



**IN THE COURT OF IInd ADDL.DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, YADGIR,
SITTING AT SHORAPUR.**

:PRESENT:

**Sri. Yamanappa Bammanagi, B.A.LL.B (Spl.)
IInd Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Yadgir,
sitting at Shorapur.**

DATED THIS THE 10TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026.

**S.C. NO.5060/2025
(OLD S.C. NO.65/2023)**

Complainant: The State of through Shorapur P.S.
(By Sri. Public Prosecutor)

//Versus//

- ACCUSED:**
1. Sanjeevappa S/o Srinivas Desai,
Age: 25 Years, Occ:Agriculture,
R/o Devar Gonal, Tq: Shorapur.
 2. Satyamurti S/o Srinivas Desai,
Age: 23 Years, Occ: Agri,
R/o Devar Gonal, Tq: Shorapur.
 3. Tipparaj S/o Srinivas Desai,
Age: 19 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o Devar Gonal, Tq: Shorapur.
 4. Srinivas S/o Sanjeevappa Desai,
Age: 60 Years, Occ:Agri,
R/o Devar Gonal, Tq: Shorapur.

(By Sri.S.S.P. Adv.,)



- 1) Date of offence : 14.11.2022
- 2) Date of report of offence : 14.11.2022
- 3) Name of the complainant : Sri. Honnayya
- 4) Commencement of trial : 30.08.2025.
- 5) Closing of trial : 26.02.2026
- 6) Offences complained of : P/U/Sec.323, 324,
447, 307, 504,
506 R/w 34 of IPC.
- 7) Opinion of the Judge : Accused No.1 to 4
are found not guilty,
hence acquitted.

JUDGMENT

The PSI Shorapur PS, has filed charge sheet against accused No.1 to 4 for the offence P/U/Sec.323, 324, 447, 307, 504,506 R/w 34 of IPC.

2. Brief facts of the prosecution case:

On 15.11.2022, the complainant lodged the complaint stating that, 13.11.2022 at 03.00 pm, he gone to the land adjacent to the land of accused persons, at that time, accused persons are grazing the cattle in the bund of the land at that time, the dogs belongs to the complainant and accused persons started to fitting each other and bit each other, on account of



which, there was scuffle between the complainant and accused No.1 and 2, such being the facts, on 14.11.2022 at 08.00 pm, when complainant and his parents, and his elder brother Shankrelinga, his younger brother Ayyanna, his elder sister Manamma and wife of his elder sister were sitting in front of their house, situated in their land, at that time, accused persons came and entered into their land by holding knife and stones in their hands and abused in filthy language to Shankrelinga, at that time, said Shankrelinga asked the accused persons as to why you are abusing in connection with fighting of dogs as they are animals we are not responsible for that, at that time, accused No.1 assaulted on Shankrelinga with knife on his left side forehead, and he stabbed on his left eye with knife, accused No.2 assaulted on Shankrelinga with stick on his left knee, at that time, the complainant gone to rescue his elder brother Shankreling, at that time, accused No.3 assaulted on



back of complainant with knife and accused No.4 assaulted with stick on the complainant right hands finger, at that time complainant's mother Manamma came to rescued, at that time, accused assaulted with stone on her stomach and accused No.1 assaulted on her with stick, at that time, the complainant family members and neighbor came and rescued them from the hands of accused persons, thereafter the accused persons gave life threat to the complainant and his family members, hence they lodged the complaint against accused persons, after investigation IO has submitted charge sheet against accused persons.

3. After hearing the learned counsel for the accused persons and on perusal of entire charge sheet, as their is sufficient material to proceed against accused persons, hence the accused persons were not discharged under Sec.227 of Cr.P.C, framed charges for the offences P/U/Sec.143, 147, 323, 324, 307, 498(A),



504, 506 R/w 34 of IPC, as required U/Sec.228 of

Cr.P.C. which are as follows;

1. That, on 14.11.2022, at 08.00 p.m. When CW1, his patients, his elder brother, younger brother, elder sister, wife of his elder brother Renuka were sitting in front of their house, situated in their land, you accused No.1 to 4 entered into their land, with common intention to commit offence, and thereby you accused No.1 to 4 have committed offence of Criminal trespass P/U/Sec.447 R/w Sec.34 of IPC, within the cognizance of this Court.

