

Case is settled in Lok Adalath.

**Non Judicial**

**Judicial**

**Conciliator**

**Conciliator**

**PCR 37/2026**

That, the complainant filed he application section 175(3) of BNSS with his affidavit contending that, he and witnesses and the accused belonging into nekar community.

That, the complainant has further contended that, in their community Shri. Jiweshwara Swakuli Sali Samaja Sangha was existing he, his witnesses and the accused are members of the said sangha since many years and inspite

of the same the accused are not inviting to him and his witnesses if any religious functions take place in their community and they have been socially boycotted.

That, the complainant has further contended that, for the aforesaid reasons he lodge the complaint before the concerned authorities and also the DLSA, Yadgir. That, the police and other authorities have not taking any action against the accused.

That, the complainant furnished the documents as per cover list and I have gone through the same

That, the complainant has a made out the frima-facie case refer the matter to PSI of Shahapur for making the investigating the present matter.

Hence, issue notice to the P.S.I of Shahapur to investigate the matter and submit the report forthwith on 18-03-2026.

**C/c Prl. CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**CC 1780/2023**

That, the complainant and his learned counsel are present. That, Shri MRB learned counsel filed power for accused. Accused is present and his learned counsel filed recall petition. Heard and NBW is recalled.

That, both the parties have a filed a joint memo contending that they have settle the matter for Rs.3,00,000/- and toady the accused has paid part amount of Rs.1,80,000/- to the complainant and the remaining amount will be paid on 25-03-2026.

That, I have gone through the contents of said joint compromise

memo and read over to both the parties and they have agreed for the same.

The complainant has submitted the accused has today pay to him of Rs.1,80,000/- as a part amount. For balance 25-03-2026.

**C/c Prl.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**



Petitioner learned counsel is present. Notice served on respondent and he is called out absent.

For petitioner evidence.

By 20-05-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

Plaintiff learned counsel is present.  
Issues are framed and read over. For  
plaintiff evidence. R/by 16-04-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**Crl.Misc.103/2026**

**Order on IA No.I**

Petitioner learned counsel filed a application Under Order 6 rule 17 R/w 151 of CPC with a prayer permit her to carry out the amendment her fathers name in cause title the petition.

That, the petitioner has contended that his fathers name is Devindra and due to oversight it has been mentioned as Krishnappa.

I have heard on said application.

That, alleged amendment will not changes the nature of the case. Hence, IA No.II is allowed petitioner is permitted to carry out the amendment and file amended petition.

Petitioner learned counsel has carried out the amendment and filed amended petition.

That, the petitioner and his learned counsel are present. Both the parties have filed joint memo. Perused the records.

Refer the matter to Lok Adalath.

By 14-03-2026.

**Sr. Civil & JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 61/2026**

**ORDER ON IA No.I**

That, I have gone through the contents of IA No.I, plaint averments and documents produced therein. That, the plaintiffs have made out a prima facie case to restrain the defendants from alienating the suit schedule property. Hence, issue exparte ad interim temporary

injunction against the defendants restraining them from alienating the suit property till further orders of this Court, if the provisions of Order 39 Rule 3(a) of CPC are complied.

**ORDER ON IA No.II**

That, I have gone through the contents of IA No.II, plaint averments and documents produced therein. That, issue emergent notice on IA No.II to the defendants and issue suit summons to defendants.

R/by 15-04-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 60/2026**

**ORDER ON IA No.I**

That, I have gone through the contents of IA No.I, plaint averments and documents produced therein. That, issue emergent notice on IA No.I to the defendants No.1 to 6 and issue summons to defendants No.1 to 6. R/by 09-04-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**



Plaintiff and defendant No.1 to 4  
learned counsel are present and  
prays time to clarify. Hence, time  
has been granted on 04-04-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**MC 14/2026**

Petitioners and their learned counsel are present. That, the mediator has submitted unsuccessful report. That, the matter has pre conciliated to the parties are not ready to compromise the matter. That, the petitioners have filed the application Under section 151 of waive the cooling period. Heard and allowed the said application. Petitioner No.1 filed his chief affidavit as PW1 and Petitioner No.2 as PW2 and they have not adduced any documentary evidence on their behalf. That, the

petitioners learned counsel have submitted no further evidence on behalf of the petitioners side. Hence, petitioners side evidence is closed. Heard the arguments by the petitioners learned counsel.

For judgment.

By 10-03-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**ORDERS ON I.A.NO.III**

That, the respondent No.2 filed the application under Order 11 Rule 14 R/W Section 151 of Civil Procedure Code, with a prayer to direct the respondent No.1 to produce the RC, FC and insurance policy of the vehicle car bearing No.KA-31-M-6418 and D.L of the driver of the said vehicle.

That, the petitioner has submitted his detailed objections to said application and I have gone through the same.

That, I have heard arguments and perused the materials placed on record.

It is to be noted here that, the respondent No.2 has seriously contended that, on alleged date of accident the driver of the said car was not possessing with the valid and effective D.L. and respondent No.1 was not owning RC, Insurance policy, Fitness certificate and Permit of the said vehicle. It is to be noted here that, to ascertain the real facts

it is very much necessary to issue notice to the respondent No.1 to proceed the said documents. Hence, I proceed to pass the following :-

**:- O R D E R :-**

That, the application filed by the respondent No.2 in I.A.No.III is hereby allowed.

That, office is to issue notice to the respondent No.1 to produce the RC, FC and insurance policy of the vehicle car bearing No.KA-31-M-6418 and D.L of the driver of the said vehicle if PF and IA copies are furnished. By 22-04-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



**OS 38/2026**

Plaintiff is learned counsel present. Defendant learned counsel filed a memo stating that the defendant have taken the from him and submitted a xerox copy of receipt. That, the plaintiff learned counsel filed the application U/s. 151 of PC for clubbing the present matter in O.S. No.23/2020 which is pending before this court-IA No.I.

Issue court notice to the defendants. By 23-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

For await of notice of  
petitioners and respondents No.1  
and 2. By 02-05-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS 42/2026**

Plaintiff is present and his learned counsel is present. That, the plaintiff filed a memo that, he is not interested to proceed with the matter. Hence, the present plaint may be dismissed as not pressed. Heard and allowed the said memo and the present suit is dismissed as not pressed for.

That, the petitioners learned counsel filed a memo stating that the respondent died on 24-02-2026 at Gogi Village. Respondent learned counsel is present. That, in view of death of respondent the present petition is dismissed as not pressed.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

1

Dhr and Jdr learned counsel are present. For hearing on IA No.I by 24-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**MVC 18/2023**

**EP 10/2025**

Case is advanced on application filed by the Dhr learned counsel.

Dhr, Jdr and their learned counsel are present. Dhr and Jdr have filed a memo stating that, they have settled the matter out of the Court. Hence, the Dhr is not interested to proceed with the matter. Heard on said memo and allowed the same. Petition is dismissed as not pressed for.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**E.P 43/2024**

Dhr learned counsel filed application Under order 26 Rule 9 of CPC with a prayer to appoint the court commissioner to execute the registered sale deed in respect of suit property infavour of the Dhr on behalf of the Jdr.- IA No.I.

Heard on IA No.I and allowed the same.

That, Smt. BMR learned counsel has been appointed as a court commissioner to execute the registered sale deed as prayed for. Court commissioner fee is fixed for Rs.2.000/-. Issue commissioner warrant if commissioner fee is deposited. By 21-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**RA 67/2025**

Appellant learned counsel has filed amended appeal memo. That, the appellant is hereby directed to carry out the amendment in appeal memo.

That, notice is duly served upon Respondent No.1 and for Respondent No.2 to 4 on Respondent No.1. That, Respondent No.1 to 4 are called out absent. For await of notice of Respondent No.5. By 09-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

Petitioner and respondent are  
absent. For pre conciliation. By  
11-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**FDP 13/2025**

That, the petitioners No.1 to 4, respondents No.1 to 5 are present. That, Smt. ACH learned counsel filed power for respondent No.4 and 5. That, the petitioners and respondents learned counsel are present. That, both the parties have filed a compromise petition Under Order 23 Rule 3 of CPC.

That, I have gone through the contents of said compromise petition and read over to the parties and they have agreed for the same.

That, I have satisfied and accepted the said joint compromise petition.

That, the office is to draw the final decree.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

EP 16/2024

Dhr learned counsel filed a memo for closing the E.P. for the time being. Heard and allowed the said memo. The present EP is closed for time being.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

That, the respondent No.2 learned counsel filed application Under section 151 of CPC with a prayer to permit the respondent No.2 file objections to claim petition -IA No.II.

That, the petitioner learned counsel has submitted for allowing IA No.II. Heard and IA No.II is allowed.

Respondent No.2 is permitted to file objections to main petition. Respondent No.2 learned counsel filed objections to main petition. Issues framed and read over.

For further chief of PW1 on issues framed. By 25-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

Petitioner learned counsel is present and filed a memo stating that the Respondent No.2 is not pressing the IA No.IV. Heard and rejected the IA No.IV as not pressed.

That, Respondent No.2 learned counsel filed a copy of insurance policy of vehicle bearing No.GJ-01-BY-6327 and the same has been marked as Ex.R1 with the consent of petitioner learned counsel. That, the respondent No.2 learned counsel has submitted no evidence on behalf of the Respondent No.2.

Heard the arguments by the petitioner and Respondent No.2 learned counsel.

For judgment. By 10-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**RA 46/2025**

That, appellant learned counsel filed amended appeal memo and on 08-01-2026 filed a memo stating that, the Lrs of the appellant No.1 (i) are already on record as appellant No.1(ii to vii). That, the appellants are hereby directed to carry out the amendment in appeal memo.

For arguments. By 11-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**MC 4/2025**

That, the petitioner, respondent and their learned counsel are present. Today the matter has further pre conciliated. That, both the parties have a filed a joint memo.

That, I have gone through the contents of said joint memo and read over to both the parties and they have agreed for the same.

That, I have accepted said joint compromise petition.

In view of the said compromise the marriage of the petitioner and respondent which was took place on 08-06-2022 at Bheemaranagudi Kalyan Pantap, B-Gudi, Tq. Shahapur

Dist. Yadgir is dissolved by the way of decree of divorce.

That, draw the compromise decree accordingly.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**EP 55/2024**

Dhr learned counsel is present. Smt. PNJ learned counsel filed power for Jdr and filed the application Under order 9 rule 7 of CPC to set aside the ex-parte order passed against Jdr- IA No.II.

Dhr learned counsel has submitted for allowing the IA No.II. Head and IA No.II is allowed and exparte order passed against the Jdr is hereby set aside and he is permitted to proceed with the matter.

For await of C/R 28-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**Crl.Misc.423/2025**

That, the petitioner learned counsel has filed application Under Order 6 Rule 17 of CPC with a prayer permit the petition to carry out the amendment as prayed for - IA No.I.

That, the alleged amendment neither changes the nature of the case nor causes injustice to other side. Hence, IA No.I is allowed and petitioner is permitted to carry out the amendment and file amended petition. By 17-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 118/2025**

That, the plaintiffs learned counsel filed the application Under Order 13 Rule 9 of CPC with a prayer to return the original of Ex.P3 infavour of them and furnished the certified copy of the same.

That, the office is to verify and return the original of Ex.P3 to the plaintiff on due identification.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

That, the accused No.1 to 8 and their learned counsel are present. That, the statement of the accused U/s. 313 of Cr.Pc has recorded and read over to them in language known to them and they have denied all incriminating evidence appearing against them and not chosen to lead either oral or documentary evidence on their behalf.

For arguments.

By 05-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

Plaintiff is present and her learned counsel filed application Under Order 5 rule 20(2) of CPC with a prayer to issue notice to the defendants No.10, 11 and 13 through Navatelangana and Raichuru Vani and filed a memo with documents. Issue notice to the defendants No.10, 11 and 13 in said paper publication if PF and draft citation are furnished R/by 07-04-2026.

Plaintiff learned counsel filed another application Under Order 1 rule 10 R/w section 151 of CPC for impleading the proposed Defendant No.14- IA No.25 paid PF and RPAD.

Issue notice to proposed Defendant No.14 through RPAD if IA No.25 copy is furnished.

**Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 119/2019**

Plaintiff is present and his learned counsel filed the application Under Order 5 Rule 20(1A) of CPC with a prayed to issue notice the defendant No.2(d) in Kannada Daily News paper Kannada Prabha. Heard and allowed the said application. Issue notice to the defendant No.2(d)

in said paper, if PF and draft citation  
are furnished by 24-03-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**Crime No.108/2025**

That, the surety petitioner by name Lachamanayak @ Laxman Nayak, S/o Krishna Nayak, Age 39 years, Occ. Agri, R/o Bailapu Tanda, Tq. Shorapur, Dist. Yadgir is present and he is ready to stand a surety for the accused.

That, I have perused the surety affidavit and RTC pertaining to land bearing Sy.No. 17/8 situated at Village Bailapu, Tq. Shorapur, Dist. Yadgir Dist.

That, I have satisfied and accepted the surety.

That, office is to take bond and issue vehicle release intimation to the concerned SHO.

Put up after final report.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**MC 19/2024**

Respondent learned counsel filed the application Under section 151 of CPC with a prayer permitted the respondent to file the objections to main petition in this case – IA No.II.

That, the petitioner learned counsel has submitted for allowing the said application. Heard and allowed the said application and respondent is permitted to file objections to main petition.

That, the respondent has filed objections to main petition. Petitioner and respondent are present.

For further chief of PW1.

By 18-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 85/2021**

That, the plaintiffs have filed the application Under Order 1 Rule 10(2) R/w Section 151 of CPC with a prayer to implead the proposed Defendant No.10 -IA No.VII. That, notice has been issued to the said proposed defendant No.10 but he failed to appear before this Court and he is placed as exparte.

It is to be noted here that, the plaintiffs have contended that the suit property bearing Sy.No.101/4 to the extent of 03 acres is nominally standing in the name of proposed defendant No.10.

It is to be noted here that the suit is one for partition and separate possession and the said proposed defendant No.10 becomes a necessary party to the suit. Hence, said application is hereby allowed. That, the plaintiffs are permitted to implead the proposed defendant No.10 and file amended plaint.

R/by 16-03-2026.



Dhr learned counsel is  
present. Reissue notice to  
proposed Jdr No.2. By 25-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

Accused No.1 is absent and his  
learned counsel is present and filed  
E.P. Heard and EP is allowed.

For plea of accused No.1.  
By 18-02-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**FDP 7/2021**

That, I have gone through the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Dist. Judge Yadgir in RA No.45/2023 dated 19-02-2025.

That, the Hon'ble Court had allowed the appeal submitted by the appellant / defendant No.3. That, in view of the same the present petition is hereby dismissed. That, petitioners, respondents No.1 to 3 learned counsel are present.

**OS 14/2018**

Plaintiff learned counsel filed application Under 22 rule 4 of CPC to bring the Lrs of deceased defendant No.2 on record-IA No.III.

Issue notice to the Lrs of the deceased defendant No.2 if PF and IA No.III copies are furnished R/by 25-03-2026.

Defendants No.1, 4 to 6 learned counsel prays time to file WS. That, time may be to file WS has elapsed. Hence, prayer of the learned counsel is rejected and WS of Defendants No.1, 4 to 6 is taken as nil.

**Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.**



**M.A. No.02/2022**

Respondent learned counsel filed the application Under Order 41 Rule 5 R/w section 151 and 94(e) of CPC to stay the execution and operation of order passed by this Court dated 02-02-2026.

That, the appellant learned counsel filed his objections to said application.

Heard on said application by respondent and appellant learned counsel.

For further hearing on said application. By 05-02-2026.

**Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.**

**MVC No.263/2024**

That, the learned counsel for the petitioner filed a memo along with the CIS copy of judgment passed by this Court in MVC No.263/2024 dated 02-02-2026 contending that, this court in page No.38 in order portion due to oversight it has been mentioned as Rs.15,73,0000/- instead of Rs.16,18,000/-. Hence, the said mistake has to be corrected. That, I have gone through the judgment passed by this Court in MVC No.263/2024 dated 02-02-2026. That,

due to oversight and typographical mistake in para No.2 of the order portion the amount has been mentioned as Rs.15,73,000/- instated of Rs.16,18,000/-. Hence, today the said mistake has corrected in main judgment and in order sheet and CIS copy supplied by the petitioner learned counsel.

That, the plaintiff learned counsel prays time to file amend the plaint. D1 to D3, 6 proposed D7 and their learned counsel are absent. That, inspite of giving sufficient opportunities the plaintiff has not filed amended plaint. It seems that, she is not interested to proceed with the matter. That, the prayer of the learned counsel is rejected and suit is dismissed for default.

**Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.**

Dhr learned counsel is prays  
time to furnish to said order copy.  
Hence, time has been granted on  
04-03-2026. Jdr learned counsel is  
absent.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**MVC No.71/2023**

Petitioner learned counsel is present and filed memo with paper publication in Kannada Daily news paper Kannada Prabha for issuing notice to respondent No.1 and he is called out absent and placed as exapрте.

For issues by 19-02-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS 93/2023**

Plaintiff and defendant learned counsel are present. That, Shri YS learned counsel filed power for third party and filed the application Under Order 1 Rule 10 of CPC with a prayer to implead the 3<sup>rd</sup> party as proposed defendant No.2 in present case and submitted a memo with list of documents- IA No.III.

That, the plaintiff learned counsel prays time to file objections to IA No.III. Hence, time has been granted on 18-02-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

Accused is not produced from J.C. That I.O. has submitted requisition. Accused has remanded to J.C. till 13/02/2026 further. Intimate the jail authorities.

By 13/02/2026.

Sr. Civil & JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**Ex.P44/2022**

That, the Dhr learned counsel filed a memo with paper publication in Pramathavani Kannada daily news paper for issuing notice to the proposed Jdr No.3 but, nobody has appeared before this Court.

For further steps.

By 09-03-2025.

Sr. Civil & JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**cause title ಹಾಕಬೇಕು**

**ORDER ON PETITION FILED UNDER SECTION  
7 and 8 AND 10 OF WARDS AND GUARDIANS  
ACT.**

That, the petitioners have submitted the said petition to appoint the petitioner No.4 as a guardian of minor petitioners No.1 to 3 for person and property and to claim and to collect the amount mentioned in the schedule 'A' as under;

- 1) Provident Fund Rs. 1,50,000/-  
approximately.
- 2) Widow Pension and Children Pension.
- 3) Deposit Linked Insurance.
- 4) Other Service benefits to be sanction by the APFC Raichur.

**Facts of the case are as under :-**

1. That, one Sri. Rajesab Maliksab Nadaf was the father of the minor petitioners No.1 to 3 and husband of the petitioner No.4. That, the said Rajesab was working as a Peon in PKPS Benakatti Society and died on 26/12/2021 at Kamatagi Cross, Tq: Hungund leaving behind the petitioners No.1 to 4 as his heirs. That, the said Rajesab during the tenure of his services in said society was subscribing to provident fund and pension contributions to APFC Raichur, as he was

enrolled as a member to the P.F and Family pension scheme his vide GVRCH21498440000010005, Account Claim GBRCH230400000340 dated: 26/04/2023. No. ID No.

2. That, after the death of said Rajesab, the petitioner No.4 had moved the claim petition to the APFC, Raichur, for fixation of widow pension and children pension through PKPS Benakatti society. That the Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner Riachur vide his letter No.GB/RCH/101 dated: 01/05/2023 had intimated the society for submitting the Guardianship Certificate of minor petitioners No.1 to 3.

3. That, the minor petitioners No.1 to 3 are under the care and custody of their mother petitioner No.4. It is very much necessary for appointing the petitioner No.4 as a guardian of minor petitioners No.1 to 3 and issue guardianship certificate. Hence, this petition.

4. That, the petitioners have got issued the notice to the public in general in Hosadigant Kannada daily news paper but, nobody has appeared before this Court.

5. That, the petitioner No.4 has deposed as PW.1 and got marked the documents at Exs.P.1 to 7 and closed her side.

6. That. I have heard the arguments and perused the materials placed on record. That, the following points arise for My consideration and determination:-

1. Whether the petitioners have made out the grounds to allow the petition as prayed for?
2. What order?

7. That. My answer to the aforesaid points are as under :-

**Point No.1:** In the **AFFIRMATIVE.**

**Point No.2:** As per the final order for the  
Following:

**REASONS**

8. **Point No.1:** It is the case of the petitioners that to claim and collect the amount mentioned in the schedule 'A', it is very much necessary for issuing the guardianship certificate in favour of the petitioner No.4. That, the PW.1 in her evidence has got marked the documents at Exs.P.1 to 7. That, the Ex.P.1 is the Death certificate of Rajesab Nadaf. That, from the said document it appears that the said Rajesab died

on 26/12/2021 and his date of death was registered on 13/01/2022. That, the Ex.P.2 is the Death certificate of one Sri. Maliksab Rajesab i.e. father of the deceased Rajesab. That, the Ex.P.3 is the Survivorship certificate issued by the Deputy Tahasildar. Bagalkot Hobli. Tq:Dist: Bagalkot. That, in said document it has been mentioned that the petitioner No.1 to 3 as the son and daughters of the deceased Rajesab and petitioner No.4 as his wife. That, the Ex.P.4 is the Study, Caste and Birth certificate of petitioner No.1 issued by Head Master Shree Hemaraddi Mallamambe High School, Benakatti Tq:Dist: Bagalkot. That, the Ex.P.5 is the Study, Caste and Birth certificate of minor petitioner No.2 issued by the Head Master, Government Higher Primary School, Benakatti, Tq: Dist:Bagalkot, That, the Ex.P.6 is the Study, Caste and Birth certificate of petitioner No.3 issued by the Head Master, High Primary School, Benakatti, Tq: Dist: Bagalkot. That, the Ex.P.7 is the Letter of AO/APFC dated: 01/05/2023 written to PKPS, Benakatti. That, from the contents of said letter it appears that the said authority had rejected the letter of the petitioners for want of guardianship certificate of minor petitioners. No.1 to 3.

9. It is pertinent to note here that, as stated above. nobody has appeared before this Court.

That, from the pleadings of the petitioners and oral and documentary evidence adduced by them it appears that the said Rajesab died on 26/12/2021 leaving behind the petitioners as his legal heirs and the minor petitioners No.1 to 3 are under the care and custody of their mother petitioner No.4. That, from perusal of the documents got marked by the petitioners it appears that the said Rajesab during his life time was working as a Peon in said PKPS Society, Benakatti. That, the petitioners being the legal heirs of said deceased Rajesab are entitled to claim the amount mentioned in the schedule 'A'

10. It is to be noted here that, as stated above in present case nobody has appeared and contested the matter. That, in absence of any contra evidence there is no reason to disbelieve the contentions of the petitioners. Issued in favour of the petitioner No.4 in respect of That, if the guardianship minor petitioners No.1 to 3 then no prejudice will be certificate cause to other side. Hence, without much discussion point No.1 is answered in the **AFFIRMATIVE**.

22 Point No.2: That, as discussed on point No. 1. I proceed to pass the following:-

**ORDER**

That, the petition filed by the petitioners under Section 8 and 10 of Wards and Guardians Act, is hereby allowed.

That, petitioner No.4 has been appointed as a guardian to minor petitioners No.1 to 3.

That, issue guardianship certificate.

Dhr learned counsel is present. Shri BSM learned counsel filed power for Jdr and filed the application Under Order 9 Rule 7 f cPC with a prayer to set aside the exparte passed against the Jdr – IA No.I. That, the Dhr learned counsel has submitted for allowing IA No.I. Heard and IA N.I is allowed. Exparte order passed against Jdr is hereby set aside and he is permitted proceed with the matter.

