

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE - I, ALAPPUZHA
Present: Sri.Roy Varghese, Special Judge/Addl. Sessions Judge-I
Friday the 27th day of March, 2026.

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION No. 2/2026 IN SC 294/26
(Crime No. 11/2026 of Aroor Police Station)

Petitioner/Accused:- : Prabhajith V. @ Chanthu, aged 26 years, S/o Vijayan.K.A, Vijayamandiram, Kumbalam P.O., Ernakulam. Now residing at Padmaprabha House, Arookutty P.O., Alappuzha.

(By Adv. Sam Isaac Pothiyil & Adv. S. Suraja)

Complainant:- : 1. State of Kerala represented by Public Prosecutor, District Court, Alappuzha.
2. Station House Officer, Aroor Police Station, Alappuzha.

(Rep. by Public Prosecutor)

This petition having been heard on 27-03-2026 and the court on the same day passed the following:

O R D E R

This is an application for regular bail filed by the accused u/S. 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) 2023, in Crime No. 11/2026 of Aroor Police Station charged with offences punishable u/S. 20(b)(ii)B of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 and pending inquiry in S.C. No. 294/2026.

2). The prosecution case is that on 08.01.2026 at about 09:30 AM, the accused was found in possession of 36.16 grams of Nitrozepam tablets as carried in a bag at the Reception area of the Aroor Police

Station, as intended for illegal sale in contravention of the provisions of the NDPS Act, and intercepted by the Sub Inspector of Aroor Police Station, thereby he is alleged to have committed the aforementioned offence. The petitioner/ accused was arrested on 08.01.2026, produced before the jurisdictional Court and remanded.

3). By this petition the accused seeking bail, submitting that he is innocent of the allegations leveled against him and it would be revealed on trial. In fact, the mandatory procedures under Ss. 42, 50 & 57 of the NDPS Act not complied and this petitioner was implicated without completing all the legal formalities. It is also undertaken that he is willing to abide by any conditions stipulated.

4). The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the bail application and submitted that the petitioner/accused is charged with offences serious in nature. The act done by him is a social menace. There is possibility of influencing the witnesses and tamper with evidence if he is let on bail. Petitioner having criminal antecedents. Hence bail shall not be granted to him. A Report filed.

5). Heard both sides and perused the records. Investigation completed and Final Report filed. The trial of the case is yet to begun with. However, the petitioner is alleged to have carried Nitrozepam tablets even at the Police Station premises. The possible conviction, if the petitioner is found guilty, would be

serious as the offences charged against him are grave and serious in nature. Hence, there is every probability that he may abscond from the process of the court.

6). The petitioner having criminal antecedents as involved in 11 criminal cases in which 4 crimes of similar nature. The apprehensions raised by the prosecution are just and reasonable as the petitioner may repeat the offences if he is let on bail. Taking into consideration the over all circumstances, the petitioner is not eligible to be extended with the benefits envisaged u/s.483 of BNSS at this stage.

In the result, this bail application is dismissed.

(Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, typewritten by him in the computer, corrected and pronounced in the Open Court by me on the 27th day of March, 2026).

Sd/-
ROY VARGHESE,
ADDL.SESIONS JUDGE-I.

Fair copy of Order in
Crl.M.P.No. 2/2026 in
S.C. 294/2026 Dated:27.03.2026