

ADDITIONAL ISSUE

1) Whether this court has pecuniary jurisdiction to try this suit?

Note: Additional Issue treated as preliminary issue.

Date: 30-11-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

ADDITIONAL ISSUE

1) Whether the time is essence of the contract? As contended by the defendant in paragraph 2 and 12 of written statement ?

Date: 24-10-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Complainant absent. No representation.

Later Sri. ASK. Adv. for complainant present and prays time. No valid ground shown. He has also not filed any application seeking exemption of the complainant from appearing before the court nor filed any objections to the "B" report. So, it appears that the complainant has not objection to "B" report. Hence, "B" report is accepted and case is closed.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

Again case called out at 3-30p.m.

Complainant absent. No representation. On perusal of the case after receiving the complaint the matter was referred to Managuli PS for investigation. Thereafter, PSI, Managuli submitted "B" report inspite of taking sufficient the complainant has neither appear before the court nor filed any objections to the "B" report. So, it appears that the complainant has no objections to "B" report. Hence, "B" report is accepted and case is closed.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

Complainant absent. No representation.

On perusal of the records the matter is referred to Managuli PS for investigation. Thereafter the PSI, Managuli PS has submitted his letter dated 11-4-2016 stating that the offence was took place within the jurisdiction of B.Bagewadi PS. and prayed to transferred to this case to the said Police Station. Now, the B.Bagewadi PS is allocated to the Civil Judge and JMFC., B.Bagewadi. So, this court has no jurisdiction over B.Bagewadi PS as such this case has to be send to Civil Judge and JMFC., B.Bagewadi for disposal accordingly to law.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

For hearing on maintainability. .
Call on: 17-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Appellant absent. The court notice issued to the appellant returned unserved with an endorsement as wrong address. Earlier the appellant was represented by Sri. M.H.Khasanis,Adv., who has retired from this case against the appellant. Thereafter, the appellant remained absent, even proper address is not furnished by the appellant so that the court notice can be served upon him.

Since, this appeal is of the year 2013, LCR have been received in the year 2013 itself thereafter sufficient time has been granted to the appellant to submit his arguments. But the appellant has not submitted his argument in this case. It shows that the appellant has no interest to proceed with the matter. Hence, the appeal is dismissed for non prosecution.

Office is to return the L.C.R. to the Trial Court along with the copy of the above order.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Petitioner absent. No representation. Call at 3-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Again case called out at 4-10p.m. petitioner absent. No representation. Sri. MSB.Adv. For Respondents present and submitted that sufficient time has been granted to the petitioners. But they remained absent.

On perusal of the entire order sheet it shows that petitioners remained absent on all date of hearing. This is a Misc. Petition filed to set aside the ex-parte judgement passed in O.S. No. 54/2015. Even the present petition is also filed after 50 days delay for that the petitioners have filed I.A. No.I. In spite of giving sufficient opportunity the petitioner have not lead their evidence. So, it shows that they are not interested in proceeding with the case. Hence, the petition is dismissed for non

prosecution.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

NSK. Adv. prays time. For steps.
Call on 05-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Appellant absent. Sri. NSK. Adv. for SNS. Adv. for Appellant prays time. Already sufficient time granted. In spite of that the Appellant has not submitted arguments on her behalf. So, the prayer is rejected. It appears that the appellant has no interest to proceed against the case. Hence, appeal is dismissed for non prosecution.

Office is to return the LCR to the Trial Court along with copy of this order.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

MVC- 51/2013

As there is an element. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 13-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Complainant absent. SAY. Adv. prays time. Already sufficient time is granted. This complaint is filed in the year 2010 thereafter the complainant has given sufficient opportunity but is he has not come forward to lead his sworn statement. So, it appears that complainant is not having any interest to proceed with the case. Therefore, prayer of the Sri. SAY. rejected and B report filed by the I.O. is accepted. Case is closed.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Case called out. No representation. It appears that the amount deposited in this case has been withdrawn by the parties in the year 2017 itself. Thereafter, neither the petitioner nor the respondents are appearing before the court. So, this case being filed U/Sec. 30 L.A. Act. Now, absolutely non of the parties appearing in this case. As such the case is closed for time being.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Cr. No. 60/2014

Case again called out at 4-35 p.m.
Complainant absent. No
representation. In this case, police
have filed B report for which the
complainant has filed objection on
30-08-2015. Thereafter, the case
was posted for recording sworn
statement of complainant. Since
then the complainant remained
absent and not at all lead his sworn
statement. So, it appears that
complainant is not having any
interest to proceed with the case.
Therefore, B report filed by the I.O.
is accepted. Case is closed.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Accused present. Heard charge framed. read over to Accused and he pleaded not guilty and claims to be tried. Issue SS to CW 1 and 4.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Case called out. Petitioner absent.
No representation. This case is
filed U/Sec. 125 Cr.P.C. on 23-08-
2018 along with P.F. But the office
has not issued the notice so far and
mentioned in the order sheet that
PF not paid, which not correct.
Hence, office is to issue notice to
the respondent without fail. Call
on 20-07-2019.