That, the Dhr learned counsel has filed a application Under Order 26 Rule 9, 10(b) of CPC with a prayer to appoint any learned counsel of Shahapur Bar Association as a court commissioner for execution and registration of document infavour of the Dhr on behalf of the Jdr in respect of the suit land- IA No.II.

That, the Jdr learned counsel prays time to file objections to IA No.II. Hence, time has been granted on 12-02-2026.

That, the Dhr learned counsel filed a memo permit the Dhr depositing this court Rs.1,00,000/- towards the part payment consideration in respect of

suit property. That, the Dhr is  
permitted to deposited the said  
amount. Call on 12-02-2026.

Petitioner learned counsel is present. Respondent No.1 and 2 are their learned counsel are absent. For WS of R1 and R2

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**CC 1852/2023**

Accused No.1 to 6 and their learned counsel are present.

Charge of the accused No.1 to 6 have recorded and read over to them in language known to them and they have not pleaded guilty and claimed to tried.

Issue summons to CW1 to 5 by 16-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**Crl.Misc. 46/2026**

Petitioner learned counsel is present and filed the application Under Order 5 Rule 20 of CPC for issuing notice the general in public in Kannada Daily news papers, Kumar express and Yadgir express. Heard and allowed the said application.

Issue notice to the public in general in said news paper, if PF and draft citation are furnish by 21-04-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

Plaintiff learned counsel is present. Shri. MSM filed power for Defendant No.7 and filed the application Under Order 9 Rule 7 of CPC with a prayer to set aside the exparte order passed against the defendant No.7- IA No.VII.

That, the learned counsel for the plaintiff has submitted for allowing IA No.VII. Heard and allowed the IA No.VII and exparte order passed against defendants No.7 is hereby set aside and the defendant No.7 is permitted to proceed with the matter.

That, the plaintiff is hereby directed to carry out the amendment in respect of Lrs of the defendants No.1 and 12 and file amended plaint on 24-03-2026 and WS of Defendants No.3 and 7.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**



MVC No.224/2024

That, Respondent No.2 learned counsel filed application Under section 151 of CPC with a prayer permit the respondent No.2 file objections to claim petition -IA No.II.

That, the petitioner learned counsel has submitted for allowing IA No.II. Heard and IA No.II is allowed.

Respondent No.2 is permitted to file objections to main petition. Respondent No.2 learned counsel filed objections to main petition. Issues framed and read over.

For further chief of PW1 on  
issues framed. By 18-02-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

Respondent No.2 to 5 learned counsel are present. Petitioner is absent and his learned counsel prays time to lead evidence. Hence, time-has been granted on 18-03-2026.

Sr. Civil & JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS 145/2023**

Plaintiff learned counsel filed the application Under Order 5 Rule 20(1A) of CPC with a prayer to issue notice to the defendants No.3 to 5 in Kannada Prabha Daily news paper and paid PF and draft citation.

Issue notice to the defendants No.3 to 5 in said paper by 16-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

Dhr is present and his learned counsel has filed the application Under Order 21 Rule 54 R/w Section 151 of CPC to issue attachment of sale of suit property- IA No.I and paid PF. Heard on IA No.I by the learned counsel for the Dhr. For orders on IA No.I by 04-02-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 14/2025**

Plaintiff learned counsel filed the application Under section 151 of CPC to extended the T.I. order. Heard and TI order is extended till next date of hearing.

For plaintiff evidence by 18-03-2026. Defendants No.1 to 3 learned counsel is present.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**





**OS 225/2025**

Plaintiff is learned counsel is present. That, Shri SVP learned counsel filed power for Defendant No.6 and filed the application Under Order 9 Rule 7 of CPC with a prayer to set aside the exparte order passed against the defendant No.6-IA No.II..

That, the learned counsel for the plaintiff has submitted for allowing IA No.II. Heard and allowed the IA No.II and exparte order passed against defendants

No.6 is hereby set aside and the defendant No.6 is permitted to proceed with the matter.

For written statement of defendant No.6. By 06-04-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**RA No.08/2026**

**Orders on IA No.I**

That, I have gone through contents of IA No.I and the materials placed on record. That, I have satisfied and operation and execution of order passed by the trial court on IA No.7 in O.S.No.392/2022 dated 18-12-2025 is stayed till appearance of the all respondents before this Court.

Issue notice to Respondents No.1 to 10 on appeal memo and IA No.I R/by 04-03-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**MVC 296, 293/2021**

Petitioner learned counsel is present. Respondent No.2 learned counsel filed the application Under section 15 of cPC with a prayed permit the respondent No.2 file objections to main petition- IA No.I. That, the petitioner learned counsel has submitted for allowing IA No.I.

Heard and IA No.I is allowed.

Respondent No.2 is permitted to file objections to main petition. Respondent No.2 learned counsel filed objections to main petition.

For pre conciliation by 28-01-2026.



Dhr and Jdr learned  
counsel are present. That, office  
is to re- issue reminder to the  
court commissioner for  
submitting the report by 04-03-  
2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS No.8/2026**

Plaintiff, defendants No.1 to 4 and their learned counsel are present. Heard on said compromise petition by both the learned counsel.

I have gone through the compromise petition filed by the both the parties Under Order 22 Rule 3 of CPC and read over the same to both the parties and they have agreed to for the same.

That, I have submitted with contents of the said compromise petition and both the parties are permitted to compromise the matter accordingly.

That, office is to draw the final decree.

That, the hand sketch map annexed to the said compromise petition shall become the part and parcel of the decree.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



Petitioners learned counsel is prays time to file objections to IA No.IV to VI. Hence time has been granted on 04-02-2026. Respondent No.2 learned counsel is present.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**RA 02/2026**

That, I have gone through the  
plaint averments, contents of IA  
No.I and the documents produced.

Issue Emergent notice to the respondent No.4 on IA No.I and issue notice on appeal memo to the respondents No.1 to 4.

by 12-03-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**RA 04/2026**

That, I have gone through the contents of IA No.I, appeal memo and documents produced therein. That, the appellant has made out a prima facie case to stay the operation of judgment and Decree passed by the trial court in OS No.298/2022 dated 04-11-2025.

That, I have gone through the records. That, satisfied. That, the judgment and decree passed by the trial court in OS No.298/2022 dated 04-11-2025 is stayed till further orders of this Court.

Issue notice to the respondents No.1 to 3 on appeal memo and IA No.I.

R/by 18-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**



**OS 33/2026 (grant)**

**Order on IA No.I**

That, I have gone through the contents of IA No.I, plaint averments and documents produced therein. That, the plaintiff has made out a prima facie case to restrain the defendants No.1 to 4 from alienating the suit properties. Hence, issue exparte ad interim temporary injunction against the defendants No.1 to 4 from

restraining them from alienating the suit properties till further orders of this Court, if the provisions of Order 39 Rule 3(a) of CPC are complied.

Issue suit summons to defendants No.1 to 4. R/by 11-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**



**CC 825/2025**

Accused No.1 and his learned counsel are present.

Plea of the accused No.1 has recorded and read over to him in language known to him and he has not pleaded guilty and claimed to tried.

Issue summons to CW1 to 5 by 2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**ORDER ON IA NO.VI**

1. That, the plaintiff filed the application U/o. 3 Rule 1 and 2 R/w Section 151 of CPC with a prayer to permit her to proceed with the matter through her SPA Holder.
2. That, the plaintiff in her application has contended that, she is suffering from age old ailments and due to which she unable to appear before this court on all dates of hearing. Hence, it is very much necessary permit her to proceed with the matter through her SPA holder.
3. That, the defendants No.3, 5 and 6 have filed objections to said application and have contended that, the plaintiff already deposed as PW1 and got marked the documents and now she filed the present application which is not maintainable Hence, prayed for rejecting the same.

4. That, I have heard the arguments and perused the materials placed on record.

1. Whether the plaintiff has made out the grounds to allow her said application as prayed for?

2. What order?

5. That, My answered to the aforesaid points are as under;

**Point No.1:-** In the **AFFIRMATIVE.**

**Point No.2:-** As per the final order following;

### **REASONS**

6. **Point No.1:-** That, the plaintiff contended that, she is suffering from age old ailments and due to which she unable to appear before this court on all dates of hearing. It is be to noted here that, from the records it appears that, the plaintiff is now aged about 75 years and definitely she might have suffering from some age old ailments and which cannot be ruled out. Hence, if the plaintiff is permitted to proceed with the matter through her SPA holder then no prejudice would

be caused to other side. Hence, Point No.1 is answered in the **AFFIRMATIVE**.

7. **Point No.2:** That, as discussed on point No.I, I proceed to pass the following;

**ORDER**

That, the plaintiffs filed in IA No.VI is hereby allowed.

That, the plaintiff is permitted to proceed with the matter through her SPA holder.

For Plaintiff evidence by  
12-02-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**RA 01/2026**

That, I have gone through the contents of IA No.I, appeal memo and documents produced therein. That, the appellants have made out a prima facie case to stay the operation of judgment and Decree passed by the trial court in OS No.88/2024 dated 01-12-2025.

That, I have gone through the records. That, satisfied. That, the judgment and decree passed by the trial court in O.S No.88/2024 dated 01-12-2025 is stayed till further orders of this Court.

Issue notice to the respondents on appeal memo and IA No.I.

R/by 04-03-2026.

Dhr and Jdr No.2 learned counsel are present. Dhr learned counsel prays time to file fresh of calculation memo. Hence, time has been granted by 28-02-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 57/2024**

That the plaintiff learned counsel filed the application Under Order 3 Rule 1 and 2 of CPC with a prayer permit to the plaintiff to proceed with the matter through her S.P.A. - IA No.II.

That, the defendant learned counsel prays time to file objections to IA No.II. Hence, time has been granted on 07-02-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

That, the applicant and his learned counsel are present.  
That, the applicant learned counsel filed U/s 486 of BNS  
That, one surety by name Sri. Mallikarjun S/o Siddanna Alapanor is present.

That, I have perused the surety affidavit and RTC pertaining to land bearing Sy.No.435/2 situated at Gulsaram Village, Yadigr Dist.

That, I have satisfied and accepted the surety.

That, office is to take bonds.

**I/c Prl. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.**

OS 12/2026 (grant)

**Order on IA No.I**

That, I have gone through the contents of IA No.I, plaint averments and documents produced therein. That, the plaintiff has made out a prima facie case to restrain the defendants from alienating, encumbering, mortgaging or creating any charge over the suit property. Hence, issue exparte ad interim temporary injunction against the defendants restraining them from alienating, encumbering,

mortgaging or creating any charge over the suit property till further orders of this Court, if the provisions of Order 39 Rule 3(a) of CPC are complied.

**Order on IA No.II**

That, I have gone through the contents of IA No.II, plaint averments and documents produced therein. That, the plaintiff has made out a prima facie case to restrain the defendants from excavating the soil from the suit property. Hence, issue exparte ad interim temporary injunction against the defendants restraining them from excavating the soil from the suit property till further orders of this Court, if the provisions of Order 39 Rule 3(a) of CPC are complied.

Issue suit summons to defendants R/by 04-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

OS 62/2020

That, the learned counsel for defendant has submitted for allowing IAs No.VII and VIII. Heard and IAs No.VII and VIII are allowed.

That, plaintiff No.1(a) has been appointed as a guardian of minor plaintiff No.1(b) and 1(c).

That, the proposed Lrs of the deceased plaintiffs are permitted to come on record and file amended plaint by 07-02-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 15/2020**

Plaintiff, Defendants No.6 to 8  
learned counsel are present and  
filed WS and also objections to IA  
No.I

For issues by 22-01-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 166/2022**

That, Defendant No.1 learned counsel has submitted for allowing IA No.IV. Heard and IA No.IV is allowed. Plaintiff is permitted to bring on record the Lrs of the Defendant No.1 and file amended plaint by 07-02-2026.

Petitioner learned counsel is present. Respondent No.1 and his learned counsel prays time to file objections to main petition. Hence, time has been granted on 28-02-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**111/2021**

Defendant No.2 and 3 learned counsel filed application Under Section 151 of CPC with a prayer permit the Defendant No.2 and 3 filed WS – IA No.V

That, the plaintiff learned counsel has submitted for allowing IA No.V. Heard and IA No.V allowed. Defendant No.2 and 3 are permitted to file WS. Defendant No.2 and 3 learned counsel filed WS.

Issues are framed and read over. For further chief of PW1 on issues framed by 14-01-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**RA No.67/2025**

Appellant filed the application Under Order 6 Rule 17 R/.w section 151 of CPC with a prayer permit her to delete the para No.10 of the appeal memo – IA No.II.

That, the appellant has contended that due to oversight the said para has been inserted in the appeal memo. Hence, it is very much necessary to delete the said entire para.

I have heard on IA No.II by the learned counsel for the appellant by the alleged amendment neither changes the nature of the case nor causes injustice to other side. Hence, appellant is permitted to carry out the amendment and file amended appeal memo by 27-01-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**RA No.40/2019**

**Order on IA No.11**

Appellant learned counsel is present Respondent No.1 to 3 and 6 learned counsel have submitted for allowing the IA No.11. Heard and IA No.11 is allowed. Appellants have permitted to file objections to cross appeal.

For objections to cross appeal by  
17-01-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

That, the accused No.1 and 2 and their learned counsel are present. That, the statement of the accused U/s. 313 of Cr.Pc has recorded and read over to him in language known to them and they have denied all incriminating evidence appearing against them and not chosen to lead either oral or documentary evidence on their behalf.

Heard the arguments by accused learned counsel and prosecution side.

For judgment by 14-01-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**RA No.7/2022**

That, the appellant has preferred this appeal against the Judgment and Decree passed by the trial court in OS No.96/2012 dated 06-04-2017.

That, the trial court had dismissed the suit with cost.

It is to be noted here that, the trial court had also not considered the plea taken by the defendants also.

It is to be noted here that in this case the appellants have filed an application Under Order 41 rule 27 of R/w 151 of CPC with a prayer to furnish the documents as per cover list and also get mark the same- IA No.II.

That, the respondent No.2 has submitted detailed objections to said application.

It is to be noted here that this Court feels to give an opportunity to the appellants to mark the documents as per cover list to do the substantial justice.

For marking the documents by the appellants by 20-01-2026.

**Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.**

Petitioner learned counsel filed the application Under Order 26 Rule 13 of CPC with prayer to appoint ADLR Shahapur as a court commissioner to measure the suit land and effect the partition as per decree passed in OS No. 02/2017 dated 01-04-2019 – IA No.II.

That, I have heard on said application and allowed the same. That, the said ADLR, Shahapur, has been appointed as a court commissioner to measure the suit lands and effect the partition as per said decree.

Office is to issue commissioner warrant and send the decree copy to the said ADLR, Shahapur. The Commissioner fee is fixed at Rs.2500/-

By report by 05-02-2026.

**Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.**

**RA No.50/2025**

Appellant learned counsel is present. That, Shri ASD learned counsel filed power for respondent No.1 to 4 and filed the application Under Order 9 Rule 7 of CPC with a prayer to set aside the exparte order passed against the respondent No.1 to 4 -IA no.I

That, the appellant learned counsel has submitted for allowing the said application. Heard and IA No.I is allowed. That, the exparte order passed against the Respondent No.1 to 4 is set aside and they are permitted to the proceed with the matter.

For await of TCR and arguments by 20-01-2026.

**Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.**

**CC 3617/2025**

Accused No.1 to 5 are present. That, Shri RMH learned counsel filed power for A1 to A5 and filed bail application Under Section 478 of BNS.

That, as alleged offences are bailable in nature, A1 to A5 are enlarged on bail on executing personal bond of Rs.10,000/- each with one surety like for sum. That, one surety by name Sri. Fakirappa S/o Basappa kavali is present.

That, I have perused the surety affidavit and RTC pertaining to land bearing Sy.No.2/2 measuring 08 acres 26 guntas situated at Hayyal (K) Village, Wadagera Tq. Yadigr Dist.

That, in said land 04 acres 11 guntas is standing in the name of present surety.

That, I have satisfied and accepted the surety.

That, office is to take bonds.

For charge by 03-03-2026.

**I/c Prl. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.**

**Misc. Appeal No.8/2024**

Petitioner learned counsel is present. Reissue notice to respondent No.1 and 2 if postage stamps furnished by 23-02-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**CC No.855/2025**

Accused not produced from J.C.  
Requisition filed by the I.O. and  
accused is further reminded to J.C

till 20-01-2026 and intimated to  
the Jail Authority.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Plaintiff is absent and his learned counsel prays time to lead evidence. Hence, time has been granted on 03-02-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**Common Orders on IAs No.V and VI**

1. That, the respondent No.2 filed the application U/O. 16 Rule 6 of CPC with a prayer to issue summons t the respondent No.1 to produced his D.L. That, in said application it has been contended that the said document is very much necessary to ascertain the real facts of the case.

2. That, the Respondent No.2 has filed another application Under Order 16 Rule 6 of CPC with a prayer to issue summons to one Dr. Mallikarjun Kalmani, Medical Officer, Government Hospital, Shorapur, Dist. Yadgir to produce the MLC extract of the petitioner and give the evidence.

3. That, the petitioner has submitted his objections to said application separately and has contended that the above said D.L.

is a public document and it can be produced by the respondent No.2 himself.

4. That, the petitioner has submitted his objections to said application separately and has contended that the above said MLC extract is a public document and it can be produced by the respondent No.2 himself.

5. That, the petitioner further contended that the respondent No.2 file the said applications only with an intention to drag the proceedings. Hence, prayed for rejecting the said applications.

6. That, I have heard the arguments and perused the perused the materials available on records.

7. It is to be noted here that, the Respondent No.2 is disputing that, on alleged date of accident the respondent No.1 was not possessing with him the

Driving License and also contended that the alleged accident was occurred on 22-05-2023 and whereas the complaint was filed on 25-05-2023. It is to be noted here that, the respondent No.2 has got every right to probabalies his defenses with all cogent evidence.

8. It is be noted here that, if such being the case, if the said witnesses are summoned to produce the said documents then no prejudice will be caused to the petitioners. Hence, I proceed to pass the following;

**ORDER**

That, the applications filed by the Respondent No.2 in IA No.V and VI are hereby allowed.

That, office is to summons to the Respondent No.1 to produce his D.L. as prayed in IA No.V and also issue summons to

said Doctor as prayed in IA No.VI and give  
the evidence on the same by 20-01-2026.

**Sr. CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

Accused No.8 is absent and his learned counsel filed EP. Heard and EP is allowed. A2 to A7 are present. That, on last date of hearing summons has been issued by this court to CW4 to 10. That, the summons server by name Sri. Manappa PC No.238 of B.Gudi PS has submitted a report stating that, summons has been served on CW4 to 10 but, from the perusal of the summons and report it appear that he has not taken signature of the concerned witnesses and also not submitted to whom he has served the summons. This shows the dereliction of duty of said official and the concerned PSI has also not observe the same.

That, this court has given instructions and warning to said PC to submit the correct report to the court and on 22-12-2025 this court call the ASI of B.Gudi PS and asked him for giving instructions to said PC to serve the summons and give the correct report to the court. But, inspite of it

the said PC has not corrected his acts. Hence, the office is to write a letter to the S.P. yadgir about the durlictioon of duty of the said PC.

That, giving not satisfied report of CW4 to 10 by the said PC. Hence, CW4 to 10 are dropped.

R/by 12-01-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**MVC No.289/2023**

Petitioner is absent and his learned counsel prays time to take steps to respondent. That, inspite of giving sufficient opportunities the petitioner has failed to take steps to respondent. Hence, prayer of learned counsel is hereby rejected and petitioner is dismissed for default.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**FDP 02/2018**

That, the learned counsel for the petitioners filed a memo stating that he filed the application Under section 152 of CPC on 12-12-2025 and the petitioners are not pressing the same.

Heard and allowed the said memo and the said application dated 12-12-2025 is hereby rejected.

That, the learned counsel for the petitioners filed the application Under Order 6 Rue 17 of CPC with a prayer permit the petitioners to carry out the amendments in the cause title of the petition as the name of the respondent No.4(a) Suchalabai is to be remove and added as Sudhabai and at the address of respondent No.5(b) the name of Madhusudhan chal is removed and it has been added as Madhusudhan Char.

That, I have heard the arguments and perused the materials placed on record.

That, as the said amendments neither changes the nature of the case nor causes in justice to other

side. The said, application is allowed and petitioners are permitted carry out the amendment as prayed for.

That, the petitioner learned counsel has submitted the amendment petition.

That, petitioners are directed to carry out the amendments in petition.

That, the mistakes cruft out in order passed by this court dated 27-11-2025 are hereby carried out.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

Issue suit summons to the  
defendants. Call on 30-01-2026.

I/c Sr.CJ &JMFC.,

Shahapur.

**Common Orders on IA's No.II**  
**to IV**

That, the plaintiff/ SPA holder filed the application Under Order 22 Rule 4 of CPC with a prayer to bring the Lrs of the deceased defendant No.2 on record-IA No.II and filed application Under Order 22 Rule 9 of CPC with a prayer to set aside the abatement-IA No.III and filed another application Under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, to condone the delay which was occurred in filing in IA No.II.

That, the Lrs of the deceased defendant No.2 have filled their objections to said applications and have contended that the Lrs No.4 Mudakappa is not the son of deceased defendant No.2 and one daughter and son by name Mudu Kumar of the said deceased have not been shown by the plaintiff in her petitions and have further contended that the said defendant No.2 died on 30-08-2022 and after laps of one year the plaintiff has filed these

applications which are not maintainable.

Heard the arguments and perused the materials placed on record.

That, the suit is one for Declaration and Possession.

That, on death of the defendant No.2 the right to sue survives on his Lrs i.e, defendant No.2 (1 to 4). That, whether the said deceased defendant No.2 had got another son and daughter it can be decided at full pledged trial.

It is to be noted here that though the plaintiff has not made out the grounds why the inordinate the delay occurred filing the said application but, as stated above the suit is for Declaration and Possession if the said applications are allowed they no prejudice would be caused to other side.

Hence, the IA No.II is allowed setting aside the abatement and delay occurred in filing the IA No.II.

That, the plaintiff is permitted to implead the Lrs of the deceased

defendant No.2 and file amended  
plaint by 07-01-2026.

That, in this case IA No.I has  
not been filed by the either party  
but, due to oversight the application  
filed Under Order 22 Rule 4 of CPC  
and Under Order 22 Rule 9 of CPC  
and Under Section 5 of Limitation  
Act are numbered as IA's No.II to IV.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Petitioner is absent and her learned counsel prays time to lead evidence. That, inspite of giving opportunities to the petitioner has failed to lead his side evidence. Hence prayer of the learned counsel is hereby rejected and petition is dismissed for non prosecution.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

1. That, the appellant filed the application Under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 of CPC with a prayer to issue temporary injunction order against the defendant for not to interfere suit land.

2. That, the appellant has placed his reliance on following decisions;

**1. 2015(4) KCCR 3761**

**Pampannagouda**

**V/s**

**Smt. Deepa**

**Wherein it has held that, CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE, 1908 – Order 39 Rules 1 and 2- Temporary Injunction- Prima Facie case- Unchallenged revenue entries taken as material to show lawful possession- Grant of temporary injunction proper.**

**2. 2015(2) KCCR 154 (SC)**

**Zarif Ahmad (D) through Lrs and another**

V/s

Mohd. Farooq

Wherein it has held that, SPECIFIC RELIEF ACT, Section 38- Permanent Injunction- Evidence as to possession- Plaintiff paying house tax for several – Supported by tax receipts and extract of Tax Assessment Register- Report of Commissioner that defendants were in possession cannot be relied upon against weight of oral and documentary evidence on record proving possession of plaintiff- Decree cannot be found fault with.

