

KAYG010011092026



1 Crl.Misc.No.283/2026

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE, YADGIR.**

Dated this the **11th day of June, 2026**

PRESENT

Sri.Marulasiddaradhya H.J.

B.A, LL.B.,LL.M

Prl. District and Sessions Judge,
Yadgir.

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.283/2026

Petitioner/Accused No.1 & 3:

1. Tatappa S/o Piddappa Kolli
Age: 66 years, Occ: Agriculture
R/o Divalgudda village, Tq: Shorapur Dsit. Yadgiri
2. Raghavendra @ K.Raghavendra S/o Tatappa Kolli
Age: 23 years, occ: Student,
R/o Divalgudda village, Tq: Shorapur Dist. Yadgiri
(Petition Dismissed)
3. Narashih Raju @ Narasimharaju S/o Tatappa Kolli
Age: 22 years, Occ: Student
R/o Divalgudda village, Tq: Shorapur Dist. Yadgiri

(By Sri.HMP, Advocate)

Vs.

Respondents:

1. The State through DCRE PS, Yadgiri
2. Kenchamma W/o Ningappa Kattimani
Age: 45 years, Occ: Labourer
R/o Divalgudda village, Tq: Shorapur Dsit. Yadgiri

(By Public Prosecutor, Yadgir for R-1)
(R-2 Absent)



ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioners/ accused No.1 & 3 under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita-2023 (in short 'BNSS-2023'), seeking anticipatory bail apprehending their arrest in Cr.No.1/2026 registered by the respondent No.1-Police, for the offences punishable under Sections 232, 352, 351(2) of BNS, 2023 & U/Sec.3(1) (r)(s), 3(2)(v-a) of SC/ST (P.A) Act, 1989.

2) The petitioners have presented their petition with various grounds.

3) The learned Public Prosecutor after service of notice appeared in this case and filed detailed objection with C.D. by praying to reject the bail petition having no grounds made out by the petitioners.

4) During pendency of this petition, memo filed by the petitioner counsel dismissing the petition against accused No.2 in view of his arrest. Accordingly, this court has dismissed the petition against accused No.2 as withdrawn.



5) After service of notice, respondent remained absent.

6) Heard both sides. Perused the grounds of the petition, objection and document on record.

7) The following points arise for my determination:

1) Whether the petition U/sec.482 of BNSS is deserves to be allowed?

2) What order?

8) My findings on the above points are as follows:

Point No.1: In the **Affirmative.**

Point No.2: As per final order;
for the following;

REASONS

9) **POINT No.1:-** The contents of FIR reveal that, on 20.04.2026 complaint is lodged before DCRE Police station with a allegation that, on 02.03.2026 at about 12.30 pm, when the complainant and her son were in the court premises at Yadgiri, the accused No.1 to 3 came near them and started quarreling with them by abusing in filthy language by touching their caste name for visiting the court to give evidence against them. They also threatened to



their life if they give evidence in court and thereafter the quarrel has been pacified by one Ningayya of their village. Hence after consulting their family members belatedly lodged complaint and registered as Crime No.1/2026.

10) The petitioners being apprehended of arrest filed this petition on the grounds that, they are innocent and law abiding citizens. They have been falsely implicated to harass them. There is inordinate delay of 21 days in lodging complaint. Even these petitioners were given life threat by the complainant. The allegations are not serious in nature and themselves being the residents with depending family members are ready to abide by any conditions imposed by the court, all of them prayed to enlarge them on bail.

11) After service of notice, the respondent No.2 has not appeared and remained absent. The learned public prosecutor filed objections by contending that, investigation is in the initial stage and accused have committed serious offences within the court premises by threatening the complainant and family members to adduce evidence in Crime No.142/2023. The accused / petitioners are influential



persons and in case of their release, they may jump bail and remain absconding. They may also commit similar offences and threaten the prosecution witnesses, hence prays to reject the bail petition.

12) On perusal of the grounds of the application along with documents on record, it is clear that, the allegations against the accused/ petitioners are not punishable with imprisonment above 5 years and both the petitioners are permanent residents with their own occupation. The petitioner No.1 is the senior citizen, while the petitioner No.2 is the student by occupation.

13) The allegations against the petitioners does not require custodial interrogation and the petitioners have not committed the alleged offences only for the reason that, the complainant and family members belongs to SC/ST Community. The intention in committing the offences clearly reveal that the accused/ petitioners were intending to threat the complainant in adducing evidence before this court.

14) The prosecution has not alleged any criminal



antecedents to the petitioners using any dangerous weapons causing any serious injuries to the victims.

15) Hence by considering the facts and circumstances of this case along with the nature and extent of punishment, I am of the opinion that, both the petitioners/ accused No.1 & 3 are entitled for bail subject to reasonable conditions to satisfy the objections of learned public prosecutor. Hence I answer point No.1 in the ***Affirmative.***

16) POINT NO.2:- In view of my findings on point No.1, I proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

The petition filed by the petitioners/accused No.1 & 3 under Section 482 of BNSS., is hereby allowed.

The petitioners/accused No.1 & 3 are ordered to be released on bail on executing personal bond for Rs.1,00,000/- each with two sureties for the like-sum in the event of his arrest by the respondent No.1 Police in Cr.No.1/2026 subject to the following conditions;

- 1.** The petitioner/accused shall surrender before the jurisdictional I.O. or the



court within 15 days from the date of this order and comply the conditions.

2. The petitioner/accused shall co-operate with IO for the purpose of investigation.
3. The petitioner/accused shall not abscond or tamper with prosecution evidence.
4. The petitioner/accused shall appear before the court on every date of hearing unless expressly exempted by order of the court.

(Dictated to the Stenographer Grade-III, transcribed him on computer, corrected it, taken out print, signed and then pronounced by me in the open Court on the 11th day of June, 2026).

(Marulasiddaradhya H.J.)
Prl. District and Sessions Judge,
Yadgir.