Addl. Sr. C.J. & JMFC B.Bagewadi

For steps to R-1. Prays time.
Call on 22-07-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

As per submission of petitioner
counsel matter is referred to Lok
Adalat to be held on 14-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

As per submission of both counsels
matter is referred to Lok Adalat to
be held on 13-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

R-2 absent. No representation.
For Argument. Call on 01-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

BVP. Adv. prays time. For steps.
Call on 05-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

O.S. No. 91/2018

Order Dated: dt: 30-06-2018

This case is called before Lok Adalat. Plaintiff and defendants present Sri. MSG Adv. for plaintiff and Sri. SMV Adv. for defendants present and filed compromise petitioner U/O. 23 Rule 3 of CPC.

As per the compromise petition, plaintiff, defendant No. 3,4,5, 9 and 10 have relinquished their share in favour of other defendants the other defendants have taken their respective share in the suit properties.

On being questioned to the plaintiff and defendant No. 3m 4,5 9 and 10 they sttaed that they are given in marriage to well to do family and they do not want any share in the suit properties.

The contents of the compromise petitoin readover to the both parties and they admitted contents. The compromise arrived between the parties is appears to

be lawful therefore the
compromise petition is accepted.

Consequentially suit is decreed in
terms of compromise petition. The
hand sketch maps (4) will part of
the decree.

There is no order as to costs.

Sd/-

Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

D.Hr. Absent. No representation.
On perusal of the petition the J.Dr.
is not residing within the the
jurisdiction of this court. Hence,
D.Hr. Has to take further steps. Call
on 03-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC

Basavana Bagewadi

This case is transferred from Civil Judge and JMFC, Court B. Bagewadi as per order of Hon'ble CJM Vijayapur dated: 25-05-2018 and renumbered as C.C. No. 328/2018. On the receipt of this case from that court the case is posted for await orders from the Hon'ble High Court.

Today the copy of order dated: 22-11-2018 passed in Crl. P. No. 15867/2013 was taken from web site of Hon'ble High Court Karnataka. Accordingly to the said order the petition is allowed and proceedings of this case is hereby quashed.

In view of the said order this case stands closed.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Proxy of RVG Adv. prays time. For
Argument. Call on 02-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Civil Misc. No. 19/2015

Order dated: 30-01-2019

Shri. DSP Adv. submitted that petition may be allowed on court perusal the petition. Same is allowed on cost of Rs. 500/- R.A. No. 18/14 is ordered to be restored on its original file and put up for hearing on 05-03-2019.

Sd/-

Addl. Sr. C.J. B.Bagewadi.

Reissue the same.
Call on 31-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Await notice
call on 08-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC

Basavana Bagewadi.

Appellant absent. No
representation. For argument.
Call on 12-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

01-07-2019

Reissue notice to R1 and 2 by
RPAD and through court. PF and
postage paid. Call on 05-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Case advanced. Petitioner present.

Shri. S.B.N, Advocate for the Petitioner and
Smt. V.P.J. Advocate for R-2 present.

The Respondent No.2 is consenting to pay the compensation amount of Rs. 4,50,000/- (Rupees Four lakh Fifty Thousand only) to the Petitioner in full and final settlement. The learned Counsel for the Petitioner consented for the same.

Accordingly both side Counsels have filed Joint Memo. The Joint Memo filed by the Petitioner and Respondent.2 is accepted in view of the joint memo the Respondent No.2 shall pay the compensation of Rs.4,50,000/- to the Petitioner. If, Respondent failss

to deposit the compensation amount in this Tribunal within 2 Months then he shall pay interest at the rate of 6% per

annum.

Both parties shall bear their own cost.

In view of the joint memo filed by both the parties the matter is referred to Lok Adalat. Call on 13-07-2019.

MACT-XIV, B.Bagewadi.

Date: 01.07.2019

The P.S.I. Managuli, has submitted the charge sheet against the accused for the offence punishable U/Sec. 279, 338 IPC & 196 of M.V. Act. The original FIR and Complaint have been marged with the charge sheet.

2-7-2019

Petitioner absent. No
representation for plaintiff
evidence. Call on 23-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Appellant absent. Proxy of VSS Adv.
prays time. For Argument.
Call on 03-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

PC-9/19
(Old P.C. No. 15/16)

Again case called out at 3-
35p.m.

Complainant absent. No
representation. Already sufficient
time is granted. This complaint is
filed in the year 2016 thereafter
the complainant has given
sufficient opportunity but he has
not came forward to file objection
to B report. So, it appears that
complainant is not having any
interest to proceed with the case.
Therefore, B report filed by the I.O.
is accepted. Case is closed.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

3-7-2019

E.P. No. 49/2015

As there is an element. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 13-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Accused present. Heard perused
Sec. 213 of IPC is also applicable to
the case. Hence charge framed
accordingly, Issue SS to CW 1 to 4.
Call on 01-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

A 1 and 2 present. A 3 and 4
absent. EP filed. Reissue the same.
Call on 01-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

P.C. No. 646 of Managuli PS submits his report along with death extract of accused stating that accused died on 21-08-2016.

