

CS DJ 632/2014, 16403/16

V.P. JAIN

Vs.

MS SAVANT NETWORK AND IMAGING SYSTEMS

27.09.2023

Present : Sh. Ankur Jain, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

Sh. V.S. Chauhaan, Ld. counsel for the defendant.

Cost paid.

Reply to the application under Section 340 Cr.P.C. filed on behalf of the plaintiff. It is submitted by the counsel for the plaintiff that he has already supplied the copy of the same to the opposite party through mail as well as through whats app. To this, Ld. Counsel for the defendant submitted that he has received the copy of the same only through whats app and the same has not been signed & without affidavit.

Arguments heard on the application under Order 1 rule 10 CPC.

Through the present application, prayer has been made to implead Ms. Tanu Singh i.e. daughter of the defendant as she is the proprietor of M/s Savant Network and Imaging Systems as this fact has been revealed during the cross-examination of DW-1. Counsel for the defendant submitted that without admitting the contents qua allegations made in the application, it may be allowed.

In view of the above and considering the facts & circumstances, the above said application is hereby stands allowed with respect to impleadment of Ms. Tanu Singh. Let amended memo of parties be filed. Copy be supplied.

Contd.....

At this stage, it is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff that now consequently, he will be moving an application with respect to the amendment of the plaint. Let copy of the same be supplied to the opposite party in advance.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff requested that both the application under Section 340 Cr.P.C. be got separated. Ahlmad is directed to separate both the applications under Section 340 Cr.P.C.

Put up for further proceedings on **29.11.2023.**

(VANDANA)
ADJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
27.09.2023