2. That on the above said date, time and place, after trespassing into their land, with common intention to commit offence, with holding knife, stone and sticks, you accused No. 1 to 4 abused in filthy language to CW1 and his family members, who were sitting in front of their house, at that time elder brother of CW1 Shankar asked you as to why you are abusing, at that time you accused No.1 holding knife, with a intention to commit murder of CW1 and his family member as Dog belongs to CW1 has attacked on your Dog, at that time said Shankar told you accused No.1 to 4 that they are animals, they attacked on each other, but you accused No 1 to 4 did not heed and you accused No.1 holding knife in your hand and assaulted on left forehead and below left eye of said Shankar and caused bleeding injuries, you accused No.2 holding stick and assaulted on left knee of said Shankars, When CW1 went to rescue the Shankar, at that time you accused No.3 tried to assaulted with knife, CW1 resisted



accused No.3, at that time the knife came in contact with back of CW1 and caused injuries with knife, and you accused No.4 assaulted on stomach with stone and stick on back, at that time the father of CW1, his younger brother, and his elder sister and others rescued CW1 from your hands, and thereby you accused No.1 to 4 have assaulted on CW1 and his family member with common intention to commit murder of CW1, and thereby you accused No.1 to 4 committed offence of attempt to murder P/U/Sec. 307 R/w Sec.34 of IPC, within the cognizance of this Court.

3. That, on the above said date, time and place, you accused No.1 to 4 came to the land of CW1, with a common intention to assault CW1 and his family members and assaulted on CW1 with knife and assaulted with hands to his family members and caused injuries on them, and thereby you accused No.1 to 4 committed offence P/U/Sec. 324 R/w Sec.34 of IPC, within the cognizance of this Court.

4. That, on the above said date, time and place, after trespassing into the land of CW1, you accused NO.1 to 4 have assaulted on CW1 and his family member with common intention, and thereby you accused NO.1 to 4 have committed offence P/U/Sec. 323 R/w Sec.34 of IPC, within the cognizance of this Court.

5. That, on the above said date, time and place, after trespassing into the land of CW1, you accused No.1 abused in filthy language to elder brother of CW1 stating that "ಎನಲೇ ಸೂಳೆ ಮಗನೆ ನಿನ್ನೆ



ನವು ನಾಯಿಗೆ ನಿವು ನಾಯಿಂದ ಚೂ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಕಡಿಪುತ್ತಿರೇನಲೇ
ಸೂಳೆ ಮಕ್ಕಳೆ " with common intention toinsult them,
and thereby you accused No. 1 to 4 have
committed offence P/U/Sec. 504 R/w Sec.34 of
IPC, within the cognizance of this Court.

6. That, on the above said date, time and place,
after trespassing into the land of CW1, you
accused No.1 to 4, after trespassing into the land
of CW1, with common intention, abused in filthy
language to CW1 and his family members, and
assaulted with knife, stick and stone and gave a
life threat that if they again come in the way, you
will finish them and thereby you accused No.1 to
4 have committed offence P/U/ Sec. 506 R/w
Sec. 34 of IPC, within the cognizance of this
Court.

4. Charges read over and explained in the
language known to the accused persons, they denied
and claimed to be tried, the accused persons not plead
guilty, so, the accused persons were not convicted
U/Sec.229 of Cr.P.C. hence, fixed the date for recording
of evidence of the prosecution witnesses, prosecution
has examined as many as 09 witnesses as PW1 To 9,
and got marked Ex.P1 to 16, recorded statements of
accused U/sec.313 of Cr.P.C. Thereafter accused No.1



to 4 have called upon to lead their defence evidence, accused No.1 to 4 submitted that, they have no defense evidence.

5. Heard argument of the Ld PP and argument of Ld counsel for the accused persons.

6. I have perusal the oral and documentary evidence, material placed before the court by the prosecution and considered the argument of learned PP, and learned counsel for the accused persons, on perusal of the same, the points that would arise for my consideration are as follows:-

POINT NO.1: Whether prosecution proves beyond all reasonable doubt that, on 14.11.2022, at 08.00 p.m. when CW1, his parents, his elder brother, younger brother, elder sister, wife of his elder brother Renuka were sitting in front of their house, situated in their land, the accused No.1 to 4 entered into their land, with common intention to commit offence, and thereby you accused No.1 to 4 have committed offence of Criminal trespass



and accused No.1 to 4 have committed offence P/U/Sec.447 R/w Sec.34 of IPC ?