In view of death of accused the case stands abated.

The property shown in column No. 11 of charge sheet being worthless shall be destroyed.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

D.Hr. Absent. No representation.
On perusal of petition J.Dr. is not
residing within the jurisdiction of
this court, So for taking of further
steps. Call on 05-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

D.Hr. Absent. No representation.
Since no proper steps taken by the
D. Hr. as per the order dated 07-06-
2019. So, the case is posted for
hearing on maintainability before
this court.
Call on 22-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Appellant absent. No
representation. For Argument.
Call on 19-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Appellant called out absent. No representation call at 3-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Case again called out at 4-00p.m. Appellant called out absent. No representation. Sri.MHK Adv. present and submitted that Respondent No.1 died long back, but not appellant has not taken any steps. On perusal of order sheet it shows that appellant has taken sufficient time for taking steps. But not at all taken any steps against Respondent No.1. So, it shows that the appellant has no interest to proceed with the case. Hence, the appeal is dismissed for non taking for steps.

Office is to return the LCR to the Trial Court along with copy of this order.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

06-07-2019

Plaintiff present. VGK. Adv. prays
time. For further plaintiff evidence.
Call on 03-08-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

O.S. No. 75/2018

Sri. K.M.C. Adv. of plaintiff and Sri. K.S.R. Adv. for Defendants present. Both parties filed compromise petitioner U/O. 23 Rule 3 of CPC.

The contents of the compromise petition read over to the both parties in the Kannada language and they admitted the contents are true and correct. The compromise arrived between the parties is appears to be lawful therefore the compromise petition is accepted.

Consequentially suit is decreed in terms of compromise petition. There is no order as to costs.

Draw final decree after furnishing non-judicial stamp.

As per submission of both counsel matter referred to Lok Adalat on 13-07-2019.

Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

Notice served on complainant.
Called out absent. It appears that
the complainant has not objections
to the B report filed by the I.O. So, “
B” report is accepted and case is
closed.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Plaintiff absent. No representation
call at 3-00p.m.

for payment of deficit court fee.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Again case called out at 4-00p.m.

Plaintiff absent. No representation.

On 21-06-2019 this court has ordered the plaintiff to pay the deficit court of Rs. 51,000/- on or before 29-06-2019, but on 29-06-2019 the Adv. for plaintiff sought time for payment of deficit court fee. So, the case was posted today for compliance. However, neither the plaintiff nor his counsel present today before the court nor they have complied the order of the court. Therefore, in continuation of the order dated 21-06-2019 the plaint is hereby rejected U/O. 7 Rule 11 (c) of C.P.C.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

08-07-2019

Issue letter to Postal Authority call
for status of the notice
send through RPAD.
Call on 29-07-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

As there is an element. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 13-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC

Basavana Bagewadi

E.P.No. 17/2013

D.Hr. present. Heard Sri. MSH.
Since the JDr. is residing at Bilagi.
Applicant filed U/Sec. 39 of CPC is
allowed. as prayed for office is
transfer the decree accordingly. So
Case is closed.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Issue notice to J.Dr.
Call on 14-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC

Basavana Bagewadi

09-08-2019

Reissue the same.
Call on 21-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC

Basavana Bagewadi

O.S.No. 13/2013

Plaintiff absent. No representation.
For plaintiff evidence. Call on 23-
07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

10-07-2019

AGP Absent. No representation.
For objection finally.
Call on 31-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

As there is an element. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 13-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

12-07-2019

As there is an element. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 13-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

RVS Adv. prays time. For hearing
on Prl. Issue.
Call on 26-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Reissue the same.
Call on 23-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Plaintiff absent. No representation
call at 3-00p.m.
for payment of deficit court fee.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Again case called out at 3-45p.m.
Plaintiff absent. No representation.
On 01-07-2019 this court has
ordered the plaintiff to pay the
deficit court of Rs. 61,825/- on or
before 12-07-2019, but till this
time, neither the plaintiff nor his
counsel present today before the
court nor plaintiff has complied
the order of the court. Therefore,
in continuation of the order dated
01-07-2019 the plaint is hereby
rejected U/O. 7 Rule 11 (c) of C.P.C.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Await SS of defendant.
Call on 30-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewad

16-07-2019

Appellant absent. No
representation. For Argument.
Call on 13-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Reissue the same.
Call on 23-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

17-07-2019

D.Hr. absent. No representation.
For hearing on maintainability.
Call on 31-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

VGK Adv. prays time. For hearing.
Call on 24-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

CC No. 247/18

PC No. 644 of Managuli PS has returned the summons to the accused stating that the said accused has dead.

In view of the report. The case against the accused stands abated.

