

Witness recalled and duly sworn on 18.03.2021.

Cross examination by Sri.EPP for D3 and 4 :-

1. I started practicing as an advocate in 1992. I practice on criminal side apart from drafting work. I do not remember the number of documents drafted by me in these 28 years of practice. I do not maintain any register in respect of deeds drafted by me.

2. I do not know the full name of P.I.Issac. The sale agreement was executed before me. I saw P.I.Issac for the last time in 2006 when he executed the agreement. Defendants 3 and 4 might be the grand son and daughter in law of P.I.Issac.

3. I have read the affidavit filed in lieu of my examination in chief, before filing the same in the court. I have not given false information in the said affidavit. My affidavit was dictated and prepared by my advocate Sri.Appaji, but I do not know who typed it. I did not see the original agreement the time of signing the affidavit.

4. I do not know who purchased the stamp paper used for preparing the sale agreement. I do not have full information about the property belonging to P.I.Issac. The parties had already negotiated the sale consideration before approaching me for preparing the sale agreement.

5. P.I.Chacko is the son of P.I.Issac. According to my

knowledge he died in the year 2000. I do not know when P.I.Issac died. I did not check the stamp duty paid on the sale agreement as the parties had got the same franked. I did not check as to in which sub registrar's office, the same was franked. Minimum stamp duty payable on sale agreement during 2006 was Rs.200/-. When suggested that minimum stamp duty payable on sale agreement during 2006 was Rs.500/-, witness states that he is not aware of the same.

6. I can identify the signature of P.I.Issac. Before I prepared the sale agreement, the paper used for the same was already franked. When suggested that as per Rules, franking will not be done on blank sheets, witness says that the same is optional. It is not correct to suggest that to help the plaintiff I have fabricated the sale agreement Ex.P3. It is false to suggest that I am falsely deposing before the court. It is not correct to suggest that the stamp paper used for preparing Ex.P3 is fabricated.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in open court.)

R.O.I & A.C.,

**LXXXIV ADDL. City Civil & Sessions Judge,
(CCH-85) Commercial Court,
Bengaluru.**