3. 2008 (2) KCCR 593

Gurunath Manohar Pavaskar and others.

V/s

Nagesh Siddappa Navalgund and others.

Wherein it has held that, INDIAN EVIDENCE ACT, 1872- Section 110- Presumption of possession and continuity thereof both forward and backward can also be raised.

4. AIR 1995 Supreme Court 1728

**Digambar Adhar Partil**

**V/s**

**Devaram Giridhar Patil (Died) and another.**

**Wherein it has held that, Under Hindu Law it is not necessary that the partition should be effected by a registered partition deed. Even a family arrangement is enough to effected the partition between coparceners and to confer right to a separate share and enjoyment thereof.**

3. That, I have gone through the contents of IA No.I, appeal memo and documents produced therein.

4. Issue emergent notice on IA No.I to the respondents and notice to them on 07-01-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**Order on IA No.III**

That, the petitioners son has filed the application Under Order 32 Rule 15 of CPC with a prayer permit him to lead the evidence on behalf of his mother i.e., original petitioner has she is suffering from total disability and furnished the xerox copy of medical certificate issued by Brain and Spine Care Neuro Clinic Hospital, Kalaburgi.

That, the respondent No.2 filed his detailed objections to said application and I have gone through the same.

It is to be noted here that, from the medical certificate issued by the said hospital it appears that petitioner was suffering from 82% of disability. If such being the case she may not in position to understand the things. Hence, if her son is permitted give the evidence on her behalf no prejudice will be caused to other side. Hence, IA No.III is hereby allowed and petitioner son by name

Vishwaradhya is permitted to lead  
the evidence by 09-12-2025.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**MVC No.287/2023**

**Common Orders on IAs No.V and VI**

1. That, the respondent No.2 filed the application U/O. 16 Rule 6 of CPC with a prayer to issue summons to the respondent No.1 to produce his D.L. That, in said application it has been contended that the said document is very much necessary to ascertain the real facts of the case.

2. That, the Respondent No.2 has filed another application Under Order 16 Rule 6 of CPC with a prayer to issue summons to one Dr. Mallikarjun Kalmani, Medical Officer, Government Hospital, Shorapur, Dist. Yadgir to produce the MLC extract of the petitioner and give the evidence.

3. That, the petitioner has submitted his objections to said application separately and has contended that the above said D.L. is a public document and it can be produced by the respondent No.2 himself.

4. That, the petitioner has submitted his objections to said application separately and has contended that the above said MLC extract is a public document and it can be produced by the respondent No.2 himself.

5. That, the petitioner further contended that the respondent No.2 file the said applications only with an intention to drag the proceedings. Hence, prayed for rejecting the said applications.

6. That, I have heard the arguments and perused the perused the materials available on records.

7. It is to be noted here that, the Respondent No.2 is disputing that, on alleged date of accident the respondent No.1 was not possessing with him the Driving License and also contended that the alleged accident was occurred on

22-05-2023 and whereas the complaint was filed on 25-05-2023. It is to be noted here that, the respondent No.2 has got every right to probabalies his defenses with all cogent evidence.

8. It is be noted here that, if such being the case, if the said witnesses are summoned to produce the said documents then no prejudice will be caused to the petitioners. Hence, I proceed to pass the following;

**ORDER**

That, the applications filed by the Respondent No.2 in IA No.V and VI are hereby allowed.

That, office is to summons to the Respondent No.1 to produce his D.L. as prayed in IA No.V and also issue summons to said Doctor as prayed in IA No.VI and give the evidence on the same by 20-01-2026.

**Sr. CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**RA No.12/2023**

That, the appellant learned counsel is present and filed the application Under order 5 Rule 20 of CPC with a prayer to issue notice to the respondents No.3 in Kannada Daily News Paper Pramatha Vani. Heard and allowed the said application.

Issue notice to the respondent No.3 in said paper if PF and draft citation are furnished R/by 18-03-2026. Respondent No.2 learned counsel is present.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**Order on IA No.V.**

1. That, the plaintiff filed the application Under Order VIII Rule 9 R/w Section 151 of CPC, accord him to file the rejoinder to the written statement submitted by the defendant No.2.

2. That, the defendants No.6 and 7 have filed their detailed objections to said application and contended that there is no provision in the CPC to file rejoinder or counter to the written statement and Order VII Rule 9 of CPC is very much clear subsequent pleading available to the defendant by way of set off, counter claim and to file additional written statement and the said provision is ment only for defendant. That, the said defendants have further contended that the plaintiff has got every right to deny in his chief affidavit all the contentions raised in the written statement. Hence, prayed for rejecting the said application.

3. That, I have Heard the arguments and perused the materials placed on record.

4. That, the following points arise for My consideration and determination.

1. Whether the plaintiff has made out the grounds to allow his said application as prayed for?

2. What order?

5. That, My answered to the aforesaid points are as under;

**Point No:1:** In the **AFFIRMATIVE**

**Point No.2:** As per the final order for the following;

### **REASONS**

6. **Point No.1:** It is to be noted here that, the plaintiff is claiming to file rejoinder to the written statement submitted by the defendants. It is to be noted here that, on plain reading of Order VIII Rule 9 of CPC it appears that the plaintiff has got every right to submit his rejoinder to the written statement of the defendant with lieu of the court. In view of the same if the

plaintiff is permitted to file rejoinder to the said written statement they no will prejudice will caused to other side. Hence, Point No.1 is answered in the **AFFIRMATIVE.**

7. **Point No.2:** That, as discussed on Point No.1, I proceed to pass the following;

**ORDER**

That, the application filed by the plaintiff in IA No.V is hereby allowed.

That, the plaintiff is permitted to file his rejoinder.

That, the plaintiff filed his rejoinder to the written statement submitted by defendant No.2.

For addl.issues if any  
by 06-12-2025.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**EX.25/2022**

Dhr learned counsel is present and filed the application Under Order V Rule 9(A) R/w Section 151 of CPC with a prayer to issue hand summons/notice to the Jdr

to issue notice to the Jdrs No.3 and 7 in Kannada Daily News paper Kannada Prabha. Heard and allowed the said application. Issue notice to the Jdrs No.3 and 7 in said paper, if PF and draft citation are furnished by 19-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Accused No.1 and 2 are present. Accused No.2 filed the application Under Section 70(2) of Cr.Pc to recall of NBW of accused No.2. Hence, NBW is recalled.

That, the statement of the accused U/s.313 of Cr.Pc has recorded and read over to them in language known to them and they have denied all incriminating evidence appearing against them and not chosen to lead either oral or documentary evidence on their behalf.

Heard the arguments by prosecution and learned counsel for the accused.

For judgment.

R/by 01-12-2025.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 220/2025**

**ORDER ON IA No.I**

That, I have gone through the contents of IA No.I, plaint averments and documents produced therein. That, the plaintiff has made out a prima facie case to restrain the defendant from alienating, mortgage and lease the suit property. Hence, issue exparte ad interim temporary injunction against the defendant restrain him from alienating, mortgage and lease the suit property till further orders of this

Court, if the provisions of Order 39  
Rule 3(a) of CPC are complied.

Issue suit summons to  
defendant R/by 20-01-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**Order on IA No.II**

That, the plaintiff No.4 filed the application Under Order 32 Rule 1 of CPC with a prayer to permit her to proceed with the matter on behalf o the minor plaintiffs No.1 to 3. Head and allowed the said application as a plaintiffs No.1 to 3 are minors, plaintiff No.4 is permitted proceed with the matter on their behalf.

**Order on IA No.I**

That, I have gone through the contents of IA No.I, plaint averments and documents produced therein.

Issue Emergent notice to the defendants to No.1 and 2 on IA No.I and issue suit summons to defendants No.1 to 3 by 18-12-2025.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**CC 290/2025**

Accused No.1 to 6 and their learned counsel are present.

Charge of the accused have recorded and read over to them in language known to them and they have not pleaded guilty and claimed to tried.

Issue summons to CW1 to 5 by 06-04-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**Order on IA No.VI.**

That, the plaintiffs filed the application Under Order 23 Rule 1(3) of CPC with a prayer permit him to withdraw the suit with a liberty to file fresh suit on the same cause of action.

2. That, plaintiff No.2 in his affidavit as stated that from the records it appears that, the suit lands have granted in favour of their father, grand father and defendant No.1 and if such being the case the question of principle of ancestral properties does not arise at all. Hence, it is very much necessary to withdraw the suit and file fresh suit on the same cause of action.

3. That, the defendant No.2 file his detailed objection to the said application contending that the suit properties i.e., land bearing Sy.No.54, 341/1 and 341/3 are the ancestral and joint family properties of the plaintiffs and this defendant and the wife, son and daughter of the plaintiff No.2 have filed suit in OS No.66/2025 on the board of this Court and plaintiff No.2 had sold the ancestral property bearing land Sy.No.370/5 to one Smt. Basamma W/o Sayabanna of Hoskeri village. Hence, the present application is not maintainable.

4. That, I have heard the arguments and perused the materials placed on record.

1. Whether the plaintiffs have made out the grounds to allow their said application as prayed for?
2. What order?

5. That, my answered to the aforesaid points are as under;

**Point No.1:** In the **Affirmative**

**Point No.2:** As per the final Order for the following;

**REASONS**

**6. Point No.1:** That, the plaintiffs have contended that, the suit schedule properties are tenancy properties. Hence, it is very much necessary to withdraw the suit. It is to be noted here that, from the perusal of the documents i.e, RTCs produced by the plaintiffs it appears that the suit schedule properties are the tenancy properties. It is to be noted here that, from the pleadings of the plaintiffs it appears that the plaintiffs suffering technical grounds. Hence, it is very much necessary for the plaintiffs are permit withdraw the suit as prayed for. Hence, point No.1 is the **Affirmative**.

**7. Point No.2:** That, as discussed on Point No.1, I proceed to pass the following;

**ORDER**

That, the application filed by the plaintiffs Under Order 23 Rule 1(3) of CPC, is hereby allowed on cost of Rs.2,000/-.

That, the plaintiffs are permitted to withdraw the suit and file the fresh suit on the same cause of action.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**MVC 54/2023**

Petitioners learned counsel filed the application Under Order 16 Rule 1 of CPC with a prayer to issue summons to the witnesses as prayed for IA No.-III and filed another application Under Order 13 Rule 9 R/w Section 151 of CPC to return the originals of Ex.P18 and 19 to the petitioner as he need of said documents his day today use and submitted the certified copies of the said documents-IA No.IV.

That, Respondent No.3 learned counsel has submitted for allowing IAs No.III and IV. Heard and IA No.III and IV are allowed.

That, office is issue summons to the witnesses as prayed in IA No.III.

That, petitioner learned counsel has paid PF R/by 23-12-2025.

That the office is to return the originals of Ex.P18 and 19 to the petitioner on condition that he shall

produce the said documents before  
this tribunal whenever called upon.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**MVC No.10/2025**

Petitioner and Respondent No.1 learned counsel are present. Shri TGK learned counsel filed power for respondent No.3 and filed the application Under Order 9 Rule 7 of CPC with a prayer to set aside the exparte order passed against the Respondent No.3- IA No.I.

That, the petitioner learned counsel has submitted for allowing the IA No.I. Heard and IA No.I is allowed and exparte order passed against the Respondent No.3 is hereby set aside and he is permitted to proceed with the matter.

For WS of Respondent No.3 by  
30-12-2025.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**CC 282/2025**

Surety petitioner by name Saheb Gouda, S/o Siddanna Algur, Age 62 years, Occ. Agri, R/o Village Bantihal, Tq. Shahapur, Dist. Yadgir is present and he submits he is ready to stand a surety to accused No.1. said surety is accepted.

That, the accused No.1 is hereby directed to execute his bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-. Office is to take bond. This will remain inforce for six months from the date of this Order.

For Plea

By

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**Order on application filed**  
**Under Section 503 of BNSS.**

That, the petitioner filed the said application with a prayer for giving him in his interim custody of 3 cows and two small oxen which were seized by the B.Gudi police under No.60/2025 at Sl.No.1 to 4 and 6.

That, in said application it has been contented that, the said cattle's have been Gowthatna and if they not released the conditions may be damaged.

That, the prosecution has submitted its detailed objections to said application.

That, I have heard the arguments and perused the materials placed on record.

It is to be noted here that, the petitioner has submitted a receipt bearing No.001362 to show that, he had purchased the said cattle's in Devadurga. It is to be noted here that, at this junction I have gone through the decisions render in AIR 2003 SC 638 in Sunderbhai Ambala Desai V/s State of Gujarath. Keeping in view the guidelines issued in the said decision the said

cattle's have been given under the interim custody of the petitioner.

That, the petitioner shall furnished the indemnity and surety bonds of Rs.1,00,000/- with following conditions that,

1. He shall not alienate the said cattle's to anybody till final disposal of the present case.
2. He shall produce the said cattle's before this Court whenever called upon.

That, the office is to intimate the concerned police to release the said cattle's and take 4 photographs the said cattle's and 4 angles and furnish the same.

One Irappa S/o Nagappa Huli, Age 55 years, Occ. Agri, R/o Village Ganwar, Tq. Jewargi, Dist. Kalaburgi is present.

Perused affidavit, copy of RTC and Aadhar Card found correct and satisfied. Hence, surety is rejected as he has already given surety in CC No.87/2018 in the Kalaburgi Court. Hence, the said surety is rejected.

Put up after final report.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**IN THE COURT OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AT SHAHAPUR**

**O.S.No. 109/2021**

Smt. Gawarbee V/s Anjaneya

**AFFIDAVIT**

**(U/O.18, R.4 CPC For Exam-in-Chief)**

Name : Abdul Gani  
Husband's Name: Gafoorsab  
Age : 50 Yrs  
Occ: Agri,  
R/o Village Hayyal, Tq: Wadgera, Dist:  
Yadgiri.  
Aadhar No: 2061 4459 5608

1, the above named deponent do hereby state on oath as under:

1) That the plaintiff is owner in possession of Sy.No.145, village Hayyal (B), the suit land. My uncle's son Shaikh Dawood S/o Abdul Azizsab, owns land Sy.No.147/4, just near to the suit land. Hence I am acquainted with the plaintiff Smt. Gawarbee.

2) That plaintiff is in possession of the suit land, which belonged to her grandfather. The suit land has been mutated in the name of many people, since 1969, without any authentication or valid documents. It is her ancestral property. Therefore, I confirm her possession over the property.

Hence, is affidavit is sworn on this the 12th day of November  
2025 at Shahapur.

**EX.99/2023**

Dhr learned counsel is present and filed the application Under Order 5 Rule 20 of CPC with a prayed to issue notice to the Jdrs No.3 and 7 in Kannada Daily News paper Kannada Prabha. Heard and allowed the said application. Issue notice to the Jdrs No.3 and 7 in said paper, if PF and draft citation are furnished by 19-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



Appellant learned counsel filed the application Under section 151 of CPC with a prayer to extend the T.I order passed under IAs No.II and III. Heard TI order passed on IAs No.II and III is extended till next date of hearing.

Appellant and respondents No.1 to 4 learned counsel are present.

Office is to call for TCR and hearing on IA No.II. Call 21-01-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 40/2016**

**Order on IA No.XIII**

The plaintiff filed the application Under Order 7 Rule 14 of CPC with a prayer permit him to furnish the documents as per cover list.

That the defendant filed his objections to said application contending that, in this case when the matter was set down for arguments the plaintiff filed the present application which is not maintainable.

Heard on said application it is to be noted here that, the plaintiff has claimed only for to produce the documents as per cover list. It is to e noted here hat, if the plaintiff is permitted to produced the alleged documents, then will no prejudice will be caused to other side.

Hence, IA No.XIII is allowed and the plaintiff is permitted to furnish the documents as per cover list.

**Order on IA No.XIV**

That, the plaintiff filed the application Under Order 11 rule 14 R/w section 151 of CPC with a prayer to direct the defendant to produce the original registered sale deed Doc. bearing No.2357/2008-09 dated 10-11-2008 and another original sale deed Doc. Bering No.2474/2008-09 dated 14-07-2008.

That, the defendant filed his detailed objections to said application and I have gone through the same.

It is to be noted here that, from the records it appear that, the plaintiff has not issued notice to the defendant to produce the alleged documents as per Form No.VII Appendix-C of the Code of Civil Procedure. Hence, the present application is not maintainable. Hence, IA No.14 is hereby rejected.

For arguments by 17-11-2025.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 15/2020**

Plaintiff learned counsel is present. The learned counsel for the minor defendant No.5 and learned counsel for defendant No.6 to 8 prays time to file WS. Hence, time has been granted on 01-12-2025.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**MVC 07/2023**

Petitioner learned counsel is present. Respondent No.2 learned counsel is present and filed the application under section 151 of CPC with a prayer defend the case on merits- IA No.IV and filed another application Under Section 151 of CPC with a prayer to permit the respondent No.2 file WS -IA No.V and filed another application U/s. 151 of CPC with a prayer permit the Respondent No.2 to cross examined PW1-IA No.VI and filed objections to main petition.

That, the petitioner learned has submitted for allowing IAs No.IV to VI. Heard and the IAs No.IV to VI are allowed. That, the Respondent No.2 is permitted to file objection to main petition and cross-examined the PW1.

That, the respondent No.2 learned counsel filed another application Under Section 16 Rule 6 R/w Section 151 of CPC to direct the petitioner to produce the documents as prayed for IA No.VII. That, the petitioner learned counsel has submitted for allowing IA No.VII. Heard and IA No.VII is allowed.

For issues and production of documents as prayed in IA No.VII by the petitioner by 20-11-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Petitioner learned counsel is absent. Notice is served on respondent and he is called out absent. For petitioner evidence. By 20-05-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

That, the plaintiff learned counsel has filed application Under Order 6 Rule 17 R/w Section 151 of CPC with a prayer permit the plaintiff to carry out the amendment as prayed for -IA No.II.

That, the plaintiff is intending to delete the word Khanapur and instead the work Naikal Village pertaining to the suit property. That, the alleged amendment neither changes the nature of the case nor causes injustice to other side.

Hence, IA No.II is allowed and plaintiff is permitted to carry out the amendment and file amended plaint by 25-11-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**C C 270/2025**

Surety petitioner by name Kishan S/o Teju Rathod, R/o Villae Gogi (K), Tq. Shahapur, Dist. Yadgir is present and he submits he is ready to stand a surety to accused No1. To 5. Said surety is accepted.

That, the accused No.1 to 5 hereby directed to execute their bonds for a sum of Rs.20,000/- each. Office is to take bonds. This will remain inforce for six months from the date of this Order.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**CC 212/2020**

That, the accused and his learned counsel are present. That, the statement of the accused U/s. 313 of Cr.Pc has recorded and read over to him in language known to him and he has denied all incriminating evidence appearing against him and not chosen to lead either oral or documentary evidence on his behalf.

For arguments.

R/by 18-02-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

Petitioner learned counsel is present and filed memo with paper publication in Kannada Daily news papers, Kumar express and Yadgir express for issuing notice to the respondent No.1/ general in public and nobody has appeared. Petitioner is present and she is examined as PW1 and got marked Ex.P1. That, the

petitioner learned counsel has submitted no further evidence on behalf of the petitioner. Hence, petitioner side evidence is closed.

Heard the arguments by petitioner learned counsel.

For orders by 16-03-2026.

C/c Prl.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

That, Shri SND learned counsel filed power for defendants No.11 and 15 and filed application Under Order 9 Rule 7 of CPC with a prayer to set aside the exparte order passed against the defendants No.11 and 15 -IA No.III.

That, the plaintiff learned counsel submitted for allowing the said application on cost. Heard and allowed the said application.

That the exparte order passed against the defendants No.11 and 15 is hereby set aside and he is permitted to proceed with the matter.

That, the learned counsel for the defendants No.11 filed the written statement and filed a memo stating that, the defendant No.15 adopts the written statement submitted by the defendant No.11 and filed another application the said written statement may kindly be treated as objections to IA No.I.

Plaintiff learned counsel has paid PF. Reissue summons to Defendant No.1 and 14 by 03-11-2025 and hearing on IA No.I.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

MVC No.

That, the petitioners have filed the application Under Section 152 of CPC with a prayer kindly re-arrange the shares amount infavour of them.

That, I have perused the records. That, the matter was ended in compromise on 13-09-2025 Lok Adalath.

That, this tribunal had apportioned infavour of the petitioner No.1 50%, infavour of the petitioners No.4 and 5 each 20% and infavour of the minor petitioners No.2 and 3, 10% each (i.e., total share comes to 110%). Hence, today in said apportionment in respect of petitioner No.5 it is re allotted 10%.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS /2023**

Plaintiff learned counsel filed the application Under Order 5 Rule 20 R/w Section 151 of CPC with a prayed to issue summons to the defendant by way of affixture very last resides. Heard and allowed the said application.

Reissue summons to defendant by way of affixture, affix in the summons on the main door of his house which is situated at Gogi (K) Village, Tq. Shahapur by 09-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Plaintiff learned counsel is present. Defendant learned counsel is present.

DW1 is absent. That, the learned counsel for defendant submits he will keep the DW1 present before this court on next date of hearing for tendering cross examination. That, inspite of sufficient opportunities given the DW1 has failed to tender for cross-examination. Hence, prayer of learned counsel for defendant is hereby rejected and entire evidence of DW1 is discarded.

That, defendant learned counsel has submitted no further evidence on behalf of the defendant side. Hence, defendant side evidence is closed.

For argument by 03-11-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Plaintiff learned counsel is present. That, Shri CTD learned counsel filed power for defendant No.4 and filed application Under Order 9 Rule 7 of CPC with a prayer to set aside the exparte order passed against the defendant No.4 - IA No.I.

That, the plaintiffs learned counsel submitted for allowing the said application. Heard and allowed the said application.

That the exparte order passed against the defendant No.4 is hereby set aside and he is permitted to proceed with the matter.

Reissue suit summons to defendant No.5 by 08-12-2025 and written statement of defendant No.4.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**CC 290/2024 (correct)**

That, the learned counsel for the accused No.1 to 3 filed a memo stating that, the accused have furnished the surety while enlarging them on bail and the same may be continued in compliance of Section 437(A) of Cr.Pc.

Heard and allowed the said memo. That, earlier surety furnished by the accused are continued till further period of 6 months from this order.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Plaintiff in person is present. Defendant learned counsel filed objections to IA No.V to VIII. That plaintiff in person written submission in respect of IA No. VII and filed a memo of clarification and rectification of court fee payment. That, plaintiff in person filed applications Under section 151 of CPC with a prayer permit him to filed the valuation slip- IA No.VIII and Under section 151 of CPC for consideration and dismissal of defendants objections to sufficiency of court fee IA No.IX and filed written submission in respect of IA No.VIII. Plaintiff in person submitted revised suit valuation slip and submitted decisions with a memo.

For objections to IA No.VIII and IX by defendant learned counsel and hearing on IAs No.V to VII by 05-11-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**MVC 231/2023**

Petitioner learned counsel  
filed the application Under Order 5

Rule 20(2) of CPC with a prayer to issue notice to the respondent No.1 in Kannada Daily news paper, Pramathavani. Heard and allowed the said application. Issue notice to the respondent No.1 in said news paper, if PF and draft citation are furnished by 24-03-2026. Respondent No.2 learned counsel is present.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



**OS 234/2022**

Shri. CTD learned counsel filed the power for defendant No.6 and filed the application Under Order 9 Rule 7 of CPC with a prayer to set aside the exparte order passed against the defendant No.6-IA NoVII.