The properties mentioned column No. 11 of charge sheet are returned to the concerned Police with a direction to submit concerned Excise Department for disposal in accordance with law.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

A 1 and 2 present. Heard.
Charges framed against A-1 and 2
and read over to them in the
language known to them. They
pleaded not guilty and claims to
be tried.

Issue SS to CW 1 to 4.

Call on 22-08-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Accused present. Substance of accusation read over to accused in the language known to him. He submitted he has defense to make.

Issue SS to CW 1 to 4.

Call on 22-08-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

18-07-2019

A 1 and 2 present. Issue NBW to
CW 1 to CW 5.
Call on 22-08-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Reissue the same.

Call on 31-08-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

19-07-2019

Plaintiff absent.
JKP Adv. absent. For hearing
Call on 02-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

BVP. Adv. prays time. For Argument
Call on 06-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

20-07-2019

A 3 to 5 not produced under body warrant. issue body warrant to A 3 to 5.

Call on 28-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Sri. SLK Adv. filed a memo with Kannada Prabha and Indian Express paper duly published citation. However none have appeared. Issue notice to Respondent. Call on 05-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

CC. No. 455/2018

Again case called out at 4-15pm. Complainant absent. No representation. The matter is of the year 2012 and on being transferred to the court it has given new number. But on perusal of entire order sheet the complainant remained absent since 30-01-2018. The stage is for recording of evidence before charge meanwhile the accused remained absent. But no proper steps were taken by the complainant to secure the accused. So, there is no progress in this case, since more than 1 year. Therefore, it appears that the complainant has not interest to proceed with the case. Hence, the case is dismissed for non prosecution.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Plaintiffs absent. DSP Adv. prays time to take steps. Already sufficient time granted, but the plaintiffs have not taken proper steps against the defendant. So, no valid reason shown by the Adv. for plaintiff to grant time. Hence, prayer rejected and suit is dismissed for not taking proper steps.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

No representation.
Call on 29-07-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Plaintiff absent. No representation
call at 3-00p.m.

for payment of deficit court fee.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Again case called out at 4-00p.m.

Plaintiff absent. No representation.

On 21-06-2019 this court has ordered the plaintiff to pay the deficit court of Rs. 51,000/- on or before 29-06-2019, but on 29-06-2019 the Adv. for plaintiff sought time for payment of deficit court fee. So, the case was posted today for compliance. However, neither the plaintiff nor his counsel present today before the court nor they have complied the order of the court. Therefore, in continuation of the order dated 21-06-2019 the plaint is hereby rejected U/O. 7 Rule 11 (c) of C.P.C.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

23-07-2019

Plaintiff absent. NSK Adv. prays
time. For plaintiff evidence.
Call on 09-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Petitioners absent. NSK Adv. prays time. Call at 3-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Again case called out at 4-10p.m. petitioners absent. No representation. On perusal of the records, this case is filed in the year 2015 U/O. 9 Rule 13 of CPC. But since then the petitioners have not taken proper steps against all the respondents. Only some respondents have appeared, but the petitioners have to take proper steps against other respondents. Sufficient time has been taken by the petitioners to take steps against all the respondents but have not taken proper steps. So, it shows that they are not interested in proceeding with the case. Hence, the petition is dismissed for not taking proper steps.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

24-07-2019

Sri. BRA. Adv. prays time. For steps.
Call on 26-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

25-07-2019

Accused present. Reissue the same.
Call on 22-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Jr. of BRA Adv. prays time. For
objection. to IA IX.
Call on 03-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Accused present. Substance of accusation read over to accused in the language known to him. He submitted he has defense to make.

Issue SS to CW 1 to 4.

Call on 29-08-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

26-07-2019

Appellant called out absent. No
representation. For steps.
Call on 27-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Plaintiff No.1 present. Jr. of

MRI Adv. prays time. For plaintiff
evidence. Call on 02-08-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

29-07-2019

Call on 05-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Civil Misc. No. 13/2016

Again case called out at 4-15p.m. petitioners absent. No representation. Already sufficient time has been granted but the petitioners have not taken any proper steps against the L.Rs. of Respondent No4. As such already the case against Respondent No.4 has been abated and case was posted petitioners evidence for so many times. However, petitioners have not lead their evidence. No representation was made on their behalf. So, absolutely there is no progress in this case. It shows that petitioners have no interest to proceed with the case. Hence, case is dismissed for non prosecution.

Addl.Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

30-07-2019

MHK. Adv. prays time. For
Argument. Call on 02-08-2019

Addl.Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Appellants absent. No representation. Call at 3-00p.m.

Addl.Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Again case called out at 4-25p.m.

Appellants absent. No representation. In spite of sufficient opportunity the Appellants have not submitted arguments on their behalf. This appeal is of the year 2010 and there is no progress in the case. Neither Appellants nor their counsel present on any adjournment to the last several dates. So, it appears that the appellants have no interest to proceed against the case. Hence, appeal is dismissed for non prosecution.

Office is to return the LCR to the

Trial Court along with copy of this
order.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

31-07-2019

Accused absent. Reissue the NBW
to accused.
Call on 25-09-2019.

