

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, PUNE.

Criminal M.A. No. 482/2026

Raju Ram Panjabi & Anr.

Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra Lashkar Police Station & Anr. Respondents.

ORDER BELOW EXH.1

This is an application by the accused in Crime No. 149/2025 registered with Lashkar police station for the offences punishable under sections 61(2), 111, 318(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 [For short "BNS"].

2] It is contended that the Hon'ble High Court at Bombay vide order dated 12/02/2026, granted pre-arrest bail to the applicants/accused subject to terms and conditions. The one of the condition is that they shall not leave India without prior permission of the Trial Court.

3] It is contended that the applicants/accused want to travel to UAE for business purpose as they are invited by the renowned company for business meetings from 13/08/2026 to 16/08/2026. They are ready to abide all terms and conditions. They are also ready to submit

their travel itinerary. Therefore, they may be permitted to travel for four days.

4] The investigation officer has failed to file say.

5] Heard Mr. Patil, the learned advocate for the applicants/accused. He submits that this court vide order dated 04/02/2026 granted identical permission to travel abroad to the co-accused Uday Thatte for the period of more than one month. He further submits that the present applicants/accused are seeking leave to travel abroad for four days and they will report to this court immediately after their return.

6] I have considered the grounds set out in the application as well as the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court and the accusations in the First Information Report [For short "FIR"]. The Hon'ble High Court is pleased to observe in paragraph no. 19 of the bail order that the dispute is mainly of civil nature. Therefore, the applicants/accused are directed to be enlarged on bail in the event of their arrest. Secondly, the role of the applicants/accused in the FIR also appears limited. The FIR was registered on 23/08/2025. The Hon'ble High Court granted pre-arrest bail vide order dated 12/02/2026. It is pertinent to note that despite lapse of almost one year, charge-sheet is not filed by the investigation officer. He also opted not to file say to this application. This conduct on the part of investigation officer speaks in volume.

7] Having considered the aforesaid factual position, I am of the considered view that the reason in the application is just and proper. The travel duration is of only four days. Therefore, it is a fit case to allow the applicants/accused to travel UAE subject to terms and conditions. In turn, I pass the following order:-

ORDER

- 1] The Criminal Miscellaneous Application No. 482/2026 is allowed.
- 2] Applicants/accused viz. 1] **Raju Ram Panjabi** and 2] **Jitendra Sunderdas Panjabi** are permitted to travel during the period from 13/08/2026 to 16/08/2026 to U.A.E. subject to the following conditions:-
 - a) They shall furnish the travel itinerary along with air tickets including return journey tickets to this court as well as the investigation officer.
 - b) The applicants/accused shall provide their residential address in the country viz. U.A.E. to the investigation officer as well as this court along with their contact numbers.
 - c) The applicants/accused shall report to this court as well as investigation officer on 18/08/2026 without fail.

Date:- 12.08.2026
Pune.

(H. M. Bhosale)
Additional Sessions Judge-12,
Pune.

CERTIFICATE

“ I affirm that the contents of the P. D.F. File order are same word for word as per original order.

Name of Steno	- Mrs. P P Deo (Stenographer Grade-I)
Court Name	- Shri.H. M. Bhosale, Additional Sessions Judge-12, Pune.
Date of Order	- 12/08/2026
Dictated on	- 12/08/2026
Transcribed on	- 12/08/2026
Checked & Signed On	- 12/08/2026
Uploaded on	- 12/08/2026