POINT NO.2: Whether prosecution proves beyond all reasonable doubt, that after trespassing into their land, with common intention to commit offence, with holding knife, stone and sticks, accused No. 1 to 4 abused in filthy language to CW1 and his family members, who were sitting in front of their house, accused No.1 holding knife in his hand and assaulted on left forehead and below left eye of Shankar and caused bleeding injuries, accused No.2 holding stick and assaulted on left knee of Shankar, CW1 went to rescue the Shankar, and accused No.4 assaulted on stomach of complainant's mother with stone and stick, accused No.1 to 4 have assaulted on CW1 and his family member with common intention to commit murder of CW1 and his brother Shankrelinga, and accused No.1 to 4 committed offence of attempt to commit murder and accused No.1 to 4 have committed offence



punishable U/Sec. 307 R/w Sec. 34 of
IPC ?

POINT NO.3: Whether prosecution proves beyond all reasonable doubt, accused No.1 to 4 came to the land of CW1, with a common intention to assault CW1 and his family members and assaulted on CW1 with knife and assaulted with hands to his family members and caused injuries on them, and accused No.1 to 4 have committed offence punishable U/Sec. 324 R/w Sec. 34 of IPC ?

POINT NO.4: Whether prosecution proves beyond all reasonable doubt, after trespassing into the land of CW1, accused NO.1 to 4 have assaulted on CW1 and his family member with common intention, and accused No.1 to 4 have committed offence punishable U/Sec. 323 R/w Sec. 34 of IPC ?

POINT NO.5: Whether prosecution proves beyond all reasonable doubt that, after



trespassing into the land of CW1, accused No.1 abused in filthy language to elder brother of CW1 stating that "ಏನಲೇ ಸೂಳೆ ಮಗನೆ ನಿನ್ನೆ ನಮ್ಮ ನಾಯಿಗೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ನಾಯಿಂದ ಚೂ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಕಡಿಸುತ್ತಿರೇನಲೇ ಸೂಳೆ ಮಕ್ಕಳೆ " with common intention to insult them and accused No.1 to 4 have committed offence P/U/Sec.504 R/w 34 of IPC?

POINT NO.6: Whether prosecution proves beyond all reasonable doubt that, after trespassing into the land of CW1, after assault with knife, stick and stone and gave a life threat that if they again come in the way, you will finish them and accused No.1 to 4 have committed offence P/U/Sec.506 R/w 34 of IPC?

POINT NO.7: What order ?

7. My answer to the above points are as follows;

Point No.1 : **in the Negative.**

Point No.2 : **in the Negative.**

Point No.3 : **in the Negative.**

Point No.4 : **in the Negative.**

Point No.5 : **in the Negative.**



Point No.6 : **in the Negative.**

Point No.7 : **As per the final order
for the following;**

REASONS

8. POINT NO.1 to 6 : These points are inter connected to each other, in order to avoid repetition facts and evidence, these points are taken for common discussion. In order to prove its case the prosecution has examined PW1 to 9, and got marked Ex.P1 to 16 and the CW-1 Honnayya as PW1, CW-3 Baladandappa as PW2, CW-4 Shankaralinga as PW3, CW-5 Manappa as PW4, CW-6 Ayyanna as PW5, CW-8 Manamma as PW6, CW-10 Renuka as PW7, CW-13 Dr.Sumnath as PW8, CW-15 Krishna Subedar as PW9, and got marked Ex.P1 to 16, Ex.P1 is the complaint, Ex.P2 to 4 are photos, Ex.P5 is Spot sketch map, Ex.P6 is the statement of PW3, Ex.P7 is the statement of PW4, Ex.P8 is the statement of PW5, Ex.P9 is the statement of PW6, Ex.P10 is the statement of PW7, Ex.P11 & 12 are wound certificates, Ex.P13 is FIR, Ex.P14 is Letter



issued by the GESCOM, Ex.P15 is sketch map and Ex.P16 is ROR.