Addl.Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

As per requisition I.O. i.e., C.P.I. Bagewadi. The case was taken on board today. In the requisition the I.O. sought for permission to take blood sample of mother of deceased for D.N.A. Test. Accordingly, the I.O. and W.PC No.

1406 of Managuli PS. have brought the mother of deceased by name Smt. Avvamma @ Gourabai W/o. Hanamant Metagar, Age about 55 years, R/o. Kaggod, Tq.Vijayapur. On being questioned Smt. Avvamma @ Gourabai agreed to give her blood for the purpose of DNA test. Sri. Dr. Sayyed Nabisab S/o. Sayyed Mehaboob Attar of Govt. Hospital Basavan Bagewadi is present and he is permitted take blood sample. Accordingly the said Doctor has taken blood sample. During this process two Panchas by name 1) Sharanappa S/o. Nagappa Karabi Age: 35 years, Occ: Coolie, R/o. Managuli and 2) Sadashiv S/o. Siddappa Burali , Age: 33 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o. Managuli are also present.

The sample blood taken by the Doctor is handed over to Police Official.

Call on regular date.

Addl.Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Petitioner absent. No
representation.
Call on 30-09-2019.

Addl.Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

(Orders passed vide separately)

I.A. No.IV filed U/O. 39 Rule 1 and 2 of CPC is hereby rejected . For examination of parties U/Sec. 89 of CPC.

Call on 17-08-2019.

Addl.Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

02-08-2019

Plaintiff and counsel called out
absent. No representation. For
steps. Call on 06-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Appellant absent. No
representation. For Argument. Call
on 16-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

03-08-2019

Reissue FLW against R 1 i.e.,
Mahibub .D.Ylagi.
Call on 07-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

P.C. No. 22/2019

Complainant absent. No
representation. Call at 3-00p.m.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

Again case called out at 3-35p.m.
Complainant absent. No
representation. On perusal of the
records this complaint was filed
by the complainant in the year
2015 thereafter the Police have
filed "B" report against which the
complainant has filed protest
petition on 18-07-2017.
Thereafter the case posted for
recording of sworn statement but
the complainant remained absent
and no representation was made
on her behalf. So, it appears that
the complainant has no interest to
proceed with the case. Hence, "B"
report is accepted and case is
closed.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

Criminal Misc. No. 37/2019

Petitioner absent. For steps

Call at 3-00p.m.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

Again case called out at 3-40p.m.
Petitioner absent. No representation.
On perusal of the records the petitioner has filed this petition for recovery of maintenance amount in the year 2012, thereafter the respondent has not paid the amount for which he was sent to J.C. thereafter it appears that on 24-11-2017 the respondent was released from the J.C. since then no proper steps taken by the petitioner and there is no progress in this case. So, with a liberty to recovery the areas of maintenance amount of this petition by filing a fresh petition by the petitioner, the present petition is closed.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

Complainant present Filed this
complaint office is to report the
case as per in CR and put-up for
Sw/st. By

sd/-
3-8-19

Complainant present. Office is to
comply earlier order.
Call on 07-09-219

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

05-08-2019

06-08-2019

Appellant absent. No
representation. For Argument.
Call on 23-08-2019.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

Case advanced. D.Hrs present. Sri. RGK. Adv. filed a memo alongwith affidavit of D.Hrs stating that as per the settlement D.Hrs have received entire amount and prayed to close E.P. as fully satisfied. In view of the memo the matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-09-2019.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

07-08-2019

PW 1 present. SSP Adv. prays time.
For further chief of PW 1 issue the
same.

Call on 27-08-2019.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

D.Hr. absent. No further steps taken in view of J.Dr. is not residing within the jurisdiction of this court. Hence, case is posted for hearing on maintainability.

Call on 21-08-2019.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

08-08-2019

Accused present. CW 1 and 6
absent. Issue NBW to CW 1 and 6.
Call on 12-09-2019.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

SSK Adv. prays time. For Hearing.
Call on 14-08-2019.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

GBB. Adv. prays time. For
Argument. Call on 27-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

NSK. Adv. prays time. For
Argument. Call on 27-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Sri. SSB Adv. for Complainant submits that this complaint is transferred from JMFC-Belagavi in view of the Hon'ble Apex Court decision in Crl. Appeal. No.2287/2009. But now Sec. 142A of N.I. Act has been came into force as such he prayed to return the Complainant to present it before original Court.

I have gone through the records and in view of Sec. 142A of N.I. Act this Complainant has to be returned.

