

**AIn the court of V Addl.District and Sessions Judge, Vijayapur (Sit
at Muddebihal)**

SC No.218/2025
CW-1, PW-1

Name	:	Goudappa Narodi
Father's name	:	Siddappa
Age	:	30 years
Occupation	:	Labourer
Resident	:	Adavisomanal, Tq.Talikoti

Duly sworn on 19-06-2026.

Examination-in-Chief : By Learned Public Prosecutor

1. My parents have two sons and four daughters. Deceased Hanamanth, was my elder brother. Accused no.2 is wife of said Hanamanth. Said Hanamanth used to go to different places for during labour work. The deceased used to come once in two months. The accused no.2 was residing with their 3 children in the house comprising two rooms, including kitchen and washroom.

2. There used to be quarrel between deceased and accused no.2, with respect to the character of accused no.2. I, CW-16 and 18 had advised both of them, not to quarrel.

3. About 1 ½ year back, the deceased came to his house. He came to harvest toordal in his 1 ½ acre land, and had collected the same. One day, after completing work, the deceased and accused no.2 returned in evening to their house. At 7.30 pm, the deceased went to the land to guard the harvested crop, after dinner. Next day morning at 6.30 am, I and CW-17 went to meet the deceased. We saw that deceased was lying dead below the heap of crop. There was mark of rope around his neck and injury on the left side of forehead. There was rope, liquor pouch

and plastic glass near the body. The liquor pouch was full. I called accused no.2, and their sons, CW-14 and 16 to the spot. I lodged complaint before police at 1.00 pm. CW-16 wrote the complaint. Witness identifies the complaint and his left thumb impression on both sheets and the complaint is marked as **Ex.P.1** and LTI as **Ex.P.1(a) and (b)**.

4. The police came to the spot and conducted inquest panchanama, and took photographs. Witness identifies the photographs, and himself in it, as pointing out towards the dead body, taken in BLDE hospital, Vijayapura and same are marked as **Ex.P.2 and 3**.

(Further chief examination is deferred to afternoon session)

(Typed to my dictation in Open Court as stated by witness, read over to him and admitted by him to be correct).

R.O. I. & A.C.

V Addl. District and Sessions
Judge, Vijayapura(Sit at Muddebihal)

Duly sworn on 19-06-2026 at 3.22 p.m.

Further Examination-in-Chief : By Learned Public Prosecutor

5. On 14-12-2024, the police came to the spot, and I showed the spot. The police conducted panchanama from 4.30 pm to 6.30 pm. The police seized blood stained from one plastic bag, one white cloth pice, slippers, towel, two blue coloured bags, chadar (used to cover while sleeping), bedsheet, plastic can, 90 ml liquor pouch and, sealed covers are opened before court, and witness is shown the above items, and witness identifies the same, and same are marked from **MO-1 to 11** respectively. Witness identifies his thumb impression on the panchanama , and panchanama is marked as Ex.P.4 and his thumb impression are marked as **Ex.P.4 (a) and (b)**. Witness is shown two photographs, and witness identifies himself as pointing out to the items, and same are marked as **Ex.P.5 and 6**.

6. After 4-5 days, the police called me to CPI office, and showed me accused no.1 and 2, and told that, both had illicit relationship, and so, they murdered my brother, and told that, at 5.30 pm, the accused no.1 took deceased on his motorcycle from Somanal to Balaganur, and both of them drank liquor, and came to the land of deceased. Thereafter, there was argument between deceased and accused no.1, and accused no.1 took rope and strangled the deceased. It was 1.30 am at that time, and accused no.1 went to his village. The accused no.1 goes to houses and tells about their family history. From there, the accused no.1 went to Nagarbetta, Muddebihal and from there, when he was going towards Nidagundi, the police caught him. I have given further statement accordingly.

Cross examination by Sri. P.B.G Advocate for accused No.1:

7. I have not studied in school. I cannot tell the contents of complaint, Ex.P.1. I have signed to Ex.P.1, as told to me by police. The distance from our village, Adavisomnal to Talikoti police station is about 20 k.ms. 16 years had completed for marriage of deceased. I and deceased have been living separately since 13 years, as we were not in good terms. The distance from house of deceased to his land, is about 3 k.ms. Even, distance from my house to land of deceased is about 3 k.ms. My land is adjacent to land of deceased. My land is also about 1 ½ acres. I had gone to my land for harvesting toor dal on that day. There is one house of one Sri. Prahalad, about 100 feet far from said land.

8. It is true to suggest that, I have not mentioned the name of accused no.1 and 2, in my complaint, Ex.P.1. It is true to suggest that, I heard about illicit relationship of accused no.1 and 2 from the police for the first time. The police did not show me any photograph or video graph, to show illicit relationship of accused no.1 and 2. The police had showed me the receipt of liquor shop, where accused no.1 and deceased had consumed alcohol. Witness is asked about the amount in the receipt and the name of the liquor shop, and witness says, he does not know to read. I asked the police, and the police told, they ate Ragimudde, and the police told, they both drank whiskey, and both drank one quarter each. I do not know which brand of whiskey it was. There was no name on the said receipt.

9. The police had shown CC. TV footage of accused no.1 and my deceased brother going into the bar. I have not asked the police, which

bar it was. The police did not tell me the motorcycle number of accused no.1, nor the company of said motorcycle. I had asked about the said motorcycle, and I don't remember, what the police said.

10. The land of my deceased brother is vacant land. It is true to suggest that, there is shed there, and there were no items there. It is true to suggest that, I gave MO-1 to 11 to the police. It is false to suggest that, I have not stated in my further statement, anywhere that, the police had shown receipt of liquor shop, and CC. TV footage, and that they drank whiskey. It is false to suggest that, I have created my own story, and I am deposing falsely. It is false to suggest that, over grabbing my brothers property, I murdered my brother, and with help of police have got false case foisted against accused no.1 and 2.

11. It is true to suggest that, CW-2 to 8 are of our caste. It is true to suggest that, I know CW-11 to 22.

Cross examination by Sri. V.S.P Advocate for accused No.2:

(Learned Advocate submits he adopts above cross examination)

Re Examination Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in Open Court as stated by witness, read over to him and admitted by him to be correct).

R.O. I. & A.C.

V Addl. District and Sessions
Judge, Vijayapura(Sit at Muddebihal)