

In the court of V Addl.District and Sessions Judge, Vijayapur (Sit at Muddebihal)

SC No.170/2025
CW-1, PW-1

Name	:	Indiramma Sainapur
Husband's name	:	Ayyappa
Age	:	34 years
Occupation	:	Labourer
Resident	:	Bajar oni, Hunasagi Tq.Hunasagi, Dist. Yadagiri

Duly sworn on 05-06-2026.

Examination-in-Chief : By Learned Public Prosecutor

1. Deceased, Sri. Yamanappa Hulagabala was my father. The accused No.1 is my younger brother and accused No.2 is my mother. Hanamanti @ Nirmala is wife of accused no.1.

2. I have been living with my husband since 25 years in Hunasagi. The accused No.1,2 and deceased and wife of accused no.1 were living together in house in Konnur village, Talikoti. My deceased father was habitual drunkard and was quarrelling with family members and had other vices too. My father fell at the steps of my parental house and sustained severe injuries, and died in government hospital, Talikoti about a year back. Somebody telephoned me and informed me that my father is admitted in government hospital, Talikoti. I went there and came to know about his falling. I gave complaint to the police. Witness identifies her thumb impression on two sheets of complaint, and the same is marked as **Ex.P.4** and the thumb impressions as **Ex.P.4(a) and (b)**. I do not know the contents of Ex.P.4.

3. The police conducted inquest panchanama, and witness identifies herself in photograph, out of two photographs, and same are marked as **Ex.P.5 and 6.**

4. I have not shown any spot to the police. Witness identifies her thumb impression on spot panchanama and same is marked as **Ex.P.7** and thumb impression as **Ex.P.7(a)**. I do not know the contents of Ex.P.7. Witness identifies herself in two photographs and the same are marked as **Ex.P.8 and 9**. I do not know the reason for taking photographs. I have not lodged any complaint against accused no.1 and 2 of having murdered my father. I have not given any further statement before police.

(Learned PP prays to treat the witness as hostile and permit to cross examine. Permitted).

Cross examination by learned PP.

5. It is false to suggest that, I lodged complaint before police that accused no.1 and 2 were fed up of my father misdeeds, and on 20-06-2025, at 2.30 p.m., when my father in inebriated condition was trying to misbehave with wife of accused no.1, both in fit of rage, to murder him, assaulted him. It is false to suggest that, the accused no.1 beat my father with wooden portion of axe on head, legs, and accused no.2 beat with stick, and my father succumbed to injuries at 6.pm in government hospital, Talikoti. It is false to suggest that, I learnt all this from CW-11. It is false to suggest that, I gave complaint, Ex.P.4 accordingly.

6. It is false to suggest that, on 21-06-2025, from 5 pm to 6 pm, the police have conducted panchanama, Ex.P.7 at the spot shown by me, and photographs, Ex.P.8 and 9 were taken at that time.

7. It is false to suggest that, I have given further statement that, accused no.2 shown in FIR is not involved in this case. The further statement is marked as **Ex.P.10**. It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely to help accused no.1 and 2 who are my brother and mother respectively.

Cross examination by Sri. B.C.Y Advocate for accused:

(Learned Advocate submits he has no cross examination)

Re Examination Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in Open Court as stated by witness, read over to him and admitted by him to be correct).

R.O. I. & A.C.

V Addl. District and Sessions
Judge, Vijayapura(Sit at Muddebihal)