



1

Crl.Misc. 96/2026

**IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
YADGIRI**

Dated this the **24th day of March 2026**

PRESENT

Sri.Marulasiddaradhya H.J.

B.A, LL.B.,LL.M

Prl. District and Sessions Judge,
Yadgir.

CRL. MISC NO. 96/2026

Petitioner/Accused:

N.A.Tippeswamy S/o N. Anjaneya
Age: 43 years, Occ: F.D.A Thasil Office,
R/o: Allu, Tq: Kodligi, Dist: Vijay Nagar,
Now at Sai Bhavan Building, Station Area, Yadgir.

(By Sri.V.R.Sthavarmath, Advocate)

Vs.

Respondent:

The State by Lokayukta P.S. Yadgiri

(By Public Prosecutor, Yadgir)

* * *

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioner under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita-2023 (in short 'BNSS-2023'), seeking anticipatory bail apprehending his



arrest in Crime No.6/2025 of Lokayukta Police Station, for the offences punishable under Sections 7(a) PC Act 1988.

2) The petitioner has presented his petition with various grounds.

3) The learned Public Prosecutor after service of notice appeared in this case and filed detailed objection with C.D. by praying to reject the bail petition having no grounds made out by the petitioner.

4) Heard both sides. Perused the grounds of the petition, objection and document on record.

5) The following points arise for my determination:

1) Whether the petition U/sec.482 of BNSS is deserves to be allowed? ?

2) What order?

6) My findings on the above points are as follows:

Point No.1: In the **Affirmative.**

Point No.2: As per final order;
for the following;

**REASONS**

7) POINT No.1:- The brief facts of the prosecution case are that on 18-07-2025 the complaint is lodged before Karnataka Lokayukta police, Yadgir with the allegations that the complainant filed an application before officer of accused to prevent transfer of property from the name of his grand mother situated in Sy.No.103/1 and 152/2 and to sent the application with documents to Shahapur Civil Court. Towards it the accused has demanded to pay him Rs.60,000/- as bribe. The same has been negotiated to Rs.50,000/-. The complainant being not willing to pay said amount lodged complaint against the accused working as SDA in the office of Tahasildar Wadagera. Accordingly, on the same at about 5.10 P.M, in the presence of shadow and pancha witnesses and a successful trap held and accused has been caught raid handed along with bribe amount of Rs.50,000/-.

8) The petitioner being accused No.2 apprehended of arrest filed this petition on the ground that he has been falsely implicated with concocted story. There is pending civil case in which the petitioner cannot involved. Bribe amount is not



seized from him, but seized from the hands of the shop keeper working in Nandini Milk Parlour. There is no work pending with this petitioner. He is the permanent resident with his own occupation, depending family members and ready to abide by any conditions.

9) The special learned public prosecutor in his objections to the petition contended that the petitioner has committed serious offences and in case of his release, he may destroy the prosecution evidence and threaten the witnesses, may remain absconding and thereby cause delay for early conclusion of investigation. Hence, having prima facie case, he prays to reject the bail petition.

10) The facts and circumstances clearly reveal that the I.O has not sought for his personal interrogation. The petitioner is the public servant with his own depending family members, permanent resident and has no criminal antecedents.

11) The allegations are not punishable either with life imprisonment or death sentence. The chances of tampering



with official pancha witnesses and destroyed any evidence appears meager in the facts and circumstances of this case.

12) Hence, by considering completion of material part of investigation and extent of punishment, absence of criminal antecedent, dependency, permanent residence of the petitioner, I am of the opinion that the accused/petitioner is entitle for bail subject to reasonable conditions to satisfy objections of special learned public prosecutor. Hence, I answer this point No.1 in the **Affirmative**.

13) POINT No.2: In view of my findings on point No.1, I proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

The petition filed by the petitioner under Section 482 of BNSS., is hereby allowed.

The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing personal bond for Rs.1,00,000/- with one surety for the like-sum in the event of his arrest by the respondent police in Cr.No.6/2025, subject to the following conditions;

- 1)** The petitioner shall surrender before the jurisdictional I.O. or the court within 15



days from the date of this order and comply the conditions.

- 2) The petitioner shall co-operate with IO for the purpose of investigation.
- 3) The petitioner shall not abscond or tamper with prosecution witnesses.
- 4) The petitioner shall appear before the court on every date of hearing unless expressly exempted by order of the court.
- 5) The petitioner/accused shall not indulge in committing similar offences in future.

(Dictated to the Stenographer Grade-III transcribed, corrected it, taken out print, signed and then pronounced by me in the open Court on the 24th day of March, 2026).

(Marulasiddaradhya H.J.)
Prl. District and Sessions Judge,
Yadgir.