That, the plaintiff learned counsel has submitted for allowing the IA No.VII. Heard and IA No.VII is allowed and exparte order passed against defendant No.6 is hereby set aside and he is permitted to proceed with the matter.

That the defendants No.1, 2, 4 to 8 learned counsel filed the application Under Section 151 of CPC with a prayer to permit the said defendants to file their written statement- IA No.VIII. That, the learned counsel for the plaintiff has submitted for allowing the IA No.VIII. Heard and IA No.VIII is allowed.

The learned counsel for the defendants No.1, 4, 5, 7 to 11 filed written statement along with list of documents and filed a memo stating that, defendant No.6 adopts the written statement submitted by the defendants No.1, 4, 5, 7 to 11.

For Issues by 04-11-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



That, the learned counsel filed the application Under Order 1 Rule 10 of CPC with a prayer to implead the proposed defendants No.5 to 10 – IA No.II. Issue notice to the proposed defendants No.5 to 10 if 5 PF and IA No.II copies are furnished.

Issue suit summons to defendants No.1 to 4 and notice on IA No.I to them if PF is paid by 10-12-2025.

Sr. CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Cr1.Misc.10/2024, 33/2025

That, the petitioner learned counsel is present and filed a memo stating that, the petitioner is not interested to proceed with the matter, hence, the petition may be closed. Heard and allowed the said memo and the present petition is dismissed as not pressed for.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.





**OS 59/2026**

**ORDER ON IA No.I**

That, I have gone through the contents of IA No.I, plaint averments and documents produced therein. That, issue emergent notice on IA No.I to the defendants No.1 to 3 and issue summons to defendants No.1 to 3. R/by 09-04-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 53/2026**

**ORDER ON IA No.I**

That, I have gone through the contents of IA No.I, plaint averments and documents produced therein. That, issue emergent notice on IA No.I to the defendants No.2 and issue summons to defendants No.1 to 6 By 08-04-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,**

**Shahapur.**

**OS 45/2026**

**ORDER ON IA No.I**

That, I have gone through the contents of IA No.I, plaint averments and documents

produced therein. That, issue emergent notice on IA No.I to the defendants No.1 to 3 and issue summons to them. By 06-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 44/2026**

**ORDER ON IA No.I**

That, I have gone through the contents of IA No.I, plaint averments and documents produced therein. That, issue emergent notice on IA No.I to the defendants and issue summons to them. By 23-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

Petitioner learned counsel filed the application Under Order 5 Rule 20 of CPC with a prayer to issue notice to the respondent in Kannada Daily news paper, Kannada Prabha express. Heard and allowed the said application. Issue notice to the respondent in said news paper, if PF and draft citation are furnished by 07-03-2026.

RA 1/2023

R1 learned counsel filed a memo stating that R2 died on 24-02-2026 and submitted form No.4. For steps to Lrs of R1 by 07-03-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



For orders on IA No.II.

By 10-03-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**MC 21/2025**

Petitioner learned counsel filed the application Under Order 5 Rule 20 of CPC with a prayer to issue notice to the respondent in Kannada Daily news paper, Kannada Prabha express. Heard and allowed the said application. Issue notice to the respondent in said news paper, if PF and draft citation are furnished by 07-03-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**Order on IA No.24**

That, the Lrs of proposed defendant No.17 i.e, proposed defendant No.7(a) to (c) have filed the application Under Order 22 Rule 4 R/w Section 151 of CPC to bringing them on record.

That, the said defendants in their application have contended that, their mother proposed defendants No.17 died on 11-07-2025 in Ashirvad Hospital, Yadgir living behind on them as their legal heir. Hence, it is very much necessary to impaed them in present suit.

That, the defendant No.3 filed his detailed objections to said application and has contended that, as the present application is not maintainable, the legal representatives of the proposed defendant No.17 have got right to implead in present suit. Hence, //////////////

That, I have heard the arguments.

It is to be noted here hat, this court on 12-09-2025 has pronounced the judgment and this Court has reminded the matter suit to the learned counsel trial Court for fresh disposal. Hence, the question of staying the operation and execution of said Judgment and Decree does not arise at all. Hence, said application is hereby rejected.

Shahapur.

That, Appellants/respondent have filed the application Under Order 41 Rule 5(2) of CPC, with a prayer to stay the execution and operation of Judgment and Decree passed by this Court dated 12-09-2025.

That, appellants have filed objections to said application.

That, I have heard the arguments.

It is to be noted here hat, this court on 12-09-2025 has pronounced the judgment and this Court has reminded the matter suit to the learned counsel trial Court for fresh disposal. Hence, the question of staying the operation and execution of said Judgment and Decree does not arise at all. Hence, said application is hereby rejected.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**CC 1553/2023**

That, the accused No.1 to 3 and their learned counsel are present. That, the statement of the accused U/s.313 of Cr.Pc has recorded and read over to them in language known to them and they have denied all incriminating evidence appearing against them and not chosen to lead either oral or documentary evidence on their behalf.

Heard the argument by the prosecution and learned counsel for the accused No.1 to 3.

For judgment

R/by 04-10-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

That, the accused and his learned counsel are present. That, the statement of the accused U/s.313 of Cr.Pc has recorded and read over to him in language known to him and he has denied all incriminating evidence appearing against him and not chosen to lead either oral or documentary evidence on his behalf.

Heard the argument by the prosecution and learned counsel for the accused.

For judgment

R/by 25-09-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS No.141/2024**

Defendant No.7 learned counsel filed the application U/s. 151 of CPC with a prayer permit the defendant No.7 filed WS-IA No.IX. That, the learned counsel for plaintiff has submitted for allowing the IA No.IX. Heard and IA No.IX is hereby allowed.

That, the defendant no.7 learned counsel filed written statement of defendant No.7 and filed a memo stating that, the defendant No.8 adopts the written statement submitted by the defendant No.7.

Addl.issues farmed.

For further evidence of plaintiff on addl.issue.

by 25-10-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS No.163/2025**

**ORDER ON IA No.I**

That, I have gone through the contents of IA No.I.

That, the plaintiff has made out grounds to dispense with the issue of mandatory notice to the defendants No.1 and 2 Under Section 80(1) of CPC. That, the plaintiff is permitted to dispense with the issuance of said notice to the defendant No.1 and 2.

Issue Emergent notice to the defendants to No.3 to 8 on IA No.II and issue suit summons to defendants No.1 to 8 by 08-10-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,

Shahapur.

**OS 51/2026**

**ORDER ON IA No.I**

That, I have gone through the contents of IA No.I, plaint averments and documents produced therein. That, the plaintiff has made out a prima facie case to restraining the defendant from alienating the suit properties.

Hence, issue exparte ad interim

temporary injunction against the defendant to restraining him from alienating the suit properties till further orders of this Court, if the provisions of Order 39 Rule 3(a) of CPC are complied.

Issue suit summons to defendant. By 06-04-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS 41/2026**

**ORDER ON IA No.I**

That, I have gone through the contents of IA No.I, plaint averments and documents produced therein. That, the plaintiff has made out a prima facie case to restrain the defendant from

alienating the suit schedule properties. Hence, issue exparte ad interim temporary injunction against the defendant restraining him from alienating the suit properties till further orders of this Court, if the provisions of Order 39 Rule 3(a) of CPC are complied.

Issue suit summons to defendant. By 15-04-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS No.284/2022**

Plaintiff and defendant and their learned counsel are present. Heard on said compromise petition by both the learned counsel.

I have gone through the compromise petition filed by the both the parties Under Order 22 Rule 3 of CPC and read over the same to both the parties and they have agreed to for the same.

That, I have submitted with contents of the said compromise petition and both the parties are permitted to compromise the matter accordingly.

That, office is to draw the final decree.

That, the hand sketch map annexed to the said compromise petition shall become the part and parcel of the decree.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**FDP No.8/2022**

**Order on IA No.III**

That, I have gone through the records.

That, Taluka Surveyor, Shahapur has been appointed as a Court Commissioner to effect the partition as per the preliminary decree passed in OS No.106/2019 dated 26-07-2022- IA No.III is hereby allowed.

That, the office is to issue commissioner warrant, if PF and court commissioner fee of Rs.3,000/- is deposited.

For report by 21-10-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS No./2025**

That, I have gone through the plaint averments, contents of IA No.I and the documents produced therein.

That, the plaintiff has made out a prima facie case to restrain the defendants No.2 from closing the way in the suit land. Hence, issue exparte ad interim temporary injunction against the defendant No.2 from closing the way which is existed in the suit property till further orders of this Court, if the provisions of Order 39 Rule 3(a) of CPC are complied.

Issue suit summons to defendants No.1 and 2.

by 04-09-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**Crl.Misc. Paper citation 5 Rule 20**

Petitioner learned counsel is present. Notice served on general in public/ Respondent No.1 and he is called out, absent. Petitioner learned counsel filed the application Under Order 5 Rule 20 of CPC for issuing notice the general public in Kannada Daily news papers, Kumar express and Yadgir express. Heard and allowed the said application.

Issue notice to the public in general/ respondent in said news paper, if PF and draft citation are furnished by 31-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



**OS 142/2024**

Plaintiff is present and his learned counsel filed the application Under Order 5 Rule 20 of CPC with a prayed to issue notice to the defendants No.1 to 4 in Kannada Daily News paper Udaya Vani Karnataka. Heard and allowed the said application. Issue notice to the defendants No.1 to 4 in said paper, if PF and draft citation are furnished by 08-04-2026. Plaintiff is present.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS No.166/**

Plaintiff learned counsel filed application Under Section 151 of CPC with a prayer to extent the TI order. That, on last date of hearing the plaintiff has not got extended the said TI order and the said application is not maintainable and the same is rejected.

Plaintiff learned counsel has paid PF. Reissue suit summons to defendants No.1, 3, 4, 6 to 8.

by 29-10-2025.



**ECA No.01/2024**

OS 166/2024

Shri RHK learned counsel filed power for defendant and filed application Under Order 9 Rule 7 of CPC with a prayer to set aside the exparte order passed against the defendant -IA No.I. and filed another application Under Section

151 of CPC with a prayer permit the defendant No.2 file Written statement-IA No.II and filed written statement.

That, the learned counsel for the plaintiff prays time to file objections to IA's No.I and II. Hence, time has been granted on 25-09-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

That, the petitioner learned counsel filed the application Under Order 5 Rule 20 of CPC for issuing notice the general public in Kumar express and Yadgir express Kannada daily news papers. Heard and allowed the said application. Issue notice to the public in general in said news paper if draft citation is furnished.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**ORDER ON IA No.V.**

1. That, the petitioners have filed the application Under Order 26 Rule 13 of CPC with a prayer to appoint ADLR, Shahapur and any learned counsel of Bar Association of Shahapur Court as a Court Commissioner for effecting the partition for 1/4th and 1/6th share.

2. That, the respondent No.3 filed objections to said application and has contended that, RFA No.20031/2019 was pending before the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Kalaburgi Bench and the Hon'ble Court had passed the order on 01-03-2019 as drawing a final decree is stayed but not the proceedings. Hence, the question of proceeding the matter further does not arise at all and if such being the case seeking appointment of commissioners amongst to contempt and may result in initiation of the contempt proceedings Under Section 2 of the Contempt Act. Hence, prayed for rejecting the said application.

3. I have heard the arguments and perused the materials placed on record.

4. That, I have gone through the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Kalaburgi Bench in RFA No.20031/2019 dated 01-03-2019. wherein the Hon'ble Court passed the order that, "drawing up of final decree is stayed, but not the proceedings."

5. It is to be noted here that, in present case if the court commissioners are appointed for effecting the partition as per the Preliminary Decree passed by this Court in OS No.59/2014 dated 13-11-2018 no prejudice will be caused to other side. Hence, IA No.V is hereby allowed

For further steps.

By 08-09-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**MVC No.119/2024**

Respondent No.2 learned counsel filed application Under Section 151 R/w Sec.94(e) of CPC with a prayer permit the Respondent No.2 submit objections-IA No.I.

That, the petitioner learned counsel has submitted for allowing the said application. Heard and IA No.I is allowed on cost of Rs.200/-. That, the respondent No.2 is permitted to file objection to main petition.

That, the Respondent No.2 learned counsel filed objections to main petition.

Issues are framed and read over.

For Petitioner Evidence.

By 17-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**03-12-2025**

Plaintiff learned counsel is present. Defendant No.1 to 4 learned counsel are prays time to lead evidence. Hence, time has been granted on 09-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**09-12-2025**

Plaintiff learned counsel is present. Defendant No.4 learned counsel has submitted no evidence on behalf of the Defendant No.4. Defendant No.1 to 3 and their learned counsel are absent. Defendant No.1 to 3 have not filed Ws. Heard the arguments by the plaintiff learned counsel. For arguments by the Defendant No.1 to 4 learned counsel by 10-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**10-12-2025**

Heard further arguments by the plaintiff learned counsel and

Defendant No.4 learned counsel.  
Reply by the plaintiff learned  
counsel. Defendant No.1 to 3 and  
their learned counsel are absent.  
Arguments by Defendant No.1 to 3 is  
taken as nil. For judgment by  
16-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**16-12-2025**

For judgment by 19-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**Order on IA No.IV.**

That, the defendant No.5 filed application Under Section 151 of CPC with a prayer to club the present matter in OS No.72/2020.

That, the defendant No.5 has contended that, the parties in present suit and OS No.72/2020 and subject matter in both cases are one and same. Hence, it is very much necessary to club the present matter in OS No.72/2020.

That, the plaintiff filed objections to said application and has contended that, the present suit is one for Specific Performance of Contract and Injunction and the relief claimed in the OS No.72/2020 is the Partition. Hence, clubbing the both the cases will not arise.

It is to be noted here that, the suits can be clubbed when the parties, subject matters and reliefs claimed are one and the same but, in this case the plaintiff filed the

relief for Specific Performance of  
Contract and Injunction and relief  
claimed in OS  
No.72/2020 is Partition.

Hence, both the cases cannot be  
clubbed together. Hence. IA No.IV is  
hereby rejected.

For cross of PW1.

by 19-08-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS 72/2020**

**ORDER ON IA No.11**

1. That, the plaintiff filed the application Under Order I rule 10 of CPC with a prayer to implead the proposed defendant No.14 in present case. That, on notice being issued the proposed defendant No.14 remained absent.

2. That, the plaintiff has contended that, the proposed defendant No.14 is the sister of her father. Hence,

she becomes a necessary party to the suit. That, the defendant No.10 filed objections to said application and contended that, the suit has been filed by the plaintiff in the year 2020 and she is having knowledge that the proposed defendant No.14 is the sister of the her father and she has not explained for what reason the delay has been caused in filing the present application.

3. That, I have heard on said application.

4. That, the proposed defendant No.14 becomes a necessary party to the suit. Hence, IA No.11 is allowed and the plaintiff is permitted to implead the proposed defendant No.14 in present case and filed amended plaint by 16-08-2025.

Heard on IA No.12 by plaintiff and defendant No.11 learned counsel.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS 40/2016**

That, the plaintiff filed IA No.14 U/o 11 Rule 14 R/w Sec.151 of CPC with a prayer to direct the defendant for furnishing the before this court the documents claimed by him. It is to be noted here that, the defendant filed his objections to said application. It is to be noted here that, the suit is for Specific Performance of Contract. That, the defendant in his cross-examination has denied the execution of Ex.P1 and also denied his signature on the said document. If such being the case if the defendant is directed to produce before this court the original Registered Sale Deeds doc.bearing NO.2357/2008-09

dated 10-11-2008 and Doc.bearing No.2474/2008-09 dated 14-07-2008 and registered general power of attorney document bearing No.BK-IV/94/2014-15 dated 05-02-2015 to ascertain his signatures appearing on the said documents and present Ex.P1 then no will prejudice will cause to other side.

Hence, IA No.IV is hereby allowed.

For further steps on IA No.IV and for clarification on IA No.III.

By 07-08-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**Common Orders on IAs No.I and**

**II**

1. That, the Dhr has filed applications U/o. 21 Rule 54 R/w Sec.151 of CPC and U/o. 21 Rule 43 R/w Sec.151 of CPC with a prayer to attach the immovable property of the Jdr and furnished the RTC pertaining to the land bearing Sy.No.54/3 measuring 06 acres 16 guntas for the relevant year 2024-25.

2. That, from perused of the said document it appears that, 02 acres 08 guntas of land is standing in the name of father of Jdr. It is to be noted here that, the Dhr has not furnished any document to show that the Jdr is owning either

immovable or movable property in his name. Hence, the said applications are not maintainable. Hence, IAs No.I and II are the rejected.

For further steps to Jdr  
by 16-08-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



### **ORDER ON IA No.VI**

1. That, the respondents No.1 and 2 have filed the applicant U/s. 151 of CPC with a prayer to recall the order passed by this Court on 15-07-2021 on Lr petition. That, the respondent No.1 in her affidavit has contended that, the petitioner No.1 is expired on 09-07-2020 and as a matter of fact her proposed Lrs are not her daughters and they are nothing do with the suit property.

2. That, the respondent No.1 has further contended that, the concerned authority had issued endorsement on 15-06-2020 and from which it appear that, their mother is a Devadasi. That, the petitioner No.1 has further contended that, due to Corona they have not contacted their learned counsel and due to which their learned counsel have submitted no objection to said Lr application. Hence, it is very much necessary to recall the said order.

3. That, the petitioners have submitted their objections to said application contending that, the learned counsel for the respondent has submitted no objections to IAs No.III to V and in view of the same said applications have been allowed and now the respondents cannot file such application. Hence, prayed for rejecting the said applications.

4. That, I have heard the arguments and perused the materials placed on record.

5. It is to be noted here that, from the perused the records and the learned counsel for the Respondent No.1 and 2 has submitted for the allowing IAs No.III to V and in view of the same this court had allowed the said applications. That, the respondents are now claiming that, their mother is a Devadasi and have produced before this court the copy of endorsement issued by the competent authority dated 15-06-2020 wherein it as the name of Smt. Rangamma Bidrani has been mentioned at Sl.No.367 as Devadasi Woman. It is to be noted here that, if such being the case to prove the contentions of the respondents it is very much necessary to recall the order passed by this Court dated 15-07-2021. It is to be noted here that, to adjudicate the matter properly it is very much

necessary to recall the said order. Hence, IA  
No.VI is hereby allowed.

For objections to IAs No.III to V.  
by 06-08-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS No.140/2025**

That, I have gone through the plaint averments, contents of IA No.I and the documents produced therein.

That, the plaintiff has made out a prima facie case to restrain the defendants No.2 from closing the way in the suit land. Hence, issue exparte ad interim temporary injunction against the defendant No.2 from closing the way which is existed in the suit property till further orders of this Court, if the provisions of Order 39 Rule 3(a) of CPC are complied.

Issue suit summons to defendants No.1 and 2.

by 04-09-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS No.04/2026**

That, I have gone through the  
plaint averments, contents of IA  
No.I and the documents produced.

Issue Emergent notice to the  
defendants No.1 on IA No.I and  
issue suit summons to defendants  
No.1 to 6. R/by 11-02-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS No./2026**

That, I have gone through the  
plaint averments, contents of IA  
No.I and the documents produced.

Issue Emergent notice to the  
defendants No.1 on IA No.I and  
issue suit summons to defendants  
No.1 to 6.

R/by 11-02-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**RA No.60/2025**

**Orders on IA No.I**

That, I have gone through the materials placed on record. That, I have satisfied and operation and

execution of judgment and decree passed by the trial Court in O.S.No.278/2016 dated 08-10-2025 is stayed till appearance of the all respondents before this Court.

Issue notice to Respondent No.1 to 6 on appeal memo and IA No.I R/by 20-01-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS 193/2023**

**Order on IA No.X.**

That, the defendants No.14(a) to (e) filed application U/s. 151 of CPC with a prayer permit them to file their written statement.

That, the defendant No.14(c) has pleaded that, in present case the Lrs of the deceased defendant No.14 have been impleaded very recently and they have not filed their written statement in present case and now they have collected the documents and intending to file the written statement.

That, the plaintiffs have filed their detailed objections to said application and have contended that, the PW1 filed his chief affidavit on 15-11-2023 and got marked the documents at Exs.P1 to 7 and on prayer made by the learned counsel for the defendant No.9 the matter posted for cross examination of PW1 on 07-12-2023 and on that day also the learned counsel for defendants sought the time for cross-examination of the said witness.

That, inspite of giving sufficient opportunities to the said defendants have not submitted their written statement and as per the amendment to the CPC the said defendants ought to have filed their

written statement within stipulated period. Hence, prayed for rejecting the said application.

It is to be noted here that, the present suit is one for Partition and Separate Possession. It is to be noted here that, if the said defendants are permitted to file their written statement then no prejudice will be cause to other side and on the other hand if the said application is rejected then it amounts to taking away the rights of the said defendants over the suit properties.

Hence, the said application is deserves to be allowed.

Hence, I proceed to pass the following;

**ORDER**

That, the application filed by the defendants No.14(a) to (e) in IA No.X is hereby allowed on cost of Rs.200/-.

For the written statement of defendants No.14(a) to (e) by 18-08-2025.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,**

**Shahapur.**

**OS 229/2025**

That, I have gone through the  
plaint averments, contents of IA  
No.I and the documents produced.

Issue Emergent notice to the  
defendant on IA No.I and issue suit  
summons to the defendant by  
10-02-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



**OS No.128/2025**

That, I have gone through the  
plaint averments, contents of IA  
No.I and the documents produced  
therein.

That, the plaintiff has made out  
a prima facie case to restrain the  
defendants No.1 to 4 from  
alienating suit property. Hence,  
issue exparte ad interim temporary  
injunction against the defendants  
No.1 to 4 from alienating the suit  
property till further orders of this  
Court, if the provisions of Order 39  
Rule 3(a) of CPC are complied.

Issue suit summons to  
defendants No.1 to 4.

by 02-09-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS No.125/2025**

That, I have gone through the  
plaint averments, contents of IA  
No.I and the documents produced  
therein.

That, the plaintiffs have made out a prima facie case to restrain the defendant from alienating and creating any charge over the suit property. Hence, issue ex parte ad interim temporary injunction against the defendant from alienating and creating any charge over the suit property till further orders of this Court, if the provisions of Order 39 Rule 3(a) of CPC are complied.

Issue suit summons to defendant.

by 01-09-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**Common Orders on IA No.III and IV**

1. That, the defendants have filed the applications U/s. 151 of CPC & U/o 18 Rule 17 of CPC with a prayer to re open and recall PW1 for cross examination.
2. That, the defendant No.7 in his affidavits has submitted that, as they have not to obtained certain documents in support their defense they have failed to cross examine PW1. That, it ordered to probabalies their defenses it is very much necessary to cross examine the PW1.
3. That, the plaintiff has filed her detailed objections to both the applications and I have gone through the same.
4. That I have heard the arguments and perused the materials and placed on the record.
5. That, the following points arise for My consideration and determination.

