

**IN THE COURT OF THE ADDL.SESIONS JUDGE II,
ALAPPUZHA**

Present: Smt. Bharathi.S., Addl. Sessions Judge II

Monday the 11th day of August, 2025

Crl.M.P.No. 1958/2025 in SC.No.131/2020 (Filed on.11.08.2025)
(Crime No.658/2019 of Kareelakulangara Police Station)

Petitioner/Accused No.3 :- Praveen, aged 28 years,
S/o. Prasannan
Vilayikkakathu Veedu, Kandallloor South
Muri, Kandallloor Village.

(By Adv.Sri.S.Sunilkumar)

Cr. Petitioner :- State of Kerala, represented by the Addl.
Public Prosecutor, Alappuzha.

This bail application having coming on for consideration on 11.08.2025 and the court on the same day passed the following:-

ORDER

1. This is a bail application filed on behalf of the third accused. Offence alleged against the accused is punishable u/Ss. 143, 147, 148, 323, 324 and 307 r/wS.149 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.
2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is the following: On 05.05.2019 at 6 p.m, accused nos.1 to 10 formed themselves into an unlawful assembly armed with deadly weapons with a common object to commit murder of CWs 1 and 2 near to Pullukulangara Service Co-

operative Bank in Pathiyoor Panchayath, first accused pushed down CW1 Amal S Babu, second accused beat on the head of CW1 with iron rod, third accused slashed him with a sword, fourth accused beat him with a reaper and when CW2 Akshay tried to prevent, fifth accused yelled to kill him and slashed him with sword on his head. When CW2 fell down, second accused beat him with iron rod on his head, seventh accused beat him with sword and when CW1 tried to prevent, eighth accused kicked him down and beat with a reaper, ninth accused beat again on the head of CW2 with iron rod and accused nos.2 and 10 kicked CW2 when he fell down. Thus the accused are alleged to have committed offence punishable under the above provisions of law.

3. Third accused was on bail in this case. But, thereafter he absconded. Hence his bail bond was cancelled. Several non-bailable warrants were issued against him which were returned as unexecuted. He surrendered today.
4. Heard both sides and perused records.
5. The reason stated by the third accused for not appearing in the case is that he was not available in the locality in connection with his job,

which appears to be not satisfactory. On going through the records, I am not satisfied that presence of this accused would be obtained for bail in case of granting bail to him. No other sufficient reasons seen to grant bail to this accused. Hence this bail application is dismissed.

6. In the result, bail application stands dismissed.

Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed and typed by her, corrected by me and pronounced in open court on this the 11th day of August, 2025.

Sd/-
BHARATHI S.
ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-II

APPENDIX:- NIL

Id/-
ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-II