

KAYG010010852026



1 Crl.Misc.No.273/2026

**IN THE COURT OF THE 1ST ADDL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE, YADGIR**

Dated this the **29th day of May, 2026**

PRESENT

Sri.Marulasiddaradhy H.J.

B.A, LL.B.,LL.M

I/c I Addl. District and Sessions Judge,
Yadgir.

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.273/2026

Petitioners/Accused No.2 & 3:

- 1) Nagamma W/o Shantappa
Age: 46 years, Occ: House hold
- 2) Shantappa S/o Hanmantha
Age: 52 years, Occ: Household
Both are R/o Sangawar village, Tq: & Dist. Yadgiri

(By Sri.PSM, Advocate)

Vs.

Respondent:

The State through Saidapur
Police Station.

(By Public Prosecutor, Yadgir)

* * * * *

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioners/Accused No.2 & 3 under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita-2023 (in short 'BNSS-2023'), seeking anticipatory bail



apprehending their arrest in Cr.No.69/2026 of Saidapur Police Station, for the offences punishable under Sections 85, 118(1), 110, 352, 351(2) R/w Sec.3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita-2023 (in short 'BNS-2023').

2) The petitioners have presented their petition with various grounds.

3) The learned Public Prosecutor after service of notice appeared in this case and filed detailed objection with C.D. by praying to reject the bail petition having no grounds made out by the petitioners.

4) Heard both sides. Perused ground of the petition, objections and documents on record.

5) The following points arise for my determination:

1) Whether the petition U/Sec.482 of BNSS is deserves to be allowed?

2) What order?

6) My findings on the above points are as follows:

Point No.1: In the Affirmative.

Point No.2: As per final order;
for the following;



REASONS

7) POINT No.1:- The case of the prosecution in brief as per the contents of FIR is that, on 15.05.2026 complaint is lodged before Saidapur PS with a allegation that, on 13.05.2026 at about 8.30 pm, when the complainant was sitting along with her son in her house, accused No.1 being her husband snatched the phone and thrashed it on the ground. When the complainant asked the reason, the accused started quarreling with her alleging misconduct against her and brought the knife from kitchen and stabbed on her back and lap by causing grievous injuries. She started screaming loudly and then, the neighbours intervened to rescue the victim. The neighbours took her to Government hospital at Saidapur and she took treatment. After discussing with her parents, she lodged complaint which is registered as Cr No.69/2026.

8) The petitioners have filed this petition on the ground that, they are innocent and law abiding citizens. They have been falsely implicated in this case. The allegations are not punishable either with life imprisonment or death sentence and are triable



by Judicial Magistrate of First Class. They have depending family members with own occupation. They are permanent residents and have no criminal antecedents. They are ready to abide by the conditions.

9) The learned public prosecutor in his objections specifically contended that the petitioners have committed serious offences. In case of releasing the petitioners on bail, they may cause threat to the prosecution witnesses, destroy the prosecution evidence. Even the investigation is still pending and hence he prays to reject the bail petition.

10) The prosecution has not alleged criminal antecedents to these petitioners. The offences are not punishable exclusively with life imprisonment or death sentence and they are triable by Judicial Magistrate of First Class. These petitioners are the parents of accused No.1 and they were not alleged with any assault or committing any serious offences against the victim. Both of them are aged persons with their own depending family.

11) Hence, by considering the permanent resident of the petitioners, nature and absence of criminal antecedents, absence



of threat to the life and safety of the victim, I am of the opinion that the accused/petitioners are entitled for bail subject to reasonable conditions to satisfy objection of learned public prosecutor. Hence, I answer this point No.1 in the ***Affirmative***.

12) POINT NO.2:- In view of my findings on point No.1, I proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

The petition filed by the petitioners/accused No.2 & 3 under Section 482 of BNSS., is hereby allowed.

The petitioners/accused No.2 & 3 are ordered to be released on bail on executing personal bonds for Rs.1,00,000/- each with one surety for all for the like-sum in the event of their arrest by the respondent police in Cr.No.69/2026, subject to the following conditions;

- 1.** The petitioners shall surrender before the jurisdictional I.O. or the court within 15 days from the date of this order and comply the conditions.
- 2.** The petitioners shall co-operate with IO for the purpose of investigation.

KAYG010010852026



6

Crl.Misc.No.273/2026

3. The petitioners shall not abscond or tamper with prosecution evidence.
4. The petitioners shall appear before the court on every date of hearing unless expressly exempted by order of the court.

(Dictated to the Stenographer Grade-III, transcribed, corrected it, taken out print, signed and then pronounced by me in the open Court on **29th day of May, 2026**)

(Marulasiddaradhya H.J.)

I/c I Addl. District and Sessions Judge,
Yadgir.