1. Whether the defendants have made out the grounds to allow their said applications as prayed for?

2. What order?

6. That, my answered to the aforesaid points are as under;

7. **Point No.1:** In the **AFFIRMATIVE.**

8. **Points No2:** As per the final order of the following:

### **Reasons**

9. **Point No.1:** It is to be noted here that, the defendant seeking to recall PW1 for cross examination. It is to be noted here that, from the records it appears that, inspite of giving opportunities the defendants have failed to cross examine PW1. It is to be noted here that, the present suit is one for Declaration and Injunction. It is to be noted here that, though the defendants have not assigned sufficient cause for not cross examining the PW1 but, they cannot be curtailed from cross examining the PW1 and prove their

defenses. Hence, if the defendants are permitted to cross examine PW1 then no prejudice will be cause to other side. Hence, Point No.1 is answered in the **AFFIRMATIVE**.

10. **Point No.2:** That, as discussed on Point No.1, I proceed to pass the following;

**ORDER**

That, the applications filed by the defendants in IAs No.III and IV are allowed on cost of Rs.200/- each.

That, the defendants are permitted to cross examine PW1.

For cross examination of PW1 finally by 07-08-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS 50/2020**

That, plaintiff and defendant and their learned counsel are present. That, both the parties have filed a memo stating that, in view of compromise occurred by the parties in OS No.96/2020 before the Addl. Civil Judge and JMFC, Shahapur the plaintiff is not intending to proceed with the matter. Hence, prayed for dismissing the sit. Heard and allowed the said memo suit is dismissed as not pressed.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS No.126/2025**

That, I have gone through the plaint averments, contents of IA No.I and the documents produced therein.

That, the plaintiffs have made out a prima facie case to restrain the defendant No.2 from alienating and creating any charge over the suit property. Hence, issue exparte ad interim temporary injunction against the defendant No.2 from alienating and creating any charge over the suit property till further orders of this Court, if the provisions of Order 39 Rule 3(a) of CPC are complied.

Issue suit summons to defendants No.1 and 2.

by 01-09-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS 11/2025**

Plaintiff is present and his learned counsel is present. Shri MNH learned counsel filed power for defendant and filed application U/o 9 Rule 7 of CPC to set aside the exparte order passed against the defendant -IA No.II. Plaintiff learned counsel for allowing IA No.II.

Heard and IA No.II is allowed exparte order passed against defendant is hereby set aside.

That, I have gone through the contents of said compromise petition. Petitioner read over to both the parties and agreed for the same. That, I have satisfied. That, both the parties are permitted to compromise the matter as their said compromise petition.

That, the office is to verify and refund the entire court fee to the plaintiff.

That, draw decree accordingly

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**Order on IA No.V**

That, the plaintiff has filed the application U/o I rule 10 R/w Sec.151 of CPC with a prayer to implead the proposed Defendants No.4 to 25 in present case. That, the plaintiff in her application has contended that, the defendants No.1 and 2 have let out 22 commercial shops of Schedule 'B' Property to the proposed defendants for business and utilizing and collecting the enter rent amount. That, the plaintiff has further contended that, the suit properties are the ancestral and joint family properties of herself and defendants and they have got equal share in the same.

That, on notices being issued to the proposed Defendants No.4 to 25 and they have remained absent. It is to be

noted here that, the plaintiff herself has contended that, the proposed Defendants No.4 to 25 are carrying business in the said properties. If such being the case neither the become necessary or proper parties to the suit. Hence, IA No.V is hereby rejected.

Reissue suit summons to defendant No.3 and WS of defendants No.1 and 2.

By 06-08-2025.

Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.





**RA 25/2025**

Appellant learned counsel is present and filed application U/s. 151 of CPC with a prayer to extend the said TI order. Heard and said TI order is extended till further orders of this Court.

Issue notice on IA No.I to the Respondent No.1, 2, 4(a), 5 to 7 and also issue notice to them on appeal memo.

By 30-08-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



OS 117/2025

That, the plaintiff learned counsel filed the application Under Section 152 R/w Section 151 of CPC contending that, due to oversight in the judgment passed by this court dated 06-12-2025 the name of the defendant has been typed as Basamma instead of Sabamma. Hence, the said mistake has to be corrected.

That, I have gone through the records and due to oversight the said mistake had crept out and the same is corrected in the cause title of the judgment dated 06-12-2025.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**





That, the petitioner and his learned counsel are present. Both the parties have filed joint memo. Perused the records.

Refer the matter to Lok Adalath.

By 14-03-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Case is advanced on application filed by the petitioner learned counsel.

That, the petitioner and his learned counsel are present. Both the parties have filed joint memo. Perused the records.

Refer the matter to Lok Adalath.

By 14-03-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Petitioner and her learned counsel are absent. Case is called out at 11.45 a.m. Call again. Case is called out at 03.20 p.m. Petitioner and her learned counsel are absent. That, inspite of giving sufficient opportunities, the petitioner has failed to lead her evidence. Hence, petition is dismissed for non prosecution.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS 112/2025**

Case is advanced on application filed by the plaintiff learned counsel.

That, the plaintiff and his learned counsel are present. Defendant is present. That,, Shri NBB learned counsel filed power for defendant. That, both the parties have filed joint compromise petition. That I have gone through the contents of said compromise petition and read over to both the parties and they have agreed for the same.

That, both parties have agreed for the terms and conditions of the said joint compromise petition.

That, both parties have submitted xerox copies of their Aadhar Cards.

Refer the matter to Lok Adalath.

By 12-07-2025.

Plaintiff learned counsel filed a memo stating that, the matter is settled the out of court and submitted a letter issued by the plaintiff-bank. That, I have heard on said memo and allowed the same and the present suit is dismissed as not pressed for. That, the learned counsel for the plaintiff-bank filed a memo for refunding the entire court. That, the office is to verify and refund the entire court fee to the plaintiff-bank.

Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.

Case is advanced on application filed by the plaintiff learned counsel.

That, the plaintiff and his learned counsel are present. Defendant is present. That,, Shri SND learned counsel filed power for defendant. That, both the parties have filed joint compromise petition. That I have gone through the contents of said compromise petition and read over to both the parties and they have agreed for the same.

That, both parties have agreed for the terms and conditions of the said joint compromise petition.

That, both parties have submitted xerox copies of their Aadhar Cards.

Refer the matter to Lok Adalath.

By 12-07-2025.

Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.

That, the plaintiff and defendants No.1 to 8 and their learned counsel are present. That, both the parties have filed joint compromise petition. That I have gone through the contents of said compromise petition and read over to both the parties and they have agreed for the same.

That, today the defendant No.1 has received Rs.5,00,000/- in cash from the plaintiff and defendants No.2 and 5 and 50 grams of Gold in lieu of her share.

That, both parties have agreed for the terms and conditions of the said joint compromise petition.

That, both parties have submitted xerox copies of their Aadhar Cards.

Refer the matter to Lok Adalath.

By 12-07-2025.

Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.



### **Surety After judgment**

Surety petitioner by name Ashpak S/o Ahmad Sab Jeratgi, R/o. Village Ijeri, Tq. Yedrami, Dist. Kalaburgi is present and he submits he is ready to stand a surety to accused No.1 and 2. Said surety is accepted.

The accused No.1 and 2 is hereby directed to execute his personal bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each. Office is to take bonds. This will remain inforce for six months from the date of this order.

Sr. CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



PW1 is absent and his learned counsel is present. Respondent No.2 1 learned counsel is present. For leading cross by PW1. By 18-04-2026.

Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.

Ex.32/2022

Dhr is present and his learned counsel filed a memo stating that, the Jdr No.1 has deposited the entire decretal amount. Heard and allowed the said memo and present petition as fully satisfied.

Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.



**MVC No.229/2019 Clubbed with**  
**MVC No.300/2019**

1. That, the petitioners have submitted the claim petition Under Section 166 of the Indian Motor Vehicles Act, claiming the compensation.

Facts of the case are as under;

1. That, the respondent No.1 is the owner of the Auto bearing No.KA-33-A-6351 and Respondent No.2 is the insurer of the said vehicle.

2. That, on 30-09-2018 at about 08.30 a.m. the petitioner-Laxman (in MVC No.299/2019) and the petitioner-Adeppa (in MVC No.300/2019) were proceeding in a Auto bearing No.KA-33-A-6351 from Hattigudur to Shahapur on alleged day the driver of the said auto was driving the same in high speed in a rash and negligent manner and when they were proceeding near Vibhuthihalli village, the said driver in order to over take the car which was proceeding near the said auto had dashed against said car from back side and caused to the accident.

3. That, in alleged accident both the petitioners have sustained the grievous injuries and immediately after the alleged accident both the petitioners were shifted to the Government Hospital, Shahapur and thereafter to Hospital to Gulbarga for further treatment and both of them have undergone to surgeries. That, the both the petitioners case have spent a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- for their treatment. That, the petitioners are still suffering from a physical impairment.

4. That, as on alleged date of accident the petitioners was aged about 50 years and the petitioner Adeppa was aged about 45 years and both of them were doing mansson work and getting the income of Rs.15,000/- per month. That, due to alleged accident injuries both the petitioners are cannot to carry out their day to day routine works are leading a miserable life. Hence, these petitions.

5. That, on notices being served, in both cases Respondent No.1 has remained absent and he is placed exparte and respondent No.2 has appeared before this tribunal through his learned counsel. That, the respondent No.2 in both the cases has submitted his objection stating that, wherein he denied all the contentions of the petitioners. That, respondent No.2 has pleaded that, the alleged accident was occurred on 30-09-2018 at about 08.30 a.m. and the complaint was lodged on next day at about 0.7.20 a.m. and the petitioners have not assigned the sufficient cause for allege delay.

6. That, the respondent No.2 has further pleaded that, on alleged date of accident, the driver of the said car himself had dashed against the said auto caused the accident. Hence, no negligent can be attributed on the part f the driver of said auto. That, the petitioners in the present proceedings have not impleaded the insurer, driver and owner of the said Car. Hence, both the petitions hit by the provisions of non joinder of necessary parties.

7. That, the respondent No.2 has pleaded that on alleged date of accident the driver of said auto bearing No.KA-33-A-6351 was not possessing within valid and effective driving license to drive the said

vehicle and respondent No.1 inspite of knowing the same had handed over him the said auto. Hence, this respondent No.2 is not liable to indemnify the petitioners.

8. That, the respondent No.2 has further pleaded that, he cannot be held liable unless and until the FC, Permit and RC of the said auto and DL of the driver of the said auto wherein order on alleged date of accident. That the question of compensation claimed by the petitioners is ///////////////. That, the respondent No.2 in view of his aforesaid contentions has prayed for rejecting the claim petition against him.

9. That, on basis of the pleadings of both the parties, in both the cases the following issues were stuck;

Issues MVC No. 299/2019  
and MVC No.300/2019

////////////////////////////////////  
////////////////////////////////////

10. That, the petitioner Laxman has deposed himself as PW1 and got marked the document at Ex.P1 to 7 and close his side. That, the petitioner Adeppa has deposed himself as PW1 and got marked the document at Ex.P1 to 6. That, this petitioners strengthen has contentions has got examined the Doctor by name Shri Vithoba S/o Manoj Rao Gawalkar as PW2 and got marked the document Ex.P9(b) and closed his side.

11. That, the respondent No.2 demonstrate his aforesaid contentions has got examined Shri Sajid Ahamed S/o Tippu Sultan Doddamani- Assistant Manager as RW1 and got marked the documents at Ex.R1 to 3 and closed his side.

12. That, I have heard the arguments and perused the materials placed on record.

13. That, My answer to the aforesaid issues in both cases are as under;

**in MVC No.299/2019**

Issue No.1 In the **AFFIRMATIVE**

Issue No.2 Partly in the **AFFIRMATIVE**

**in MVC No.300/2019**

Issue No.1 In the **AFFIRMATIVE**

Issue No.2 Partly in the **AFFIRMATIVE**

Issue No.3 As per the final order for the following;

**REASONS**

14. Issues No.1 in both cases: It is the specific case of the petitioners that, on 30-09-2018 at about 08.30 a.m. they were proceeding in a Auto bearing No.KA-33-A-6351 from Hattigudur to Shahapur and on alleged day the driver of the said auto was driving the same in high speed and in a rash and negligent matter when they were proceeding

near Vibhuthihalli Village, the said driver in order to over take the car which was according near to the said auto had dashed against its from back side and caused the accident. It is further case of the petitioners that, in alleged accident both of them have sustained the grievous injuries and immediately after the alleged accident they have been shifted to Government Hospital Shahapur for treatment and refer to the Hospital at Gulbarga. That, the petitioner Laxman in his chief affidavit has re-iterated the said facts and got marked the documents at Ex.P1 to 7. That, the Ex.P2 is the certified copy of complaint. That, the petitioner Laxman PW1 had lodged the complaint against one Shri Bassu S/o Mallappa – driver of the said auto in Shahapur Police Station. That, the Ex.P1 is the certified copy of FIR. That, the said police in pursuance of Ex.P2 have registered the case against the said driver Bassu in Crime No.419/2018 for the offence punishable under sections 279, 337 and 338 of the Indian Penal Code. That, the Ex.P3 is the certified copy of final report. That, the investigating officer has charge sheeted against the said driver for the offence punishable under section 279 and 339 of the Indian Penal Code and Under Section 3 R/w Section 181 of the Indian Motor Vehicles Act. That, the Ex.P5 is the certified copy of crime details form. That, from the contents of said document it appears that the alleged accident was occurred on Shahapur- Hattigudur main road, near Vibhuthihalli Village. That, the Ex.P6 is the certified copy of property seized memo of said car. That, the Ex.P6 is the certified copy of Wound certificate of PW1. That, the Ex.P7 is the certified copy of Motor Vehicles accident report. That, in column No.10 of the said document has been mentioned that the alleged accident was not occurred due to any //////////////// defect in Motor Vehicle Bearing No.KA-33-A-6351.

15. That, the petitioner Adeppa (in MVC No.300/2019) in his chief affidavit has depend as that of petitioner Laxman and got marked the document at Ex.P1 to 8.

16. That, the Ex.P2 is the certified copy of complaint, Ex.P1 is the certified copy of FIR, Ex.P3 is certified copy of final report, Ex.P4 is the certified copy of crime details from, Ex.P5 is the statement of petitioner Adeppa (PW-1), Ex.P6 is the certified copy of Wound Certificate of Adeppa, Ex.P7 is the certified copy of Motor Vehicle accident report and Ex.P8 is the certified copy of statement of wife of Adeppa -Smt. Neelamma.

17. It is to be noted here that, the respondent No.2 in his pleadings has pleaded that on alleged date of accident the driver of the said car had himself dashed against the said auto and caused the accident. That, the RW1 in his chief affidavit has reiterated the said facts. That, the respondent No.2 to demonstrate his aforesaid contentions has not adduced any documentary evidence. That, above pleadings explanation is not sufficient for resulted evidence but proof of explanation is necessary.

18. That, these pleadings are not sufficient that, the respondent No.2 though, in cross-examination of both the petitioners has tried to elicit that the alleged accident was occurred due to the negligent of the driver o the said car but, all his efforts were went in vain.

19. It significant to note here that, the respondent no.2 has not challenged the Ex.P3- final report. That, the Ex.P3 has reamined un

challenged. That, the investigating officer in Ex.P3 has specifically mentioned that on alleged date of accident the driver of the said auto bearing Reg.No.KA-33-A-6351 was driving the said auto in a rash and negligent manner and due his negligent the alleged accident was occurred.

20. Citation:-

21. That, in this case from the police records //////////with the occurred evidence of both the petitioners it clearly ///// that, the alleged accident was occurred due to the negligent of the driver of the said Auto-Bassu and due to his negligent ////both the petitioners have sustained the injuries. Hence, without much discussion issue No.1 in both cases are answered in the **AFFIRMATIVE**.

**22. Issue No.2 in both the cases:**

That, the petitioner Laxman has got marked certified copy of his wound certificate, //////////////////that in alleged accident he had suffer loss of right //////////////////

23. That, the petitioner-Adeppa is

That, I have gone through the  
plaint averments, contents of IA  
No.I and the documents produced  
therein.

That, the plaintiffs have made  
out a prima facie case to restrain  
the defendant from alienating and

creating any charge over the suit property. Hence, issue exparte ad interim temporary injunction against the defendant from alienating and creating any charge over the suit property till further orders of this Court, if the provisions of Order 39 Rule 3(a) of CPC are complied.

Issue suit summons to defendant.

By 01-09-2025.

Accused No.1 to 7 are absent and their learned counsel filed EP. Heard and EP is allowed. For appointed of learned Sr.APP as counter case is leading by 08-09-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,

Shahapur.

Petitioner learned counsel is  
present. Reissue notice to  
respondents through RPAD, if PF  
and RPAD are furnished.

By 11-09-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

## **Misc.No10/2019**

That, the petitioner filed the application U/o 3 Rule 2 of CPC with a prayer to permit him to proceed with the matter through his SPA.

That, the petitioner in his application has contended that, he suffering from Asthama and knee joint pain and other age old ailments and due to which he is unable to speak properly and sit long time.hence, it is very much necessary permit him to proceed with the matter through his SPA holder.

That, the respondents have filed objection to said application and have contended that, in the month of April-2025 the petitioner filed his chief affidavit and now he is claiming that, he is suffering from said ailments. That, the respondents have further contended that, if the agent performs any work on behalf of the principle then only

the agent becomes capable to act on behalf of the principle. Hence, the present application is not maintainable and prayed for rejecting the same.

That, I have heard the arguments and perused the materials placed on record.

Whether the petitioner has made out the grounds to allow his said application as prayed for?

2. What order?

That, My answered to the aforesaid points are as under;

Point No.1 In the Affirmative.

Point No;.2. As per the final order following

REASOSN

Point No.1 That, the petitioner contended that, he is suffering from said ailments and due to which he is unable to speak properly and sit for long time. It is be to noted here that, from the

records it appears that, the petitioner is now aged about 55 years and definitely he might suffering from some age old ailments and which cannot be ruled out. Hence, if the petitioner is permitted to proceed with the matter through his SPA holder then no prejudice would be caused to other side. Hence, Point No.1 is answered in the **AFFIRMATIVE**.

Point No.1: That, as discussed on point No.I, I proceed to pass the following;

#### ORDER

That, the application filed by the petitioner in IA No.III is hereby allowed.

That, the petitioner is permitted to proceed with the matter through his SPA holder.

For evidence of petitioner side on IA No.I by 29-07-2025.

That, notice is served on respondent and he is called out, absent. For petitioner evidence by 22-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

That, notice is served on respondent and he is called out, absent. For petitioner evidence by 22-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**RA No.29/2024**

Appellant learned counsel filed a memo stating that, the parties have got settled the matter out of court. Heard and allowed the said memo. That, this appeal is dismissed as not pressed and office is to directed to re transmit the trial court records forthwith.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.





Appellants learned counsel is present. Respondent No.1 to 3 learned counsel is present and prays time to arguments on the matter Hence, time has been granted as finally.

by 02-08-2025.



Plaintiff is absent and his learned counsel is present. D1 and 2 learned counsel are present. D3(a) learned counsel is present. That, issue mediation notice to both the parties to appear before the mediation on 12-08-2025 and report by 14-08-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**MVC No.97/2023**

Respondent No.2 learned counsel filed application Under Section 151 R/w Sec.94(e) of CPC with a prayer permit the Respondent No.2 submit objections-IA No.I.

That, the petitioner learned counsel has submitted for allowing the said application on cost. Heard and IA No.I is allowed and the respondent No.2 is permitted to file objection to main petition.

That, the Respondent No.2 learned counsel filed objections to

main petition. Issues are framed  
and read over.

For Petitioner Evidence.

By 28-08-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



**MVC No.33/2022**

That, the petitioner filed an application U/o 16 Rule 6 R/w Section 151 of CPC with prayer to issue call for records from the Chirayu Hospital Gulbarga pertaining to the deceased Shakuntala W/o Devindrappa Jadar.

That, the petitioner on 16-11-2024 filed a memo along with the report of said Hospital dated 13-11-2024 wherein the said hospital authorities have submitted that the said Shakuntala died in their hospital on 12-02-2021. Hence, the IA No.II is disposed off.

For further chief of PW1 by 13-08-2025 finally.

Appellants learned counsel prays time to argue on the matter. Hence, time has been granted as finally R/by 04-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**MC 09/2026**

Petitioners and their learned counsel are present. That, the mediator has submitted unsuccessful report. That, the matter has pre-conciliated and the parties are not ready to compromise the matter. That, the petitioners have filed the application Under section 151 of CPC to waive the cooling period. Heard and allowed the said application. Petitioner No.1 filed her chief affidavit as PW1 and got marked Ex.P1 and Petitioner No.2 as PW2 and he has not adduced any documentary evidence on his behalf. That, the petitioners learned counsel have submitted no further evidence on behalf of the petitioners side. Hence, petitioners side evidence is closed. Heard the arguments by the petitioners learned counsel.

For judgment.

By 11-03-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

MVC 137/21

Respondent No.2 learned  
counsel filed application Under  
Order 18 Rule 17 of CPC with a  
prayer to recall of PW1 for cross -  
IA No.II. Petitioner learned

counsel has submitted for allowing IA No.II. Heard and IA No.II is allowed. Respondent No.2 is permitted to cross-examine PW1 on 02-09-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS NO.49/2024**

Defendant No.5 and 6 learned counsel filed application U/O 8 Rule 1 R/w Section 151 of CPC to extended time to filing for WS -IA No.IV. That, the plaintiff learned counsel has submitted for allowing for IA No.IV. Heard and IA No.IV is allowed.

MVC 137/21

Respondent No.2 learned counsel filed application Under Order 18 Rule 17 of CPC with a prayer to recall of PW1 for cross - IA No.II. Petitioner learned counsel has submitted for allowing IA No.II. Heard and IA No.II is allowed. Respondent No.2 is permitted to cross-examine PW1 on 02-09-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.





MVC 234/22

Petitioner learned counsel is present. Respondent No.2 learned counsel is present. Respondent No.2 learned counsel has filed the application with his memo of facts Under Section 151 and 94(e) of CPC with a prayer to recall the order of this Court dated 07-08-2025 and permit the Respondent No.2 lead his evidence.

It is to be noted here that, inspite of giving sufficient opportunities Respondent No.2 has not bothered to lead his evidence and when the matter is set down for judgment filed the present application. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case the said application is allowed on cost of Rs.1,000/- and respondent No.2 is permitted to lead evidence.

Respondent No.2 is present, he is examined as RW1 and got marked Ex.R1 and 2. The petitioner learned counsel fully cross examined RW1. Respondent No.2 learned counsel has submitted no further evidence on behalf of the respondent No.2. Hence, respondent No.2 side evidence is closed.

For arguments by 13-09-2025.

&JMFC.,

Sr.CJ  
Shahapur.



**MVC 168/2022**

Petitioner, respondent No.1 and 2 learned counsel are present. Respondent No.1 filed his chief affidavit as RW1 and not adduced documentary evidence. That, petitioner learned counsel has fully cross examined RW1. Respondent No.2 learned counsel has fully cross examined RW1. Respondent No.1 learned counsel has submitted no further evidence on behalf of the respondent No.1. Hence, Respondent No.1 side evidence is closed. For

Respondent No.2 evidence by  
01-09-2025.

&JMFC.,

Sr.CJ  
Shahapur.

Mediator has filed report stating that the plaintiff and defendants are not attended the mediation.

Reissue mediation notice to both the parties on 23-08-2025.

&JMFC.,

Sr.CJ

Shahapur.

Plaintiff learned counsel is present and filed the application U/sec 151 of CPC to extend the T.I order. Heard. T.I. order has extended till next date of hearing. For await summons of D1 to 3 by 17-09-2025.

&JMFC.,

Sr.CJ  
Shahapur.





