

In the court of V Addl.District and Sessions Judge, Vijayapur (Sit at Muddebihal)

SC No.218/2025
CW-3, PW-2

Name	:	Jatteppa Kesapur
Father's name	:	Sangappa
Age	:	40 years
Occupation	:	Agriculturist
Resident	:	Adavisomanal, Tq.Talikoti

Duly sworn on 19-06-2026.

Examination-in-Chief : By Learned Public Prosecutor

1. I know CW-2 and 4.
2. I know deceased, Hanamanth. I was told that, he was murdered.
3. The police called me and CW-2 to BLDE Hospital, Vijayapura for acting as pancha over dead body of Hanamanth. The police conducted inquest panchanama from 7.00 pm to 8.00 pm on 13-12-2024. Witness identifies the inquest panchanama and signature on the same, and same is marked as **Ex.P.7** and witness signature is marked as **Ex.P.7(a)**. Witness identifies himself in photographs already marked as Ex.P.2 and 3 as wearing white kurta.
4. I do not know, whether another panchanama was conducting. Witness is shown the photograph, and witness says, it is in police station that was taken. He does not know with respect to what it was taken. Witness now says, he signed the deceased clothes seizure panchanama in hospital, and identifies his signature. The said panchanama is marked as **Ex.P.8** and witness signature is marked as **Ex.P.8(a)**. The said photograph is marked as **Ex.P.9**.

(Learned PP prays to treat the witness as hostile and permit to cross examine. Permitted).

Cross examination by learned PP.

5. It is true to suggest that, the police conducted panchanama on 14-12-2024, from 9 am to 10 am in police station in my and CW-4's presence, and seized chocolate sweater, white colour shirt, white colour banian, white colour lungi and yellow colour underwear. Sealed articles are opened before court, and witness identifies the said articles, and the same are marked from **MO-12 to 16** respectively.

Cross examination by Sri. P.S.G Advocate for accused No.1.

6. It is true to suggest that, I cannot tell what is written panchanamas Ex.P.7 and 8. The police have removed the clothes of deceased. It is true to suggest that, I signed Ex.P.8 in the hospital. It is false to suggest that, the clothes were not removed in the hospital and the clothes seizure panchanama was not conducted in the hospital.

Cross examination by Sri. V.S.P Advocate for accused No.2.

(Learned Advocate submits he adopts above cross examination)

Re Examination Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in Open Court as stated by witness, read over to him and admitted by him to be correct).

R.O. I. & A.C.

V Addl. District and Sessions
Judge, Vijayapura(Sit at Muddebihal)