So, office is hereby directed to return the complaint and the document produced by the complainant to the complainant to file before the original court.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Issue memo to concerned for not
issuing summons in time and
reissue the same without insisting
PF. Call on 13-09-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Reissue the same. If PF and
postage charges and correct
address is furnished.
Call on 17-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

As per submission of both counsel.
As there is an element. Matter is
referred to Lok Adalat to be held
on 14-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Judgment not ready.
Call on 06-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

19-08-2019

D.Hr. absent. No representation.
For steps. Call on 09-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

As there is an element of settlement. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

As per submission of both counsel.
As there is an element of
settlement. Matter is referred to
Lok Adalat to be held on 14-09-
2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

MSG. Adv. prays time. For hearing.
Call on 28-08-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Sri. BNG Adv. submit that it may be closed at present. So. EP is closed for time being.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Sri. MAY. Adv. for plaintiff filed a memo stating that the plaintiff has settled the matter with the defendants and she will not intend to proceed with the case. In view of the memo the matter is referred to Lok Adalt to be held on 14-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Case called out. Plaintiff and defendants present. Sri. K.P. Budihal, Adv. has filed valata for defendant No.1 to 3.

Both counsels present. Both parties filed compromise petitioner U/O. 23 Rule 3 of CPC.

The contents of the compromise

petition read over to the both parties in the Kannada language and they admitted the contents are true and correct. The compromise arrived between the parties is appears to be lawful therefore the compromise petition is accepted.

Consequently suit is decreed in terms of compromise petition. There is no order as to costs.

Draw final decree after furnishing non-judicial stamp.

Since joint memo is filed to refer the matter to Lok Adalat, so matter referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-09-2019.

Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

20-09-2019

Case advanced. Petitioner and Respondent No. 1 to 3 present. Both counsel present. This petition is filed for drawing-up of final decree in respect of preliminary decree passed in O.S. No. 110/2013. Accordingly, Court commissioners were appointed and commissioner warrants have been issued to effect partition as per the preliminary decree and still reports have yet to be received by the court.

Today, both parties filed compromise petitioner U/O. 23 Rule 3 of CPC.

The contents of the compromise petition read over to the both parties in the Kannada language and they admitted the contents are true and correct. The petitioner has given-up all her share in respect of suit properties in favour of respondents by receiving Rs. 4,75,000/- from them. So also Respondent No.2 has also given-up her share in favour of respondent No.1 and 3 as she has

given to well to do family.

The compromise arrived between the parties is appears to be lawful therefore the compromise petition is accepted.

Consequentially suit is decreed in terms of compromise petition. There is no order as to costs.

Draw final decree after furnishing non-judicial stamp.

In view of settlement the commission warrant issued to respective commissioners are hereby recalled. Office is to intimate accordingly.

Since joint memo is filed to refer the matter to Lok Adalat, so matter referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-09-2019.

Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

DHr. absent. No representation.
D.Hr. has not taken further steps.
Hence case is posted for hearing on
maintainability.
Call on 04-09-2019.

Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

D.Hr. absent. No representation.
For steps. Call on 25-09-2019.

Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

Case called out. Plaintiff and defendants present. Sri. G.G.B, Adv. has filed vakalat for defendant No.1 to 8 along with I.A. No. II U/O. 9 rule 7 of CPC seeking to set aside the order placing defendant No.4, 6 and 7 ex-parte. Sri. S.B.A. adv. For plaintiff present and submits no objection to I.A, No.II. Hence, I .A. No.II is allowed.

Both parties filed compromise petition U/O. 23 Rule 3 of CPC.

The contents of the compromise petition read over to the both parties in the Kannada language and they admitted the contents are true and correct. The compromise arrived between the parties is appears to be lawful therefore the compromise petition is accepted.

Consequently suit is decreed in terms of compromise petition. The map annexed to the compromise petition is part and parcel of decree.

There is no order as to costs.

Draw final decree after furnishing non-judicial stamp.

Since joint memo is filed to

refer the matter to Lok Adalat, so
matter referred to Lok Adalat to be
held on 14-09-2019.

Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

A 2 not produced under body
warrant. Issue body warrant to Jail
Authority to produce A 2. Reissue
SS to A 1.
Call on 03-10-2019.

Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

Matter is stayed.
Call on 25-10-2019

Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

Appellant absent. No
representation. For Argument.
Call on 20-09-2019.

Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

As per submission of both counsel.
As there is an element. Matter is
referred to Lok Adalat to be held
on 14-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

As there is an element of settlement. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

D.Hr. called out absent. No
representation. For steps.
Call on 11-09-2019.

Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

Notice severed on Respondent.
Called out absent. Petitioner No.1
present Sri. S.L.K. Adv. examined
him as pw -1 and got marked Ex.P-
1 to P-3 and closed his side. For
Argument.
Call on 31-08-2019.

Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

Notice severed on Respondent.
Called out absent. Petitioner
absent. Sri. S.L.K. Adv. prays time.
For petitioner evidence.
Call on 11-09-2019.

Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

Except A 4 and 8 other accused
present. EP filed for absentees.
Reissue the same.
Call on 03-10-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

No representation. For steps.
Call on 20-09-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

PW 1 absent. Jr. of BVP Adv. prays
time to keep him present.
Call on 17-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Appellants called out absent. No representation call at 3-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Case again called out at 3-40p.m. Appellants called out absent. No representation. On perusal of order sheet it shows that after filing the appeal the appellants have not taken proper steps in spite of giving sufficient time as such on 10-10-2018 the appeal against Respondent No.3 and 4 has been dismissed.