OS No.139/2022

**ORDER ON IA No.IV.**

1. That, the plaintiffs No.1 and 2 have filed the application Under Order III Rule 2 R/w Section 151 of CPC with a prayer to appoint the General Power of Attorney on their behalf to proceed with the matter.

2. That, the said plaintiffs in their affidavit have contended that, they are not in a good possession to attend this Court on every date of hearing and they are suffering from age old ailments such as

joint pain, knee pain and cardiac problems. Hence, it is very much necessary to accord them permission to proceed with the matter their GPA holder by name Shri. Veeranagouda S/o Bandappa Bandappagouda.

3. That, the defendants No.1 to 10 have submitted their objections to said application and they have contended that the plaintiffs are the healthy and they are capable to give their evidence before this Court. Hence, prayed for rejecting the said application. That, I have heard the arguments and perused the materials placed on record.

4. It is to be noted here that, the said plaintiffs are contending that, due to old age they are suffering from said diseases and they are enable to appear before this Court on every date of hearing. It is to be

noted here that, the defendants have not seriously obstructed the said application.

5. That, the plaintiff No.1 is claiming that she is aged about 81 years and plaintiff No.2 is claiming that she is aged about 65 years. If such being the case it cannot be denied that the both the plaintiffs are suffering from age old ailments. Hence, the said application deserves to be allowed. Hence, I proceed to the pass the following;

**ORDER**

That, the application filed by the plaintiffs in IA No.IV is hereby allowed.

That, the plaintiffs are permitted to proceed with the matter on their behalf through their General Power of Attorney.

For plaintiff evidence.

by 02-09-2025.

&JMFC.,

Sr.CJ  
Shahapur.



Call on 20-09-2025.

&JMFC.,

Sr.CJ  
Shahapur.

MA 05/2025

That, I have gone through the  
plaint averments, contents of IA  
No.I and the documents  
produced.

Issue Emergent notice to the  
respondent No.1 on IA No.I and  
issue notice on appeal memo to  
the respondents No.1 to 4.

by 13-10-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

OS No.151/2025

In this case the court has not issued suit summons to any defendants except the caveator i.e, defendant No.10 but, due to

summons has issued on defendants No.1 to 11 and the same was served on their family members. That, this Court opines that, it is very much necessary to reissue suit summons to defendants No.1 to 9 and 11 and notice on IA No.I to them. Hence, issue suit summons to the defendants No.1 to 9 and 11 and notice on IA No.I to them by 14-10-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

OS No.167/205

That, I have gone through the  
plaint averments, contents of IA  
No.I and the documents  
produced.

Issue Emergent notice to the  
defendant No.1 on IA No.I and  
issue suit summons to the  
defendants No.1 to 7.

by 13-10-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

MC No.25/2023

Petitioner and his learned counsel are present. That, he petitioner filed a memo stating that he and respondent have settled the matter out of the Court.

Hence, petition may be dismissed as not pressed for.

Heard and allowed and said memo. Perused petition is dismissed as not pressed for.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS No.82/2025**

Plaintiff and her learned counsel are present. Shri HKV learned counsel filed power for defendant No.4.

That, the plaintiff filed a memo stating that she contacted second marriage and due to which her marital status has changed. That, the plaintiff in said memo has further stated that, the matter has settled out of the Court and she is not intending to proceed with the matter. Hence, the present suit may be dismissed as not pressed for.

Heard and allowed the said memo and the present suit is dismissed as not pressed for.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**EP 11/2022**

That, the Jdr has filed application Under Section 151 of CPC with a prayer permit him to lead his evidence.

2. That, the Dhr in his affidavit has contended that, as per the oral say of Jdr he paid the amount to him and the same is deposited in the bank accounts of his mother and brother respectively. Hence, it is very

much necessary from him to lead his evidence.

3. That, the Dhr has submitted his detailed objections to the said application and has contended that, this Court in OS No.55/2020 had decreed the suit. But the Jdr is intentionally dragging the matter to evade to make the payments. That, the Jdr has not preferred any appeal against the said Judgment and Decree. That the present application is not maintainable and prayed for rejecting the same.

4. That, I have heard the arguments and perused the materials available on records.

5. It is to be noted here that, the Jdr is contending that, he paid the amount to the Dhr and whereas Dhr is denying the same. It is to be noted here that, to ascertain to the truth it is very much necessary to the permit the Jdr to his lead evidence and give

an opportunity to the Dhr to cross-examined him. Hence, I proceed to pass the following;

**ORDER**

That, the IA No.I filed by the jdr is hereby allowed.

For evidence of Jdr  
by 24-09-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**EP**

**48/2023**

**ORDER ON IA NO.IV**

1. That, the Dhr has filed the application U/o. 26 Rule 13 of CPC, with a prayer to appoint any learned counsel as a Court Commissioner for effecting the preliminary decree i.e., for registering the Sale Deed in respect of the suit property bearing Sy.No.173/1 to the extent of 03 acres.

2. That, the Jdr has submitted his objections to said application contending that, the said property is a ancestral and joint family property of himself and other persons and the other co-sharers have filed the O.S. No.19/2023 before this Court and in said suit this court had passed the interim order restraining him from alienating and transferring the suit land and said order is till

inforce. Hence, prayed for rejecting the said application.

3. That, the Dhr is hereby directed to furnish the documents whether the T.I order granted by the this court in OS No.19/2023 has been vacated or not.

By 24-09-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Dhr and his learned counsel are present and prays time to take further steps to Jdr. Hence, time has been granted on 27-09-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**JC No.14/2025**

**Order on petition filed Under Section  
101 of B.N.S.S. by the father of the  
victim.**

That, the father of the victim has filed the said application with a prayer to release the victim who is his daughter from State women Hostel, Kalaburgi, to live her with her parents at Halisagar Village, Tq. Shahapur.

2. That, the father of the victim in his application has contended that, the victim is remand room from 23-02-2025 to 02-07-2025 and thereafter, she was transferred to State Women Hostel, Kalaburgi on 02-07-2025 and she is residing there till today. That, the father of the victim has further contended that, now the victim is ready to reside with her parents at Halisagar Village, Tq. Shahapur and for which the said victim submitted a letter to the Superintendent of State Women's Hostel, Kalaburgi dated 01-08-2025 and the said authority had asked her for obtaining the order from the Court. Hence, this application.

3. That, the father of the victim has furnished before this Board the copies of letter written by PSI of Shahapur P.S to the Superintendent of Girls Observation Home dated 22-03-2025, the letter written by Superintendent of State Women's Hostel Kalaburgi, letter written by victim to the Superintendent of State Women's Hostel Kalaburgi dated 25-07-2025, 01-08-2025 and

letter of said authority to Public Prosecutor of Honb'el High Court of Karnataka, Kalaburgi Bench.

4. It is to be noted here that, I have gone through the records.

5. It is be to noted here that, the victim is Women's Hostel kalaburgi and this Board has not authority to the release the victim. That, the authority of ಮಹಿಳಾ ಮತ್ತು ಮಕ್ಕಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಇಲಾಖೆ (Women's and Child Development Welfare) has got powers to release the victim. Hence, the present application is hereby dismissed.

For surety by 12-09-2025.

Member  
Chairman

Member

JJB Yadgir  
Yadgir

JJB Yadgir

JJB

**ORDERS ON I.A.NO.I**

1. That, the learned counsel for the respondent No.2 with his memo of facts has filed the application under Order 11 Rule 14 R/W Section 151 of Civil Procedure Code, with a prayer to direct the respondent No.1 to produce the RC, FC of the vehicle bearing No.TATA LGV GA-02-V-6840 and also produce the DL of driver by name Shri Devendrappa Bevoor as on 05-02-2022.

2. That, in said application it has been contended that, on alleged date of accident the documents of the said vehicle were not in order and the said driver was not possessing with the D.L. Hence, it is very much necessary to direct the respondent No.1 to furnish the above said documents.

3. That, the respondent No.1 has filed his detailed objections to said application and has contended that, admittedly the said vehicle was initially registered a Goa State but, at the time of accident the said vehicle owned by him and policy was got at Karnataka Divisional Office and as per the Karnataka Motor Vehicle Rules, the State Permit is not necessary to run the vehicle in the Karnataka due to change of ownership.

4. That, the respondent No.1 has further contended that, the present matter has attended at final stage and this stage the respondent No.2 has filled the present application only with an intention to drag the proceedings. Hence, prayed for rejecting the said application.

5. That, I have heard arguments and perused the materials placed on record.

6. That, the following points arise for My consideration and determination:-

1. Whether the respondent No.2 has made out the grounds to allow the said application as prayed for?

2. What order?

7. That, My answer to the aforesaid points are as under :-

Point No.1:- In the **NEGATIVE**.

Point No.2:- As per the final order for the following :-

**:- REASONS :-**

8. **Point No.1:-** That, the respondent No.2 has submitted that it is very much necessary to direct the respondent No.1 to furnish the said documents.

9. It is to be noted here that, the respondent No.2 himself in the evidence of RW1 has got marked the attested copy of RC of said vehicle

and also DL of said driver Devendrappa. If such being the case the question of directing the respondent No.2 for furnishing the alleged documents does not arise at all. Hence, the present application is liable to be rejected. Hence, point No.1 is answered in the **NEGATIVE.**

10. **Point No.2** :- That, as discussed on point No.1, I proceed to pass the following :-

**:- O R D E R :-**

That, the application filed by the respondent No.2 in I.A.No.I is hereby rejected.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**ORDERS ON I.A.NO.II**

1. That, the learned counsel for the respondent No.2 with his memo of facts has filed the application under Order 11 Rule 14 R/W Section 151 of Civil Procedure Code, with a prayer to

direct the petitioner to produce his DL and Insurance Policy of Motorcycle bearing No.KA-32-EK-4081 as on 05-02-2022.

2. That, in said application it has been contended that, on alleged date of accident the petitioner was not possessing with the D.L. Hence, to probablise his defense it is very much necessary to direct the petitioner to furnish the above said document.

That, the petitioner has filed his detailed objections to said application and has contended that, the respondent No.2 filed the present application only with an intention to drag the matter and this petitioner now way concerned with the offending vehicle and also to respondent No.2 company. Hence, prayed for rejecting the said application.

4. That, I have heard arguments and perused the materials placed on record.

5. That, the following points arise for My consideration and determination:-

1. Whether the respondent No.2 has made out the grounds to allow the said application as prayed for?

2. What order?

6. That, My answer to the aforesaid points are as under :-

Point No.1:- In the **NEGATIVE**.

Point No.2:- As per the final order for the following :-

**:- R E A S O N S :-**

7. **Point No.1:-** That, the respondent No.2 has submitted that it is very much necessary to direct the petitioner to furnish the said document.

8. It is to be noted here that, the respondent No.2 himself his contending that on alleged date of accident the petitioner was not possessing with the D.L. It is to be noted here that, if the petitioner was not owning the effective Driving License as date of accident then, it cannot be held that, he is also contributed in occurrence of alleged accident. Hence, the present application

is liable to be rejected. Hence, point No.1 is answered in the **NEGATIVE**.

9. **Point No.2** :- That, as discussed on point No.1, I proceed to pass the following :-

**:- O R D E R :-**

That, the application filed by the respondent No.2 in I.A.No.II is hereby rejected.

For arguments.

By 16-09-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Petitioner learned counsel is present. Respondent learned counsel is present. Petitioner learned counsel prays time to take further steps. Hence, time has been granted by 18-10-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



**OS 37/2020**

Plaintiffs learned counsel filed the memo stating that, the plaintiff No.2 has furnished his original SSLC marks card and now he is the need of the same for attending interview.

Perused the records.

That, the office is to return the original SSCL Marks card Ex.P42 of the plaintiff No.2 his learned counsel after receiving the certified copy of the same. That, the plaintiff No.2 is hereby directed to return the said original marks card to this Court after attending the interview.

For objections to IA No.9 by the defendants No.3 to 5 by 08-10-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



**Ex.P 24/2025**

Dhr learned counsel has filed a memo stating that, the Jdr has deposited the award amount, hence, petition may kindly be closed as fully satisfied. Heard and allowed the said memo. That, this petition is closed as fully satisfied.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

PW1 is absent and his learned counsel is present and prays time to lead further chief of PW1. That, inspite of giving opportunities to the PW1 has failed to lead evidence has further chief. Hence, prayer of the learned counsel is rejected and petition is dismissed for non prosecution.



For orders by 16-10-  
2025.

Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.

**OS No.181/2025**

That, I have gone through the  
plaint averments, contents of IA  
No.I and the documents  
produced.

Issue Emergent notice to the  
defendant No.5 on IA No.I and  
issue suit summons to defendant  
No.1 to 7.

by 25-10-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS No.182/2025**

**Order on IA No.I**

That, I have gone through the  
plaint averments, contents of IA  
No.I and the documents  
produced.

That, as the plaintiff No.2 and  
3 are minors their mother by  
name Smt. Vijayaxmi W/o  
Gadigeppa has been appointed as

their guardian to proceed with the matter.

**Order on IA No.II**

PW1 is absent and his learned counsel prays time to lead further chief of PW1. Hence time has been granted as a last chance. Respondent and his learned counsel are absent.

by 25-10-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Petitioner is absent and his learned counsel prays time to lead evidence of petitioner. Hence, time has been granted as a last chance on 13.10.2025. R1 learned counsel is present. R2 learned counsel is absent.

For Petitioner evidence.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

PW1 is absent and his learned counsel prays time to lead further chief of PW1. Hence, time has been granted on 13.10.2025 . R1 learned counsel is present. R2 learned counsel is absent.

For further chief of P/E.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



Petitioner and respondent No. 1 learned counsel are absent. For hearing on memo by 30.11.2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Petitioner is absent and his learned counsel prays time to lead evidence. Hence, time has been granted on 28/10/2025. R2 learned counsel is present.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Notice is served on respondent and he is called out absent and placed as exparte.

Now case is posted for petitioner evidence.

By 04-04-2026.

Sr. Civil & JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Petitioner and respondent are absent and their learned counsel are present. Petitioner learned counsel has paid P.F. Issue NBW to the respondent by 27-11-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS 152/2022**

Plaintiff learned counsel is present and filed the application Under Order 1 Rule 10(2) R/w Sec.151 of CPC with a prayer to implead the proposed defendants No.24 and 25- IA No.II

Issue notice to the proposed defendants No.24 and 25 on IA

No.II if PF and IA No.II copy are furnished.

By 04-11-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**MVC 190/2020**

Petitioner learned counsel submitted for allowing Ia No.III. Heard and IA No.III is allowed as the respondent No.1 is not a necessary party he is deleted from the present case.

That, the petitioner learned counsel filed the application Under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of CPC with a prayer to implead the proposed respondent No.3 in

present case -IA No.IV.  
Respondent learned counsel has  
submitted for allowing IA No.IV.  
Heard and IA No.IV is allowed.

Issue notice to the proposed  
respondent No.3 if PF and IA  
No.IV copies are furnished.

By 04-04-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**RA 52/2024**

Respondents No.2, 3, 6 and 7 learned counsel is present. Appellant learned counsel filed the application U/s. 151 of CPC seeking permission to retire from this appeal and furnished copy of retirement notice issued to the appellant and submitted postal receipt.

That, the learned counsel for the appellant is permitted to retire from the case.

Issue court notice to the appellant about retirement of her learned counsel from this case.

R/by 21-04-2026.

**OS 24/2022**

Defendant No.2 learned counsel has submitted for allowing the IA No.III to V.

That, plaintiff learned counsel is present. Heard on IAs No.III to V the present suit is one for declaration and injunction, on the death of the defendant No.2 the right to sue survive on his Lrs. Hence, IA No.III to V are allowed the delay occurred in filing in IA No.III is hereby allowed and abatement caused is also hereby set aside.

That, the plaintiffs are permitted to bring on record the Lrs of the defendant No.2 and carry out the amendment and file amended plaint. By 18-04-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

OS 21/2022

Plaintiff learned counsel is present and proposed Defendant No.5 learned counsel has submitted for allowing IA No.III. Heard by plaintiffs and proposed Defendant No.5 learned counsel. The suit is partition and separate possession as proposed Defendant No.5 is a necessary

party to the suit the present application is allowed.

That, the plaintiffs are permitted to implead the proposed Defendant No.5 in present case and carry out the amendment and file amended plaint. By 16-04-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 38/2021**

Plaintiffs learned counsel is present and filed application U/o 1 rule 10(2) R/w Section 151 of CPC with a prayer to implead the proposed Defendants No.5 to 8 as they are necessary parties to the suit IA No.II.

That, the defendants learned counsel has submitted for allowing IA No.II. That, as the proposed defendants No.5 to 8 are necessary parties to the suit the present application is allowed.

That, the plaintiffs are permitted to implead the proposed Defendants No.5 to 8 in present case and carry out the amendment and file amended plaint. By 13-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**



OS 42/2025

Plaintiff is present and his learned counsel is present. That, the plaintiff has filed a memo stating that, with the intervention of the elders, well wishers and relatives he and the defendants have settled the matter out of the Court. Hence, the present suit

may be dismissed as not pressed for.

That, I have heard on said memo and allowed the same and the present suit is dismissed as not pressed for.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 37/2024**

Plaintiff learned counsel filed a memo stating that, the matter is settled the out of court and submitted a letter issued by the plaintiff-bank.

That, I have heard on said memo and allowed the same and the present suit is dismissed as not pressed for.

That, the learned counsel for the plaintiff-bank filed a memo for refunding the entire court.

That, the office is to verify and refund the entire court fee to the plaintiff-bank.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



**MVC No.271/2025**

Petitioner learned counsel has carried out the amendment. Petitioner No.1 to 4 and respondent No.1 and 2 and their learned counsel are present.

That, the both parties have filed a joint compromise petition. That, I have gone perused the contents of said joint compromise petition. That, the both the parties have amicably settled the matter for Rs.6,50,000/-

That, respondent No.1 and 2 have paid Rs.6,50,000/- to the petitioners and petitioners have

acknowledged the same. That, I have satisfied and accepted the said compromise petition.

That, draw compromise decree accordingly.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**CC 76/2022**

Judgment is pronounced Under section 356(3) of Cr.Pc. That, the learned counsel for the accused filed a memo stating that, the accused are furnished the surety while enlarging them on bail and the same may be continued.

Heard and allowed the said memo. That, earlier surety furnished by the accused are continued till further period of 6 months from this order. For complying of Under section 437(A) of Cr.PC

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

That, the learned counsel for the accused filed a memo stating that, the accused are furnished the surety while enlarging them on bail and the same may be continued.

Heard and allowed the said memo. That, earlier surety furnished by the accused are continued till further period of 6 months from this order. For complying of Under section 437(A) of Cr.PC

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Plaintiff in person is present and filed the application Under Section 151 of CPC with a prayer to permit him to the valuation slip- IA No.VI and filed another application Under Order VI Rule 15(4), Rule 16 and Section 151 of CPC with a prayer to reject the affidavits submitted by the defendant in support of additional written statement and direct him to file proper additional written statement- IA No.VII.

Defendant learned counsel prays time to file objections to IAs No. V to VII. Hence, time has been granted on 29-10-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



**OS 149/2025**

Plaintiff and his learned counsel are present. Shri BSP learned counsel filed power for defendants No.1 to 3 and filed

the application Under Order 9 rule 7 of CPC with a prayer to set aside order passed against the defendant No.1 on IA No.I.

Plaintiff learned counsel has submitting for allowing the said IA. Heard and IA No.I is allowed.

That, ex-parte order passed against the defendants No.1 to 3 is hereby set aside and they are permitted to the proceed with the matter.

For WS of defendants

by 06-11-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**MVC 158/2025**

Petitioner learned counsel is present. That, Shri AKA learned counsel filed power respondent No.1 and filed the application Under Order 9 Rule 7 of CPC with a prayer to set aside the exparte order passed against the respondent No.1- IA No.I.

That, the petitioner learned counsel has submitted for allowing the IA No.I. Heard and IA No.I is allowed.

That, ex-parte order passed against the respondent No.1 is hereby set aside and he is permitted to proceed with the matter.

That, the respondent No.1 learned counsel filed objections to main petition.

For pre-conciliation and WS of respondent No.2 by 15-11-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Petitioner No.2 and his learned counsel are present. Petitioner No.2 filed his chief affidavit as PW1 and got marked Exs.P1 and 2. That, the petitioners learned counsel has submitted no further evidence on behalf of the petitioner side. Hence, petitioner side evidence is closed.

Heard the arguments.

For orders by 27-10-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Dhr and Jdr and their learned counsel are absent. For objections to IA No.I by Jdr by 11-11-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

That, the Appeal filed by the defendants/ appellants Under Section 96 R/w Order XLI Rule 1 of the CPC is hereby allowed with cost of Rs.1,000/-.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**Crime No. 78/2025**

That, Shri HKV learned counsel filed power for petitioner and filed the application Under Section 503 of BNSS for giving the interim custody of the animals which were seized by the concerned police and submitted the list of documents. That, the prosecution prays time to file objections to said application. Hence, time has been granted on 30-10-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.





Petitioner learned counsel prays time to take steps to the respondent. Hence, time has been granted on 03-11-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS 34/23**

That, the plaintiff learned counsel has filed application Under Order 6 Rule 17 R/w Section 151 of CPC with a prayer permit the plaintiff to carry out the amendment as prayed for -IA No.VIII.

That alleged amendment neither changes the nature of the case nor causes injustice to other side. Hence, IA No.VIII is allowed and plaintiff is permitted to carry

out the amendment and file amended plaintiff.

That, the plaintiff learned counsel has carried out the amendment and filed amended plaintiff.

Heard further arguments by the plaintiff learned counsel.

For Judgment by 10-11-2025.

Appellants learned counsel is present and prays time to arguments on the matter. Hence, time has been granted on 15-11-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



**P & SC 2/2025**

Petitioner learned counsel filed the application Under Order 5 Rule 20 of CPC-IA No.I with a prayer to issue notice to the public in general in Kannada Daily news paper, Pramathavani. Heard and allowed the said application. Issue notice to the public in general in said news paper, if PF and draft citation are furnished by 16-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Petitioner learned counsel is present. Respondent No.1 learned counsel is present. Respondent No.2 learned counsel filed the application Under Section 166(3) of IMV Act R/w Section 151 and 94(e) of CPC-IA No.II.

That, the petitioner learned  
counsel prays time to file  
objections to IA No.II. Hence, time  
has been granted on 19-11-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Plaintiff is absent and his learned counsel prays time to lead evidence. Hence, time has been granted on 04-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



**MVC 125/2024**

That, the Petitioner learned counsel is present and has filed the application Under order 5 Rule 20 of CPC with a prayer to issue notice to the respondent in Kannada Daily News Paper Sayukta Karnataka. Heard and allowed the said application.

Issue notice to the respondent in said paper if PF and draft

citation are furnished R/by  
31-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS 107/2023**

Plaintiff is present and his learned counsel is present and filed the application Under Order 5 Rule 20 of CPC with a prayed to issue notice to the defendants No.4, 6 to 10 and 13 to 16 in Kannada Daily News paper Vijay Karnataka. Heard and allowed the said application. Issue notice to the defendants No.4, 6 to 10 and 13 to 16 in said paper, if PF and draft citation are furnished by 29-12-2025.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

That, the Appeal filed by the Appellant/ Plaintiff Under Order XLI Rule 1 R/w Section 151 of the CPC is hereby dismissed with cost.

**OS 41/2025**

Plaintiff learned counsel is present and paid PF for reissuing suit summons to the defendant No.2. Reissue suit summons to the defendant No.2.