9. PW1 who is complainant and eye witnesses to the incident, he deposed that, CW.4 is his elder brother, CW.5 is his father, CW.6 is his younger brother, CW.8 is his mother, CW.9 is elder sister, CW.10 is wife of his elder brother, he knows accused persons, they residing in their land situated within the limits of Devaragonal village, about 2 years 9 months back accused No.2 and 3 had come to their land near bund for grazing oxen, there were two dogs fighting each other one belongs to complainants and another one belongs to accused persons, at that time, accused No.2 and 3 abused in filthy language to CW.4, on the next day at 08.00 pm accused persons came to their house and accused No.1 assaulted with knife on complainant's elder brother, he assaulted with knife on left temple part and accused No.2 assaulted on complainant's elder brother with stick on right knee, on hearing the hue and



cry he came out from the house and went to rescue his elder brother, at that time accused No.3 came with knife and attempted to stab on him, but he resisted, at that time said knife came in contact with on his back and caused injury, accused No.4 came and assaulted with stick on his right hand and thumb and finger and an account of which he sustained inner pain to his finger, at that time, CW.8 had come to rescue him, and one diesel desai of his village had come and assaulted with stone on stomach complainant's mother CW.8, meanwhile CW.3, 5, 6, 7 had come and pacified the quarrel, and thereafter accused persons left by giving life threat.

10. Further he deposed that, himself and CW.4 with CW.5 and 6 went to Govt. Hospital Shorapur and got admitted in the hospital, from their he went to Shorapur PS and lodged the complaint against the accused persons, further he deposed that, after 3-4 days of incident police had come to his house and



conducted spot panchanama in front of his house, at that time, police took photos of panchanama.

11. PW2 deposed that, about 2 years 9 months back, police conducted spot panchanama in front of house of CW.4 in his presence and took the photo, he put his thumb mark on panchanama, in the said panchanama police have seized 2 knives, 3 sticks and one stone, said panchanama was conducted by police in his presence and in the presence of CW.2.

12. PW3 deposed that, CW.1 is his younger brother, CW.5 and 8 are his parents, CW.6 is his younger brother, he knows CW.9 and 10, he knows accused persons who are present before the court, he owned house situated in his land, about 3 years back he sustained injury due to fall on his own when he was grazing cattle in his land, on account of which, he went to Govt Hospital for treatment, except this he does not know anything, he has not given any statement before the police about the incident in question.



13. PW4 deposed that, CW.1, 4, 6, 9 are his children, CW.8 is his wife, he know accused persons who are present before the court, he owned house situated in his land, he do not know anything about the incident and he has not given any statement to the police about the incident in question.

14. PW5 deposed that, CW.1, 4 are his elder brothers, CW.5 and 8 are his parents, CW.9 is his elder sister, he know accused persons who are present before the court, he owned house situated in his land, he do not know anything about the incident and he has not any statement given to the police about the incident in question.

15. PW6 deposed that, CW.1, 4, 9 are her children, CW.5 is her husband, CW.9 is her daughter in law, she know accused No.1 to 4, she do not know anything about the incident and she has not given any statement before the police about the incident in question.



16. PW7 deposed that CW.1, 4 are younger brother of her husband, CW.5 and 8 are in laws, she know accused No.1 to 4, he do not know anything about the incident and she has not given any statement before the police about the incident in question.

17. PW8 deposed that, on 14.11.2022 at 09.20 pm when he was on duty in the hospital the injured by name Shankarlinga and Honnayya came to his hospital with a history of assault, he examined patient by name Shankaralinga on 14.11.2022 as out door patient, on examination he found 2 incised wound, 3x1x0.5cm found on lateral wall of left eye, another incised wound in front of left ear measuring 4x1x0.5 cm, the above said injuries are simple in nature, the said injuries caused if a persons stabbed with knife.

18. Further he deposed that, on the same day he examined Honnayya, on examination he found linear abrasion over back measuring 8x0.2cm, said injuries is simple in nature, said injuries caused if a persons



stabbed with knife, he has issued wound certificates in respect of both patients.

19. PW9 deposed that, on 16.06.2022 he took further investigation from CW.14, CW.14 registered FIR in Cr.No.154/2022 of Shorapur PS, on the same day he conducted spot panchanama in the presence of CW.2 and 3, spot shown by CW.1, panchanama conducted from 09.00 am to 10.00 am, he seized 2 knife, 3 sticks and one stone from the spot, he took the photo of Panchanama, he recorded the further statement of CW.1 and statement of CW.5 to 10, on 20.11.2022 he has recorded statement of CW.1, on 22.11.2022 he received wound certificate of CW.1 and 4 from doctor, on 05.12.2022 he received certificate from GESCOM, on 17.12.2022 he arrested accused No.1 and produced before the court, on 29.12.2022 he received spot sketch map from PWD Shorapur, on the same day he received record of rights of land Sy.No.239/3, after completion



investigation he submitted charge sheet before the Court against accused on 19.02.2023.