Thereafter the LCR received

from the Trial Court and several adjournments were given to the appellants but neither the appellants were present nor they were represented by their counsel. Despite sufficient opportunity the Appellants have not advanced their arguments. So, it shows that the appellants have no interest to proceed with the case. Hence, the appeal is dismissed for non prosecution.

Office is to return the LCR to the Trial Court along with copy of this order.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

As there is an element of settlement. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Notice severed on Respondent.
Called out absent. Petitioner No.1
present Sri. S.L.K. Adv. examined
him as pw -1 and got marked Ex.P-
1 to P-3 and closed his side. For
Argument.
Call on 31-08-2019.

Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

Notice severed on Respondent.
Called out absent. Petitioner
absent. Sri. S.L.K. Adv. prays time.
For petitioner evidence.
Call on 11-09-2019.

Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

Except A 4 and 8 other accused
present. EP filed for absentees.
Reissue the same.
Call on 03-10-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Await notice

Call on 26-09-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

03-09-2019

BVP Adv prays time.
For Argument
Call on 13-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Sri MSB Adv for respondent No.1 to 3 submit that by imposing heavy cost the IA No.1 and main petition be allowed and he also submits that certain time be imposed to dispose of the case as it is old one.

Sri. NSK Adv for petitioner agreed for the submission of Sri MSB and prayed to impose reasonable cost.

Having heard both side and on perusal of the records, this petition is filed U/O 9 Rule 13 of CPC seeking to set-aside the ex-parte judgment and decree passed in OS No.42/2012 by the Sr. Civil Judge Court B.Bagewadi. Admittedly the said suit is one for partition and separate and possession filed by the present respondent No.1 to 3 on 26-05-2012 and which was decreed on 04-10-2016 wherein the present petitioners 1 and 2 were the defendant No.1 and 3 who have not filed their written statement.

Moreover IA No.1 in this case is filed U/S 5 of Limitation Act for condonation of delay of 179 days.

In this case respondent No.4 and 5 placed ex-parte.

Looking to the facts and circumstances and the submission made by respondent No.1 to 3 I proceed to pass the following

ORDER

IA No.1 is allowed.

The petition is also allowed subject to payment of cost of Rs.5,000/- payable to respondent 1 to 3.

Consequently judgment and decree passed in OS 42/2012 Dtd.07-10-2016 is hereby set-aside. The suit is OS No.42/2012 is restored on its original file.

The payment of cost is condition precedent.

The petitioners have filed their written statement without seeking further time.

Both parties are directed to co-operate with the court in disposing the suit at the earliest.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Complainant absent. No
representation. For further sworn
statement.
Call on 19-10-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

07-09-2019

As per submission of both counsel.
As there is an element. Matter is
referred to Lok Adalat to be held
on 14-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

As there is an element of settlement. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Reissue notice to Respondent. Call
on 19-10-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Petitioner present.

Petitioner filed this petition U/s 13 (3) of Birth and Deaths of Registration Act. Petitioner also produced non-availability certificate. Both petitioner and respondent are ready to settle the matter.

Both parties filed joint Memo stating that respondent has no objections to enter the date of birth of petitioner by name Shivanand S/o. Sangappa Katnalli of Masibinal i.e., on 01-06-1965 in the concerned register.

Hence, case is compromised, accordingly I pass the following:

::ORDER::

The petition filed by the petitioner U/Sec.13(3) of Registration of Births and Deaths Act, is hereby allowed.

Consequently, the respondent is directed to make necessary entry accordingly in the concerned records after collecting requisite fee and penalty.

Since joint memo filed as such matter referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-09-2019.

Addl. Sr. C.J. & JMFC,
B.Bagewadi

Case advanced. Sri. RVG. Adv.
filed Vakalat for defendants.
Plaintiff and defendants present.

Both parties filed
compromise petition U/O. 23 Rule
3 of CPC.

The suit is for specific
performance of contract.

The contents of the compromise
petition read over to the both
parties in the Kannada language
and they admitted the contents
are true and correct. The
compromise arrived between the
parties is appears to be lawful
therefore the compromise petition
is accepted.

Consequently suit is decreed
in terms of compromise petition.

There is no order as to costs.

Draw decree accordingly.

The decree will be come into

effect only after its registration.

Since joint memo is filed to refer the matter to Lok Adalat, so matter referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-09-2019.

Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

As per submission of both counsel.
As there is an element. Matter is
referred to Lok Adalat to be held
on 14-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

As there is an element of settlement. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Accused absent. EP filed. CW 1
present. she is b/o.
Call on 21-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Notice served on respondent. As per submission of counsel for petitioner. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat.

Call on 14-09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

MSB Adv. prays time. For objection
to IA X and XI finally. Call on 26-
09-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

As per submission of Advocate for Appellant matter is referred to Lok Adalat.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Appellant present. Sri. GSC. Adv. for Appellant filed a memo stating that the appellant is not interested to proceed with the appeal.