That, Shri S.S. learned counsel filed power for defendant No.1 and filed application Under Order 9 Rule 7 of CPC with a prayer to set aside the exparte

order passed against the defendant No.1-IA No.II.

That, the plaintiff learned counsel has submitted for allowing IA No.II. Heard and IA No.II is hereby allowed and exparte order passed against the defendant No.1 is hereby set aside and he is permitted to proceed with the matter.

For written statement of defendant No.1 by 18-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



**MVC 55/2024**

Petitioner and respondent No.2 learned counsel are present. That, the petitioner learned counsel has filed a memo for not pressing the application filed by the petitioner on 03-07-2025 for issuing notice to the respondent No.1 in daily news Kannada paper. Heard and allowed the same and said application is rejected.

That, the petitioner learned counsel filed application Under Order 5 rule 20 of Cpc with a prayer to issue notice to the respondent No.1 in

Kannadaprabha Daily news paper. Heard and allowed the said application.

Issue notice to the respondent No.1 in said paper if PF and draft citation are furnished.

By 24-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Petitioner is absent and his learned counsel prays time to lead evidence. Hence time has been granted as a last chance by 11/11/2025. R1 & 2 learned counsel are present.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**EXP 13/2024**

**Order on IA No.I**

That, the Dhirs have filed the application Under Order 21 Rule 53 of Cpc with a prayer to attach the lands of Decree holder as per Exs.P9 to 12.

That, office is to put the original file MVC No.325/2021 date of disposal 31-01-2024.

By 24-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

OS 3/2022

**Order on IA No.VI**

That, the learned counsel  
for the proposed defendant

No.10 on 02-09-2024 has submitted for allowing IA No.VI.

That the proposed defendant No.9 has not appeared before this court.

I have gone through the records. That, in this case the proposed defendant No.10 becomes a necessary party to the suit. Hence, IA No.VI is allowed.

The plaintiff is hereby directed to implead the proposed defendants No.9 ad 10 and file amended plaint R/by 16-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



Petitioner

**OS 81/2025**

Plaintiff learned counsel is present. That, Shri RHK learned counsel filed power for defendant No.1 to 4 and filed the application Under Order 9 Rule 7 of CPC with a prayer to set aside the exparte order passed against the defendants No.1 to 4- IA No.III.

That, the plaintiff learned counsel has submitted for allowing IA No.III. Heard and IA No.III is allowed

That, the exparte order passed against the defendants No.1 to 4 is hereby set aside and they are Pet-2025.



Appellant learned counsel prays time to arguments on the matter. Hence, time has been granted. Respondent learned counsel is present. For arguments by 27-11-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Call on 28-11-2025.

Member  
J.J.B. Yadgiri.

Member  
J.J.B. Yadgiri.

Chairman  
J.J.B. Yadgiri





Accused No.1 to 6 are absent and their learned counsel filed EP. Head and EP is allowed. For appointment of learned APP as counter case is pending by 23-02-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,**

**Shahapur.**

Dhr and Jdr No.1 to 3 learned counsel are present. That, Dhr learned counsel has filed a memo stating that, EP may be closed for time being the disposal of RFA No.200031/2019 before the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Kalaburgi Bench. Heard and allowed the said memo. Jdr No.1 learned counsel has submitted no objections to said memo. That, the present petition is closed for time being closed.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**EX.44/2022**

Dhr learned counsel is present and filed the application Under Order 5 Rule 20 of CPC with a prayed to issue notice to the proposed Jdr No.3 in Kannada Daily News paper Pramativani. Heard and allowed the said application. Issue notice to the proposed Jdr No.3 in said paper. Dhr has paid PF and draft citation are furnished by 24-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Petitioner and his learned counsel are absent. Case called out at 11.55 am. Call again case is called out at 04.30 p.m. petitioner and his learned counsel are absent. That, inspite of giving opportunities the petitioner has failed to lead evidence. Hence, the petition is dismissed for non prosecution.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

Petitioner and his learned counsel are absent. Case is called out at 11.30 a.m. call again case is again called out at 03.30 p.m. petitioner and his learned counsel are absent. That, inspite of giving opportunities the petitioner has failed to lead his evidence. Hence, petition is dismissed for non prosecution by 29/12/2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Petitioner learned counsel is present. R2 learned counsel has submitted the application U/o. 16

Rule 1(2) of CPC to issue witness summons to medical officer, Dist. Govt. Hospital, Yadgir, to produce the MLC extract & give the evidence. IA. No. II. Petitioner learned counsel has submitted for allowing IA. No. II. Heard and IA. No. II is allowed. Issue notice to said witness as praed in IA. No. II, if P.F and Ia. No. II Copies are furnished by 29/12/2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

PW1 is absent and his learned counsel prays time to lead further chief of PW1. Hence, time has been granted as finally on 23/12/2025. R2 learned counsel is present.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**O.S. No. 201/2025**

Plaintiff and defendant are present. Plaintiff learned counsel is present. That, Sri. N.S.H. Learned counsel filed power for Defendant. That, both the parties have filed

the compromise petition U/o. 23  
Rule 3 of CPC.

That, I have gone through the contents of said compromise petition and read over to both the parties and they have agreed for the terms and condition of the said petition.

That, I have satisfied and accepted the said compromise petition. That, both the parties are permitted to compromise the matter according to their said compromise petition.

That, draw the decree accordingly.

That, the plaintiff learned counsel filed a memo for refunding the court fee. That, office is to verify and refund the entire court fee to the plaintiff.

That both the parties of filed notary attested copies of their Aadhar Cards.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,

Shahapur.

Petitioners learned counsel are absent. For petitioner evidence by 06-01-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**



**MVC 8/2023**

Petitioner learned counsel filed the application Under Order 5 Rule 20 of CPC with a prayer issue notice to the respondents No.1 to 5 in Kannada Daily news paper, Sayukta Karnataka. Heard and allowed the said application. Issue notice to the respondents No.1 to 5 in said news paper, if PF and draft citation are furnished by 30-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Petitioner and respondent  
learned counsel are present.  
Reissue NBW to the respondent  
through SP Yadgir by 16-12-2025.

Dhr learned counsel is preset. Commissioner has submitted report. That, the Dhr learned counsel has submitted for closing the case. That the Court Commissioner has produced the original Sale Deed document bearing No.8064/2025-26 dated 11-11-2025. That, the petition is closed.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**



To,

Hon'ble Shri. Justice **P.S. Dinesh Kumar**,  
Chief Justice of High Court of Karnataka,  
Bengaluru Bench,

(Receiver Reddy- Gun Man,  
Mobile Number: 7026800767)

**OS No.9/2020**

That, the plaintiff and D4 and 5, 1 and 2 learned counsel are present. That, the plaintiff learned counsel filed a memo stating that, the plaintiff and the defendants have settled out of the court and in view of the same the present case may be dismissed for non prosecution. Heard on said memo by plaintiff, D1, 2, 4 and 5 learned counsel. That, the said memo is allowed the present suit is dismissed as not pressed for.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**RA 12/2025**

That, the appeal filed by the appellants/defendants Under Order XLI Rule 1 of CPC, is hereby allowed with cost of Rs.1000/-.

That the judgment and decree passed by the trial Court in OS No.175/2022 dated 23-11-2024 is hereby set aside.

**P & Sc No.02/2025**

Petitioner learned counsel is present and filed memo with paper publication in Kannada Daily news paper, Pramathavani for issuing notice to the general in public and nobody has appeared before this Court.

Now case is posted for petitioner evidence by 06-01-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**MVC 334/2021**

That, the respondent No.2 learned counsel filed the application Under Order 16 Rule 1 and 2 R/w 151 of CPC with a prayer to issue notice to the RTO as prayed for - IA No.II and filed another application Under Order 11 Rule 14 R/w Section 151 of CPC to direct the respondent No.1 to produce the documents as prayed for -IA No.III.

That, the learned counsel for the petitioner prays time to file objections to IA's No.II and II. Hence, time has been granted on 24-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**MVC 147/2020**

That, the Respondent No.2 learned counsel filed the application Under Order 16 Rule 1 and 2 R/w 151 of CPC with a prayer to issue notice to the I.O. as prayed for - IA No.VII.

That, the learned counsel for the petitioner prays time to file objections to said application. Hence, time has been granted on 29-12-2025.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

That, the proposed defendant No.3 is learned counsel filed the application Under Order VIII Rule1(A) R/w Section 151 of CPC with a prayer to extend the time for filing the Written statement- IA No.VII.

That, the learned counsel for the plaintiff submitted for allowing the said application. Heard and allowed the said application.

For WS of proposed defendant No.3 by 17-01-2026. Defendant No.1 learned counsel is preset.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Defendant No.6 is learned counsel filed the application Under Order VIII Rule1(A) R/w Section 151 of CPC with a prayer to extend the time for filing the Written statement- IA No.II.

That, the learned counsel for the plaintiff submitted for allowing the said application. Heard and allowed the said application.

For WS of defendant No.6 by 17-01-2026. Defendant No.1 to 5 learned counsel are present and prays time to file objections to IA No.I and WS. Hence, time has been granted.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS 74/2022**

That, the defendant No.3 is learned counsel filed the application Under Order VIII Rule1(A) R/w Section 151 of CPC with a prayer to extend the time for filing the Written statement- IA No.VII.

That, the learned counsel for the plaintiff submitted for allowing the said application. Heard and allowed the said application.

For WS of defendant No.3 by  
17-01-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Appellants and Respondent No.1  
to 3 learned counsel prays time to  
hear on IA. No. III. Hence, time has  
been granted on 12-01-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**



**OS 209/2025**

Case is advanced on application filed by the learned counsel for the plaintiff. Plaintiff and his learned counsel are present. That, Shri ASD learned counsel filed power for defendant No.2. That, defendant No.2 is present.

That, the plaintiff learned counsel has filed application Under Order I Rule 10 of CPC with a prayer to implead he

proposed defendants No.3 to 5 as prayed for -IA No.I.

That, the learned counsel for the plaintiff submitted that before the filing suit the defendant No.1 Avvanna died and due to oversight he has been arrayed as defendant No.1. That, the proposed defendants No.3 to 5 are necessary parties to the suit. Hence, IA No.I is allowed. Plaintiff is permitted to implead the proposed defendants No.3 to 5 and carry out the amendment and file amended plaint. That, the leaned counsel for the plaintiff has carried out the amendment and filed amended plaint.

That, the deceased defendant no.1 was deleted from the cause title.

For pre-conciliation by 09-12-2025.





Petitioner learned counsel is present. Petitioners are absent. Respondent is absent and his learned counsel prays time to file objections to main petition. Hence time has been granted on 21-02-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS 171/2025**

Plaintiff and his learned counsel are present. Plaintiff filed a memo stating that, he and the defendant have settled the matter out of the court and he had received a sum of Rs.11,90,000/- from the defendant and he had executed a conveyance deed in favour of the defendant Doc.bearing No.8520/2025-26 dated 26-11-2025 in respect of agreement of sale doc.bearing No.8947/2020-21 dated 12-03-2021.

That, the plaintiff has claimed for dismissing the suit as settled out of the Court.

I have heard and allowed the said memo and the present suit is dismissed as not pressed for.

That the plaintiff filed a memo for refunding entire court fee to him.

That, the office is to verify and refund the entire court to the plaintiff on due identification.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



OS 198/2025

Suit summons and emergent notice on IA No.I duly served to the defendant No.1 and 2. Shri S.S. learned counsel filed power for defendant No.1 and 2.

Suit summons to defendant No.3 to 10 unserved for parties not residing in said village.

Reissue suit summons to defendant No.3 to 10 and written statement of defendant No.1 and 2. Call on 21-01-2026.

I/c Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.





**MC 01/2024**

Petitioner, respondent and their learned counsel are present.

That, the mediator has filed a memo stating that, the petitioner is ready to pay Rs.5,60,000/- to the respondent as a permanent alimony and the respondent has also agreed for the same.

That, the both the parties have filed a joint memo. I have gone through the same and the parties have settled the matter for Rs.5,60,000/-.

That, I have satisfied and accepted the same. Today the petitioner has paid Rs.5,60,000/-

to the respondent as a permanent alimony and she had received the same.

That, draw the decree accordingly.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 81/2023**

**Order on IA No.III**

That, the defendant filed the application Under Order VIII Rule 1(A) of CPC, with a prayer permit him to produce the documents as per cover list.

That, the defendant has contended that, as the alleged documents are not in his custody he had not submitted the same at earliest stage and now he got the same and said documents are very much necessary to adjudicate the matter.

That, the plaintiff filed his detailed objections to said application and contended that the

documents submitted at Sl.No.1 to 6 are not concerned to the present case and documents produced at Sl.No.7 and 8 are not duly stamped. Hence, the same cannot be exhibited. Hence, prayed for rejecting the said application.

That, I have heard the arguments and perused the materials placed on record.

It is to be noted here that the defendant is claiming only to produce the documents as per cover list. It is to be noted here that, a party who intending to produce the document the burden lies upon him to prove the contents of the same. That, mere marking and production document is not enough. That, mere marking of document is only a ministerial act. That, if the defendant is permitted furnish the documents as per cover list, then no prejudice would be caused to other side.

Hence, I proceed to pass the following;

**ORDER**

That, the application is filed by the defendant in IA No.III is hereby allowed and he is permitted to furnish the documents as per cover list.

For further cross of PW1  
by 13-01-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

CW1 is present and filed the petition U/Sec. 320(1) of CRPC. Perused the contents of said petition satisfied and CW1 is permitted to compound the matter. A2 to 4 are acquitted.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**CC 925/2023**

Accused is present and his learned counsel is present.

That, the statement of the accused U/s.313 of Cr.Pc has recorded and read over to him in language known to him and he has denied all incriminating evidence appearing against them and not chosen to lead either oral or documentary evidence on his behalf.

Heard the arguments by prosecution and learned counsel for the accused.

For judgment by 08-01-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**CC 819/20196**

Accused No.1 and 2 are present and their learned counsel is present.

That, the statement of the accused U/s.313 of Cr.Pc has recorded and read over to them in language known to them and they have denied all incriminating evidence appearing against them and not chosen to lead either oral or documentary evidence on their behalf.

For arguments by 06-01-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**Crl.Misc. 327/2025**

Petitioner learned counsel is present and filed memo with paper publication in Kannada Daily news papers, Kumar express and Yadgir express for issuing notice to the general in public and nobody has appeared. Petitioner No.1 is present and she is examined as PW1 and got marked Ex.P1. That, the petitioner learned counsel has submitted no further evidence on behalf of the petitioner. Hence, petitioners side evidence is closed.

Heard the arguments by petitioner learned counsel.

For orders by 03-01-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**EX.99/2023**

Dhr learned counsel is present and filed the application Under Order 5 Rule 20 of CPC with a prayed to issue notice to the respondent No.1 in Kannada Daily News paper Pramatha Vani. Heard and allowed the said application. Issue notice to the respondent No.1 in said paper, if PF and draft citation are furnished by 14-02-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Dhr learned counsel filed the application Under Order 26 Rule 10(A) R/w Section 151 of CPC to appointing any learned counsel as court commissioner to execute the sale deed on behalf of the Dhr- IA No.I.

Heard on said application and allowed. That, Shri T.N. learned counsel has been appointed as a court commissioner to execute the said sale deed infavour of the Dhr on behalf of the Jdr.

Commissioner fee is fixed at Rs.2,000/-. Issue commissioner warrant if said fee is deposited. For C/R by 25-02-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

That, Shri RND learned counsel filed power for respondent and filed the application Under Order 9 Rule 7 R/w section 151 of CPC to set aside the expare order passed against the respondent - IA No.I. That, the petitioner learned counsel has submitted for allowing IA No.I. Heard and IA No.I is allowed exparte order passed against the respondent is set aside and he is permitted to proceed with

the matter. For WS of respondent  
on 23-02-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**EP 39/2025/ 91/2023**

Dhr learned counsel filed a memo stating that respondent has paid the entire amount as per award passed by this Court. Hence, the petition may be closed as fully satisfied.

Heard and allowed the said memo. The petition is closed as fully satisfied.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**MVC 334/2021**

Petitioners learned counsel has submitted for allowing IA's No.2 and 3. Respondent No.2 learned counsel is present. Heard on IA's No.2 and 3 by the petitioner and Respondent No.2 learned counsel and allowed the same.

Issue notice to the RTO as prayed in IA No.II and issue notice to the respondent No.1 to produce the documents as prayed in IA No.III if PF and IA's No.II and III copies are furnished by 17-02-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 42/2021**

Plaintiffs learned counsel is present. Defendant learned counsel filed the application Under Section 34 and 35 of the Karnataka Stamp Act for referring the un-registered Sale Deed to District Registrar Yadgir, to calculate and impose the duty and penalty- IA No.VII and filed another application Under Section 34 of the Specific Relief act to dismiss the suit as not maintainable- IA No.VIII.

That, the plaintiffs learned counsel prays time to file objections to IA No.7 and 8. Hence, time has been granted on 09-02-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**Crime No. 80/2025**

That, the I.O has submitted requisition for issuing directions to the Meta Platforms Inc, 1601 Willow Road, Menlo Park, CA95025 for giving information about Instagram I.D. No.https://www.instagram.com/jai\_ambiga\_96\_?igsh=cTUwbGs1Z3cybXh2 and his mobile number.

That, I have perused the contents of said requisition. That, Meta Platforms Inc, 1601 Willow Road, Menlo Park, CA95025 is hereby directed to give the information about Instagram I.D. No.https://www.instagram.com/jai\_ambiga\_96\_?igsh=cTUwbGs1Z3cybXh2 and his mobile number, to the B.Gudi Police Station.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS No.200/2025**

Plaintiff and her learned counsel are present. That, Shri N.S. learned counsel filed power for defendant No.1. Shri R.M.H. learned counsel filed power for defendant No.2 and Shri B.M.T. learned counsel filed power for defendant No.3.

That, the defendants No.1 to 3 and their learned counsel are present.

Refer the matter to mediation

That, Shri R.N.D. learned counsel has been appointed as a mediator by 19-01-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**Crl.Misc.3412/2025**

Petitioner learned counsel is present and filed memo with paper publication in Kannada Daily news papers, Kumar express and Yadgir express for issuing notice to the respondent /general in public and nobody has appeared.

Now case is Petitioner evidence by 07-03-2025.

**I/c Prl.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

That, the appellant learned counsel filed a memo with paper publication in "Pramathavani" Kannada daily news paper notice served to respondent No.1 to 3. They are called out absent and placed as exparte.

For arguments by 28-01-2026.

Sr. Civil & JMFC.,

Shahapur.

LAC 1/2015

That, Shri BVP learned counsel filed power for Lrs of the deceased petitioner with NOC and filed the application Under 22 Rule 3 R/w section 151 of CPC with a prayer to bring on record the Lrs of the deceased petitioner and submitted family pedigree of the deceased and copy his death certificate.

It is to be noted here that already on 07-06-2022 the Lrs of the deceased have filed the applications to bring them on record and have also filed the application U/o 22 Rule 9 of CPC and Section 5 of the Limitation Act.

That, I have heard on the said application filed today and allowed the same. The Lrs of the deceased petitioner come on record. That, the petitioner learned counsel is permitted to amendment carried out and file amended petition by 05-02-2026.

**Sr. Civil & JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**LAC 62/2014 (EP 94/18)**

That, Shri BVP learned counsel filed power for Lrs of the deceased with NOC and filed the application Under 22 Rule 3 R/w section 151 of CPC with a prayer to bring on record the Lrs of the deceased and submitted family pedigree of the and copies of death certificates of Sidramreddy and Smt. Surekha.

That, I have heard on the said application filed today and allowed the same. The LR of the deceased petitioner come on record. That, the petitioner learned counsel is permitted to amendment carried out and file amended petition by 05-02-2026.

**Sr. Civil & JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**164 statement order sheet**

WPC No.268 of Wadagera PS has produced the Victim today at 04.00 p.m. for recording her statement Under Section 183 of BNSS. That, I have recorded the statement of said victim and read over to her in language known to her and she has accepted the same.

Plaintiff and defendant learned counsel are present. Plaintiff natural guardian of the minor plaintiffs is present and file a memo that the plaintiffs are not interested to proceed with the matter. Heard on said memo. D1 and D2 and their learned counsel are present. That, the present suit is dismissed as not pressed for.

**Sr. Civil & JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

Appellants learned counsel filed the application U/sec. 151 of CPC to extend the T.I. order granted on IA. No.II and III. Heard and said T.I has extended till next date of hearing. Hearing on IA.No.II and III. By 28-01-2026.

**Order on IA No.IV**

That, the defendant No.2 filed the application Under Order 8 Rule 1(A) of CPC with a prayer permit hi to produce the documents as per cover list.

That, the defendant No.2 in is affidavit has contended that, the alleged documents have got the presumptive value and they are the material documents to establish his lies.

That, the plaintiff filed his detailed objections to said application and I have gone through the same.

That, I have heard the arguments and perused the materials placed on record.

It is to be noted here that, in present case the defendant No.2 is seeking permission only to produce the documents as per cover list. If the defendant No.2 is permitted to furnish the said documents then no prejudice will

be caused to other side. Hence, the said application is allowed and the defendant No.2 is permitted to produced the documents as per cover list.

For further chief of DW1.

By 21-02-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**Crl.Misc./2026**

Petitioner learned counsel is present and filed the application Under Order 5 Rule 20 of CPC for issuing notice the general in public in Kannada Daily news papers, Kumar express and Yadgir express. Heard and allowed the said application.

Issue notice to the public in general in said news paper, if PF and draft citation are furnished by 25-03-2026.

I/c **Prl.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**MVC 204/2022**

That, the respondent No.2 learned counsel filed the application Under Order 11 Rule 14 R/w Section 151 of CPC to direct the respondent No.1 to produce the documents as prayed for - IA No.III.

That, the learned counsel for the petitioner filed objections to IA's No.III.

For hearing on IA No.III by 09-02-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS 34/23**

That, the plaintiff learned counsel has filed application Under Order 6 Rule 17 R/w Section 151 of CPC with a prayer permit the plaintiff to carry out the amendment as prayed for -IA No.VIII.

That alleged amendment neither changes the nature of the case nor causes injustice to other side. Hence, IA No.VIII is allowed and plaintiff is permitted to carry out the amendment and file amended plaint.

That, the plaintiff learned counsel has carried out the amendment and filed amended plaint.

Heard further arguments by the plaintiff learned counsel.

For Judgment by 10-11-2025.

**OS 113/2024**

Case is advanced on application filed by the plaintiff learned counsel.

Plaintiff learned counsel filed the application Under Order 6 Rule 17 R/w Section 151 of CPC with a payer permit the plaintiff to carryout the amendments in plaint as prayed for and filed a memo with list of documents- IA No.II.

That, plaintiff learned counsel filed another application Under Order 1 Rule 10(2) R/w Section 151 of CPC with a prayer to implead the proposed Defendant No.2- IA No.III. Learned counsel for the plaintiff has paid PF and IA No.III copies.

Issue notice to the proposed Defendant No.2 on IA No.III.

Heard on IA No.I by the plaintiff learned counsel. For orders on IA No.I. By 07-02-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

OS 10/2025

Summons is duly served on defendant. That, Shri CTD learned counsel filed power for defendant. That, the plaintiff learned counsel filed a memo stating that, the plaintiff and defendant have settled the matter out the Court on the advise of elders and relatives of the village. Hence, plaintiff is not intending to proceed with the matter. Heard on said memo and suit is dismissed as not pressed for.