20. On careful scrutiny of oral and documentary evidence led by the prosecution, it is clear that, PW1 complainant and PW2 panch witness, PW8 doctor, PW9 IO have supported the prosecution case. PW-1 deposed that, on the date of incident in the land the dogs belongs to him, and another belongs to accused persons are fighting each other and they bit each other on account of which, there was a scuffle between complainant and accused No.2 and 3, such being the facts on the next day when complainant and his family members were sitting in front of their house the accused persons came to their house and assaulted on complainant, on his elder brother Shankrelinga and his family member with stick, stone and knife.

21. PW2 is panch witness he deposed that, police called upon him to act as a panch at that time, and police have conducted spot panchanama in front of



house of complainant in his presence and in the presence of CW2 and seized knife, stick and stone and took the photo.

22. I have gone through the cross of PW1 dated 03.01.2026 which reads thus;

On hearing the hue and cry I came out from hut, by that time already incident took place and I have not seen the incident. It is true that I cannot say who assaulted on my back from my back side. MO.1 to 5 were found in our hut, I handed over the same to the police. It is false to suggest that no incident took place as deposed by me.

23. As per the prosecution case PW1 is eye witness and injured witness and he deposed in the chief-examination extracted supra about the incident. But, in the cross-examination extracted supra he deposed that, by the time he came out from his house already incident took place and he has not seen the incident, on account of which, he can not see who assaulted on his back from back side, this evidence falsifies the evidence of PW1 deposed in his chief-



examination about the incident, the fact elicited in the cross of PW1 extracted supra creates doubts about the incident in question. So, PW1 specifically admitted in the cross-examination that, he has not seen the incident which took place in front of his house as per prosecution case. Under such circumstances the evidence of PW1 in respect of incident is not reliable and is not credible one.

24. That apart, according to prosecution PW3 and 5 are the injured witnesses, PW3 Shankreling is main injured witnesses as per prosecution case, it is specific case of the prosecution that, accused persons have assaulted on PW3 with stick, stone and knife with intention to kill him, but, PW3 deposed before the court that, he know accused persons, and he owned house situated in his land, about 03 years back, he sustained injuries due to fall on his own when he grazing cattles in his land, on account of which, he went to Govt. Hospital for treatment, except this he do not know



anything and he as not given any statement before the police. Thus, as per the prosecution PW3 is injured witness he deposed that, he sustained injury due to fall on ground in his land when he grazing cattles. This evidence proves the innocence of accused persons, it also proves that, no incident took place as alleged by the prosecution in the complaint and accused persons never assaulted neither on the complainant nor on his family members including PW3. Thus, the prosecution failed to prove its case beyond all reasonable doubt against accused persons.

25. PW4, 6 and 7 are the eye witnesses to the incident as per the prosecution, these witness deposed before the court that, they know accused persons and they do not know anything about the incident in question, further they deposed that they have not given any statement before the police about incident in question. Under such circumstances the evidence of prosecution witnesses proves know incident and



accused persons are innocent, because, PW4, 6 and 7 deposed before the court though they know accused, but, they do not know anything about incident and they have not given any statement before the police.

26. PW8 is doctor who deposed that, on 14.11.2022 at 09.20 pm, when she was on duty in the hospital, PW3 and PW1 came to hospital with history of assault and he examined them, on examination he found injuries and he issued wound certificates.

27. PW9 is investigation officer he deposed that, he took further investigation from PW14 and verified the records, visited the spot, conducted spot panchanama in the presence of panch witness and he has seized stick, stone and knife from the spot under panchanama and he has recorded statement of witnesses and filed charge sheet against accused persons.

28. Question before the court is that, PW3 Shankrelinga specifically deposed that, he sustained injury due to fall on the ground when he was grazing



cattles in his land, on account of which, he went Govt. Hospital for treatment and he took the treatment. Thus, the evidence of doctor in respect of the facts that, the said patient came to Hospital with history of assault found false.

