b) Applicants/accused shall not, directly; or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the accusation against them so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.
 - c) Applicants/accused shall not leave India without the previous permission of this Court.
 - d) Applicants/accused shall attend the concerned police station once in a week i.e. on every Sunday in between 11.00 a.m. to 1.00 p.m. till filing of charge-sheet.
 - e) Applicants/accused shall not tamper with the prosecution witnesses and evidence in any manner.
- 3 Breach of any conditions by applicants/accused shall result in cancellation of anticipatory bail.
- 4 Anticipatory Bail Application No. 439 of 2026 is disposed off accordingly.

(A. S. Salgar)

Date : 20.07.2026.

Additional Sessions Judge, Pandharpur

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that, the contents of this PDF file are same word to word as per the original.

01. Name of the Stenographer - Santosh Vilas Ghongade.
02. Name of the Court - Court of Dist. Judge 03,
Pandhapur.
03. Order/judgment signed by P.O. - 20.07.2026.
on
04. Order /Judgment uploaded on - 20.07.2026.