Dhr learned counsel is present.  
Jdrs No.3 and 4 learned counsel  
are present and prays time to file  
objections to main petition.  
Hence, time has been granted on  
07-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

That, the Plaintiff learned counsel filed a memo with paper publication in "Samyukta Karnataka" Kannada daily news paper notice served to Lrs of Defendant No.3. he is called out absent and placed as exparte.

That, Shri S.S.S. learned counsel filed power for Defendant No.1, 2 and 4. Put up on 18-03-2026.

Sr. Civil & JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**RA 23/224**

Appellants learned counsel filed the application Under Order 26 Rule 9 of CPC with a prayer to appoint superwiser of ADLR, Shahapur or superwiser of Tahasildar office, Shahapur as a Court commissioner to make a local inspection in respect of suit land and also boundries-IA No.II

That, the respondent learned counsel prays time to file objections to IA No.II. Hence, time has been granted on 03-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

MVC 18/2023

Order on IA No.I

R2 learned counsel

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**Orders on IA No.VI**

That, the plaintiff filed application Under Order 16 Rule 6 R/w Section 151 of CPC with a prayer to call from the SBI Bank, Shahapur, Canara Bank, Axis Bank, Shahapur, Sri Sadgur Bank Shahapur, the bank statements of the defendant No.1 for the period of 20115 to 2024.

That, the plaintiff has contended that to demonstrate her case it is very much necessary to call for the said bank statements.

That, the defendant No.2 filed his objections to said application and contented That, the plaintiff has not produced any endorsement issued by the respective banks for submitting the petition before them. Hence, prayer for rejecting the said application.

That, the plaintiff is hereby directed to furnish the bank accounts number of the defendant No.1. By 02-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

Accused No.1 and his learned counsel are present. Accused No.1 filed petition Under Section 436 of Cr.Pc and furnished the certified copy of order passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Kalaburgi Bench in Crl.RP.No.200010/2026 dated 27-01-2026.

That, I have perused the said order.

That, the accused has enlarged on bail on executing personal bond of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sureties for like some.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**Broad Interpretation of 'Legal Representative':** The Supreme Court has ruled that the term "legal representative" in motor accident cases is not restricted to spouse, parents, or children. It includes any person who inherits the estate of the deceased, including siblings or cousins, particularly if they were dependent on the deceased's income or if there are no immediate family members.

Petitioners and their learned counsel are present. That, Shri RMH learned counsel has been appointed as a mediator on the last date of hearing but today the mediator is absent. Hence, Shri. RND learned counsel has been appointed as a mediator and the said mediator has submitted unsuccessful report.

That, the matter has been pre conciliated of this Court, but the parties are not ready to reunion together.

That, the learned counsel for the both the parties have filed the application U/s. 151 of CPC to waive the cooling period. Heard and allowed the said application.

That, the petitioner No.1 has filed his chief affidavit as PW1 and got marked the document at Ex.P1 and petitioner No.2 filed her chief affidavit as PW2 and adduced any documentary evidence.

That, the learned counsel both the parties have submitted no further evidence on behalf of the

petitioners. Hence, petitioner side evidence is closed.

Heard the arguments by the petitioners learned counsel. For judgment. By 07-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**CC 81/2016**

That, the surety petitioners  
namely Shri Manappa S/o  
Sabanna Chigari, Age 52 years,  
Occ:Agri, R/o Village Anabi, Tq.  
Shahapur, Dist. Yadgir  
and Shri. Shankaragouda S/o  
Malleshappa Gouda Malipatil,

Age 48 years, Occ. Agri, R/o Village Anabi, Tq. Shahapur, Dist. Yadgir are present and they are ready to stand a surety for the accused No.1.

That, I have perused the surety affidavits and RTCs pertaining to lands bearing Sy.No.385/1 and Sy.No.215 situated at Anabi Village, Tq. Shahapur, Dist. Yadgir Dist.

That, I have satisfied and accepted the sureties. That, office is to take bonds.



Petitioner learned counsel has carried out the amendments and filed amended petition.

That, the petitioner learned counsel has filed the application Under section 151 of CPC with a prayer to correct the name of the father of the petitioner in order sheet as Revanna @ Ryavanna deleting the word Revanna @ Yarvanna.

That, I have perused the records and the said mistake has corrected in order sheet dated 13-12-2025.

**Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.**

**ORDER ON IA NO.IV**

1. That, the petitioner filed the application under section 151 of CPC with a prayer to De-club the present matter from the MVC No.144/2023.

2. That, the petitioner has contented that the respondent No.2 filed the application for summoning the doctor to record in his evidence and due to which the proceedings in the MVC No.144/2023 is hampering and the petitioners therein are suffering lot.

3. That, the respondent No.2 filed his detailed objections to said application and has contented that once an order passed cannot be reopened, reviewed or modified. Hence, prayed for rejecting the said application with cost and compensatory cost of Rs.25,000/-

4. That, I have heard the arguments and perused the materials placed on record.

1. Whether the petitioner has made the grounds to allow his said application as prayed for?

2. What order?

5. That. My answer to the aforesaid points are as under :-

**Point No.1:** In the **NEGATIVE.**

**Point No.2:** As per the final order for the Following:

**REASONS**

6. **Point No.1:** It is be noted here noted here that from perusal of the order sheet it appears that the matter was set down respondent evidence on 03-10-2024 and on 04-11-2024 the respondent No.2 filed the application to club the MVC No.144/2023 and MVC No. 143/2023 and this tribunal had allowed

the said application. That, on 06-11-2024 the respondent No.2 file application under order 16 Rule 1 and 2 R/w Section 151 of CPC with a prayer to issue notice to the authorities of United Hospital, Gulbarga to produce the medical records of the petitioner from 08-12-2022 to 13-12-2022 and issue summons to the doctor by name Shri. Raju Kulkarni to give the evidence on said records. On this tribunal on 12-06-2025 had allowed the said application. That, though notice was issued to the said doctor and the authorities of the said hospital to produce the said documents and give the evidence. But, notice is not serving upon the said doctor. It is to be noted here that, if notice is reissued to the said doctor then no prejudice will be caused to the other side and on the other hand if the matter is De-clubbed then it will taken much time to dispose the same.

Hence, Accordingly, point No.1 is answered in the **NEGATIVE**.

7. **Point No.2:-** That, as discussed on point No.1, I proceed to pass the following:-

**ORDER**

That, the application filed by the petitioner Under Section 151 of CPC-IA No.V is hereby rejected.

Reissue notice to the said doctor by name Shri. Raju Kulkarni. R/by 16-03-2023.

**Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.**



**OS 27/2026**

Plaintiff learned counsel is present. That, Shri LSB learned counsel filed power for proposed Defendant No.13 for impleading her in present case- IA No.II.

That, the plaintiff learned counsel is prays time to file objections to IA No.II. Hence time is granted. Proposed Defendant No.13 is present. That, the summons is served defendants No.1 to 3, 8 to 11 ad 6 and they have refused to take the same and today they are called out absent. Hence, the are placed ex-parte

Reissue suit summons to defendants No.4, 12 and 5 R/by 24-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**MVC 53/2023**

PW1 and his learned counsel are present. Respondent No.2 learned counsel is present and filed application Us. 151 of CPC with a prayer to permit the Respondent No.2 to file counter to claim petition- IA No.I.

Petitioner learned counsel has submitted for allowing the IA No.I. Heard and IA No.I is allowed. Respondent No.2 is permitted to file counter to claim petition.

That, the respondent No.2 learned counsel filed counter to claim petition.

Issues are framed and read over.

Refer the matter to Lok Adalath.

By 14-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**



**MVC 177/2024**

Petitioner is absent and his learned counsel is present. Respondent No.2 learned counsel is present and filed application Us. 151 of CPC with a prayer to permit the Respondent No.2 to file counter to claim petition- IA No.I.

Petitioner learned counsel has submitted for allowing the IA No.I. Heard and IA No.I is allowed. Respondent No.2 is permitted to file counter to claim petition. That, the respondent No.2 learned counsel filed counter to claim petition.

Issues are framed and read over.

For Petitioner evidence.

By 17-03-2026.

Complainant and his learned counsel are present. Complainant filed a memo stating that the matter has amicably settled by both the parties. Hence, case may be dismissed.

Heard on said memo and allowed the same. The present complaint is dismissed as not pressed for.

**I/c Prl. CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**MVC 176/2024**

Petitioner learned counsel is present. Respondent No.2 learned counsel is present and filed application Us. 151 of CPC with a prayer to permit the Respondent No.2 to file counter to claim petition-IA No.I.

Petitioner learned counsel has submitted for allowing the IA No.I. Heard and IA No.I is allowed.

Respondent No.2 is permitted to file counter to claim petition. That, the respondent No.2 learned counsel filed counter to claim petition.

Issues are framed and read over.

For Petitioner evidence.

By 17-03-2026.

Call on 10-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**MVC 229/2022**

PW1 and his learned counsel are present. Respondent No.2 learned counsel is present and filed application Us. 151 of CPC with a prayer to permit the Respondent No.2 to file counter to claim petition- IA No.II.

Petitioner learned counsel has submitted for allowing the IA No.II. Heard and IA No.II is allowed. Respondent No.2 is permitted to file counter to claim petition. Respondent No.2 learned counsel filed counter to claim petition.

Issues are framed and read over.

For further chief of PW1.

By 17-03-2026.

Shri AKMS learned counsel filed power for defendant No.3 with NOC for representing the learned counsel for the Defendant No.3 already on record.

That, the Defendant No.3 learned counsel filed the application Under Section 151 of CPC with a prayer permit the D3 to file WS- IA No.VIII. That, the plaintiffs learned counsel has submitted for allowing the IA No.VIII. Heard and IA No.VIII is allowed. That, the learned counsel for the Defendant No.3 filed written statement of Defendant No.3 and filed a memo for adopting the written statement of Defendant No.3 as objections to IA No.I.

That, the Defendant No.3 learned counsel filed the application Under order VII Rule 11(A), (B) and (D) R/w Section 151 of CPC to reject the plaint as prayed for- IA No.IX and filed a memo with list of documents.

That, the plaintiff learned counsel prays time to file objections to IA No.IX. Hence, time has been granted on 16-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**MVC No./2024**

That, the Respondent No.2 learned counsel filed application Under Section 151 R/w Sec.94(e) of CPC with a prayer permit the Respondent No.2 submit objections-IA No.I.

That, the petitioner learned counsel has submitted for allowing the said application. Heard and IA No.I is allowed on cost of Rs.200/-. That, the respondent No.2 is permitted to file objection to main petition.

That, the Respondent No.2 learned counsel filed objections to main petition.

Issues are framed and read over.

For Petitioner Evidence.

By 17-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 01/2022**

Plaintiff learned counsel filed applications Under 22 rule 4, 22 rule 9 of CPC and Section 5 of Limitation Act to bring the Lrs of deceased defendant No.3 on record and to set aside the abatement and delay if any accrued -IA No.VI.

Defendant No.1 and 2 learned counsel is present.

Issue notice to the Lrs of the deceased defendant No.3 i.e., 3(a) if PF and IA No.VI copies and PF are furnished and issue notice to defendant No.5(e) if PF is paid.

R/by 07-04-2026.

**Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 213/2025**

That, the plaintiff learned  
is present. That, Shri LSP  
learned counsel filed power for  
Defendants No.3 and 4 and

filed the application Under Order 9 Rule 7 of CPC with a prayer to set aside the exparte order passed against the defendants No.3 and 4.

That, the learned counsel for the plaintiff has submitted for allowing IA No.I. Heard IA No.I is allowed and exparte order passed against defendants No.3 and 4 is hereby set aside and they are permitted to proceed with the matter.

Refer the matter to medication. That, Shri RND learned counsel has been appointed as a mediator.

For report by 02-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**RA No.37/2024**

Appellants learned counsel is present. That, Shri SS learned counsel filed power for respondents No.1, 2, 6, 7 and 9 and filed the application Under Order 9 Rule 7 of CPC with a prayer to set aside the exparte order passed against the respondent No.2, 6, 7 and 9 - IA No.I

That, the appellants learned counsel has submitted for allowing the said application. Heard and IA No.I is allowed. That, the exparte order passed against the Respondent No.2, 6, 7 and 9 is set aside and they are permitted to the proceed with the matter.

For await of notice of respondent No.1 By  
08-04-2026.

**Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 100/2024**

Plaintiff learned counsel is present. Defendant No.2 learned counsel prays time to file WS. That, the time limit to file WS has elapsed. Hence, prayer of the leaned counsel is hereby rejected and WS of Defendant No.2 is taken as nil. Defendant No.2 is absent. For await of summons of defendant No.1. By 09-04-2026.

**Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.**

**MVC 53/2025**

Petitioner learned counsel is present. Respondent No.2 learned counsel prays time to file WS. That, the time limit to file WS has elapsed. Hence, prayer of the leaned counsel is hereby rejected and WS of Respondent No.2 is taken as nil. Respondent No.2 is absent. For await of notice of Respondent No.1. By  
09-04-2026.

CC 3916/2023

Accused is absent absent and his learned counsel filed a memo with certified copy of order sheet in CrI. Appeal No.2/2026 on the board of the Ist Addl.Dist. & Sessions Judge Yadgir, wherein the Honorable Court had suspended the sentenced passed by this Court for a period of two months with some conditions.

Put up on 25-02-2026.

**I/c Addl.Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.**

**CC 3916/2023**

Accused is present and his learned counsel is present and filed the application Under Section 70(2) of Cr.Pc to recall of NBW of accused. Hence, NBW is recalled.

Surety petitioner by name Akram Sab S/o Khaja Hussain, is present and he is ready to stand a surety for the accused.

Perused affidavit, RTC and Aadhar card. Surety is accepted.

Office is to take surety and bail bonds of the accused as directed in Crl. Appeal No.2/2026 dated 09-02-2026.

**I/c Addl.Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.**

Petitioner is absent and his learned counsel is present and prays time to lead evidence. Hence, time has been granted on 08-04-2026.

**Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.**

**RA 40/2019**

**Order on IA No.XII**

That, the appellants have filed application under section 151 of CPC with a prayer to club the RA No.33/2024 in present case as the subject matter, and parties to the appeal are one and same.

That, the respondents No.1 Lrs and respondents No.2, 3 and 5 have filed their detailed objections to said application and I have gone through the same.

That, the said respondents have contended that the reliefs sought in the OS No.93/2008 and RA No.33/2024 are different together

and two separate proceedings cannot be clubbed.

I have heard the arguments.

It is to be noted here that, the present matter is pending since 2019 and the appellants are filing the interim applications frequently. That, IA No.I is pending for adjudication. That, the appellants claimed that there is a delay of 2210 days in preferring this appeal.

It is to be noted here that, the appellants have not submitted any record to show that the reliefs sought by the parties, subject matter and the parties to both the appeals are one and the same. If such being case, the present application is not maintainable. Hence, the same is hereby rejected.

For hear on IA No.I by the appellants. By 03-03-2026.

**Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 227/2022**

That, the plaintiff learned counsel filed the applications Under 22 Rule 4 of CPC- IA No.IV with a prayer to bring on record of the Lrs of the deceased defendant No.5, and filed application U/o 22 rule 9 of CPC- IA. No.V and Section 5 of Limitation Act -IA No.VI.

Issue notice to the Lrs of deceased defendant No.5 on IA's No.4 to 6 if PF and IA copies are furnished. R/by 23-04-2026.

Defendants No.1 to 8 learned  
counsel are present.

**Sr. Civil & JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**RA 36/2024**

Appellant learned counsel filed the application Under Order 41 Rule 5 of CPC with a prayer to stay the operation and execution of Judgment and Decree passed by the trial Court in OS No.146/2016. IA No.III.

Respondent No.2 learned counsel prays time to file objections to IA No.III. Hence, time has been granted on 04-03-2026.

**Sr. Civil & JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 228/2025**

Plaintiff learned counsel filed the application Under Order 6 rule 17 R/w 151 of CPC with a prayer permit him to carry out the amendments as prayed for- IA No.II. Plaintiff is present.

For objections to IA No.II by the defendants No.1 to 3 by 06-04-2026 and await of suit summons of Defendant No.4 and 5 and WS of objections to IA No.I of Defendants No.1 to 3. That, the plaintiff learned counsel filed list of documents.

**Sr. Civil & JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**



Petitioner learned counsel is present. Respondent No.1 and 2 learned counsel prays time to file WS. In spite of that the time limit to file WS has elapsed. Hence, prayer of the learned counsel is hereby rejected and WS of R1 and 2 is taken as nil.

For Petitioner evidence by  
09-04-2026.

**Sr. Civil & JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

MVC 54/2023

Respondent No.1 to 3 learned counsel is present. Petitioner learned counsel filed a memo stating that PW3 is serving as a Administrative Officer at Government Hospital, Yadgir and paid PF.

Issue notice to the PW2 and 3 Dr. Pramod Gayakwad, Administrative officer, Government Hospital at Yadgir and furnished the IA No.III copy and also petition copy. R/by 15-04-2026.

**Sr. Civil & JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 113/2024**

Plaintiff learned counsel filed a memo with paper publication Prathamavani for issuing notice to Defendant No.1. Defendant No.1 is called out absent and placed as ex-parte.

Issue notice to the proposed Defendant No.2 on IA No.III R/b 08-04-2026.

**Sr. Civil & JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**Orders on IA NO.IX.**

The defendant No.2 filed the application U/O 14 rule 1 and 2 R/w 151 of CPC with a prayer to frame the additional/ proposed issues.

**PROPOSED ISSUE.**

1. Thus the plaintiff proves that, the court fees is paid is just and proper?
2. Whether the suit in the present form is maintainable without seeking the nullity of decree in O.S. No.72/2000, on the file of this Hon'ble court.
3. Whether the suit of the plaintiff is it U/s. 52 of transfer of properties Act?

That, the plaintiff has not submitted his objections to said application. It is to be noted here that, this Court has framed the issues on 03-01-2022 and issue No.6 covers the proposed issue No.2. That, the defendant No.5 has not taken defence in his written statement that, the present suit is hit by the

provisions of Section 52 of Transfer of Property Act.

It is to be noted here that, the defendant No.5 has taken about the defence about correctness of court fee paid by the plaintiff. Hence it is requires framing of additional/ proposed issue. In view of the same additional issue frame as under:-

1. Whether the court fee paid by the plaintiff is correct?

Hence, IA No.IX is decided accordingly.

For Plaintiff evidence.

By 25-03-2026.

**Sr. Civil & JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

Plaintiff, Defendant No.1 to 4  
learned counsel are present.  
For await of mediation report.  
By 16-03-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**Crl.Misc.6/2026**

Petitioner learned counsel is present and filed memo with paper publication in Kannada Daily news papers, Kumar express and Yadgir express for issuing notice to the general in public and nobody has appeared. Petitioner No.1 is present and she is examined as PW1 and got marked the Ex.P1. PW2 is present

and filed her chief affidavit and not adduced any documentary evidence on her behalf.

That, the petitioners learned counsel has submitted no further evidence on behalf of the petitioners. Hence, petitioners side evidence is closed.

That, on perusal of the record it appears that, notice has not been issued to the respondent No.1

Issue notice to the respondent No.1 R/by 25-03-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

MC 17/2025

Petitioner No.1 and her learned counsel are present. Petitioner No.1 filed her chief affidavit as PW1 and not adduced any documentary evidence on her behalf.

Petitioner No.2 is absent and his learned counsel prays time to lead evidence. Hence, time has been granted on 07-03-2025.

RA No.04/2024

That, the respondent No.1 and her learned counsel are present. Appellant No.3 is present.

That, the appellant No.2 had executed the Special Power of Attorney infavour of the appellant No.1 with respect to proceed with this matter on his behalf. That, the appellant No.1 filed a memo stating that, matter has settled the out of the court and inview of the same present appeal may be dismissed.

That, I have heard on said memo and allowed the same.

That, the present appeal is hereby dismissed as settled the out of Court and office is to re-transmit the record to the trial Court.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**RA 36/2024**

That, Appellant learned counsel is present. Respondent No.2 learned counsel are present. Respondent No.2 has not filed objections to IA No.III inspite of giving opportunity. Hence, it is taken as nil.

Heard on IA No.III by the appellant learned counsel. That, the considering the urgency of the matter both the parties are hereby directed to maintain the status quo order till further orders of this Court.

By 07-03-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**Crl.Misc. 4001/2025**

Case is advanced on application filed by the petitioner learned counsel Petitioner No.1 is present and she is examined as PW1 and got marked Exs.P1 and 2. That, the petitioner learned counsel has submitted no further evidence on behalf of the petitioner. Hence, petitioners side evidence is closed.

Heard the arguments by petitioner learned counsel.

For orders by 24-03-2026.

I/c Addl.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Crime No.210, 211/2025

Accused No.2 to 4 learned counsel is present and filed a memo along with the certified copy of oral order passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Kalaburgi bench in Crl.P.No.201814/2025 clubbed with Crl.P.No.201899/2025 dated 10-12-2025.

That, I have perused the record. That, the Hon'ble Court has stayed the further proceedings of this case.

For await of further orders.

By 11-05-2026.

I/c PRL. CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.



Plaintiff learned counsel is present and filed objections to advancement petition.

That Shri RMH learned counsel filed power for the defendant No.2 and filed application Under Order 9 Rule 7 of CPC with a prayer to set aside the exparte order passed against the defendant No.2- IA No.III.

That, the learned counsel for the plaintiff prays time to file objections to IA No.III. Hence, time has been granted on 09-03-2026.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**PCR referred**  
**PCR 37/2026**

I have gone through the contents of application filed by the complainant under section 175(3) of BNS.

That, I have satisfied.

Refer the matter to PSI of Shahapur P.S. to investigate the matter and submit the report.

R/by 28-04-2026.

**C/c Prl. CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

**OS 24/2021**

That, the learned counsel for the plaintiff filed the application Under IA No.III dated 14-08-2024 for issuing notice to the defendant No.16 in Kannada Prabha news paper. Heard on said application.

Issue notice to the defendant No.16 in said paper if draft citation is furnished. R/by 23-03-2026.

Defendants No.1 to 3, 5 to 7, 10 to 15, 11 and 17 learned counsel are present.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**OS 114/2024**

Plaintiff learned counsel is present and filed a memo with paper publication in Kannada Daily news paper, Kannada Prabha for issuing notice to the defendant No.5 and he is called out absent and placed as exparte.

For W/S of Defendants No.1, 3 and 4. By 23-04-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

**RA 27/2024**

Appellant learned counsel filed the application Under Order 6 Rule 17 R/w Section 151 of CPC with a prayer permit the appellants carry out the amendments as prayed for- IA No.III.

That, Respondent No.1 and 5 learned counsel prays time to file objections to IA No.III. Hence, time has been granted on 21-04-2026 and steps to R1 to 3, 6 to 11.

**Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.**

PW1 is absent and his learned counsel is present and prays time to lead further chief of PW1. Hence, time has been granted on 07-04-2026. Respondent No.2 learned counsel is present.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

Crl.Misc.179/2025

Case called out 11.55 am.  
Petitioner is absent his learned  
counsel is present and prays time  
to lead the evidence.

Case called out again 04.30 p.m.  
That, inspite of giving sufficient  
opportunities the petitioner has  
failed to lead the evidence. Hence,  
prayer of learned counsel is  
hereby rejected and petition is  
dismissed as not pressed for.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.

OS 18/24

Plaintiff learned counsel filed a memo stating that, the plaintiff died long back.

For steps to Lrs of plaintiff

By 07-04-2026.

Sr.CJ &JMFC.,  
Shahapur.