29. On careful scrutiny of evidence of prosecution witnesses extracted supra, the evidence of police officer IO can not be relied upon without corroborative evidence, because, the injured persons specifically deposed before the court that, accused persons are not responsible for the injuries sustained by them. Even the prosecution witnesses, more particularly, eye witnesses, injured witnesses have deposed before the court that, they have not given any statement before the police about the incident in question. Further they deposed that, accused persons are not reasonable for any act. Under such circumstances the evidence of IO and doctor can not be relied upon without corroborative evidence.



30. When injured witness and eye witness have not supported the prosecution case, and they went on to depose that, they have not given any statement before the police, when from the evidence of prosecution witnesses itself, it can be safely held that the prosecution failed to prove its case beyond all reasonable doubt that, accused persons have committed offences as alleged against them, it is clear that, the prosecution utterly failed to prove its case beyond all reasonable doubts, and accused persons are entitle for benefit of doubt.

31. On careful scrutiny of entire prosecution evidence, it is clear that, no single ingredients of offences alleged against accused persons are proved by the prosecution beyond all reasonable doubt. It is well settle law that, even though court, if convinced that a particular part of the testimony of hostile witness, whether it forms part of examination in chief or cross-examination is true, can act. Mere fact that he was



declared as hostile and allowed to be cross-examined, does not make him unreliable, so exclude his evidence from consideration altogether.

32. The correct rule is that either side may rely upon the evidence of a hostile witness and that the whole of the evidence, in so far it affects both parties favorable or not, must be considered for what its worth. But testimony is found to be wholly or thoroughly unreliable the whole of it has to be discarded.

33. In the case on hand, PW1 complainant, deposed before the court that he has not seen the incident and he do not know who has assaulted to whom, accused persons never committed any act as alleged in the complainant, eye witnesses, injured witness examined by the prosecution to prove its case, but, they have not supported the prosecution case, such evidence are not proves the case of the prosecution beyond all reasonable doubt, no single ingredients of offences alleged against accused persons are proved by



the prosecution beyond all reasonable doubts. So PW-8 and 9 are the official witnesses though they have supported the prosecution case but there is no corroborative evidence to believe the evidence of PW-8 and 9 and accused persons are entitle for benefit of doubts. Hence, I constrained to answer these point No.1 to 6 in the **Negative**.

34. POINT NO.7: In view of the findings on point No.1 to 6, I proceed to pass the following;

ORDER

Acting U/Sec.235(1) of Code of Criminal Procedure, the accused No.1 to 4 is hereby acquitted for the offences P/U/Sec., 323, 324, 307, 447, 504, 506 R/w 34 of IPC.

The bail bond and surety bond of accused persons shall stands canceled.



MO-1 knife, MO-2 to 4 are
sticks, MO-5 stone and MO-6 knife,
MO-1 to 6 are worthless and MO-1
to 6 are ordered to be destroyed
after appeal period is over.

(Dictated to the Stenographer-III directly on computer, transcript computerized by her, corrected, initialed and then pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 10th day of March- 2026)

(Yamanappa Bammanagi)
(II nd Addl. District and Sessions Judge
Yadgir, Sitting at Shorapur)

ANNEXURE

Witnesses examined on behalf of prosecution:

- PW1 : Honnayya S/o Manappa
PW2 : Baladandappa S/o Devindrappa
PW3 : Shankaralinga S/o Manappa
PW4 : Manappa S/o Shivaraya
PW5 : Ayyanna S/o Manappa
PW6 : Manamma S/o Manappa
PW7 : Renuka S/o Shivaraya
PW8 : Dr.Sumnath S/o Sriniva
PW9 : Krishna Subedar S/o Viranna

Documents marked on behalf of prosecution:



- Ex.P1 : Complaint
Ex.P2 to 4 : Photos
Ex.P5 : Spot sketch map
Ex.P6 : Statement of PW3
Ex.P7 : Statement of PW4
Ex.P8 : Statement of PW5
Ex.P9 : Statement of PW6
Ex.P10 : Statement of PW7
Ex.P11 & 12 : Wound certificates
Ex.P13 : FIR
Ex.P14 : Letter to PSI
Ex.P15 : Sketch map
Ex.P16 : ROR.

Witnesses examined on behalf of accused:

NIL

Documents marked on behalf of accused:

NIL

**(Yamanappa Bammanagi)
(II nd Addl. District and Sessions Judge
Yadgir, Sitting at Shorapur)**