

14 Civ DJ 216/26
RAJESH Vs. SHAM LAL

21.05.2026

Present: Mr. Manish Kapoor, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff (through VC).
Plaintiff along with his daughter, namely, Ms. Gungun Jatana.
Daughter-in-law of D-1, namely, Ms. Akriti (through VC).
Mr. K. D. Malik, Ld. Counsel for D-2 (through VC).
D-2 in person alongwith his son, namely, Mr. Nitin Jatana.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has stated that he would file hard copy of the replication during the course of the day.

Written statement has not been filed by D-1 despite direction. Accordingly, right of D-1 to file written statement is hereby closed.

Plaintiff is again directed to file reply to the application earlier moved on behalf of D-2, under Order VII Rule 11 CPC within seven days with copy to the opposite party.

Plaintiff and D-2 are directed to file affidavit of admission/denial of documents within seven days with copy to each other.

Put up for purpose already fixed on **12.08.2026**.

(Naveen Gupta)
District Judge-09(W)/THC,
Delhi/21.05.2026