The contents of the memo read over to the appellant and he admits the same as true and correct.

In view of the memo the appeal is dismissed as not pressed.

Office is to return the LCR to the trial court along with the copy of this order.

Advocate Conciliator Judicial Conciliator

For objection prays time. For
objection to IA 9 and 10.
Call on 15-10-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Plaintiffs absent. SRG. Adv. prays
time. For plaintiff evidence. Call
on 15-10-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Additional Issues.

- 1) Whether defendant No. 1,2,5 and 6 prove that they are having the 1/5th share in the suit schedule "B" properties?

Date:17-09-2019

Addl. Senior Civil Judge,
Basavana-Bagewadi.

Reissue notice on IA VIII to
proposed D 10 by RPAD if PF and
postage and C/A is furnished. Call
on 25-10-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Plaintiff absent. No
representation. Defendant No.10
and his counsel present. Call at 3-
00 p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Again case called out at 03-50p.m. Plaintiff absent. No representation. Defendant No.10 and his counsel present. The suit of the year 2016 on perusal of order sheet issues were framed on 01-08-2018 and thereafter the matter was posted for plaintiffs evidence. In spite of giving sufficient opportunity the plaintiffs remained absent. No representation was made on their behalf. It shows that the plaintiffs are not interested to proceed with the case. So, suit of the plaintiff is dismissed for non prosecution.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Accused present. Complainant
present. No representation. For
Further C/Evidence.
Call on 16-10-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

AGP filed objection. For petitioner
evidence.
Call on 16-10-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

A 3 to 5 not produced under body
warrant. Issue body warrant to A 3
to 5.
Call on 31-10-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

D.Hr. present.
Reissue the same.
Call on 02-11-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Again case called out at 4-35p.m. PW-1 absent. No representation. On perusal of the entire order sheet it shows that PW-1 remained absent on all date of hearing as such on 17-08-2019 his further examination-in-chief taken as nil and posted the matter for cross examination. Even then PW-1 not offered himself for cross examination despite granting sufficient time. Therefore, it is taken that PW-1 not tendered himself for cross examination. So, his entire evidence is discarded, when evidence of PW-1 discarded there is no evidence on behalf of petitioner in the eye of law. Therefore, the petition is dismissed for non prosecution.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Plaintiff present. GSC Adv. prays
time. For plaintiff evidence.
Call on 28-10-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Plaintiff absent. No representation.
For hearing.
Call on 21-10-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Again case called out at 5-05p.m. Plaintiffs absent. No representation. On perusal of the entire order sheet it shows that in spite of giving sufficient opportunity the plaintiffs are not led their evidence. The plaintiffs never present before the court when the case is posted for plaintiffs evidence. So, it appears that the plaintiffs have no interest to proceed with the case. Therefore, the suit is dismissed for non prosecution.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Call on 09-10-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

O.S. No. 223/2017

BEFORE LOK-ADALAT ON 24-09-2019

Plaintiff present. Sri. MBB. Adv. filed a memo alongwith copy of relinquishment deed stating that plaintiff does not want to proceed with the case and it may be closed. The contents of memo read over to the plaintiff and she admitted the same are true and correct.

In view of the memo the suit is dismissed as not pressed.

Adv. Conciliator	Judicial Conciliator
	Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
	Basavana Bagewadi.

Reissue the same.
Call on 06-11-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Complainant and Accused present.
A.G.Nandi. Adv. filed Vakalat for
Accused along with Application
U/Sec. 70 (2) of Cr.P.C. seeking to
recall NBW issue against the
Accused. SAY. Adv. submits no
objection. Hence, NBW is recalled.

As per the submission of the

both parties matter referred to Lok
Adalat.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

BEFORE LOK ADALAT ON 25-09-2019

Complainant and Accused
present. Both Counsel present.

After conciliation both parties
have settle the matter and filed
application U/Sec. 147 of N.I. Act.
stating that the Accused has repaid the
cheque amount to the Complainant and
have settled the matter amicably.

The application is allowed and
matter is settled. Consequently,
Accused is hereby acquitted for the
offence punishable U/Sec. 138 of N.I.
Act. by acting U/Sec. 320(8) of Cr.P.C.

Adv. Conciliator Judicial Conciliator
Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

Judgement not ready
Call on 10-10-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Complainant absent. No
representation. For steps.
Call on 28-10-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Await notice of D 4(b)
Call on 28-10-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

HC-1206 of Managuli PS has returned the warrant un-executed issued against accused along with his report that the accused has died.

In view of death of accused the case stands abated.

The properties mentioned in column No.11 of charge sheet are ordered to be returned to the concerned Police Station with a direction to submit the same to the concerned Excise Department for disposal in accordance with law.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Call on 28-10-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Case advanced. Petitioner and Respondents present. Sri. IAP Adv. filed Vakalat for Respondent No.4 with no objection from earlier counsel. Both counsel present and filed a joint memo. Hence, matter referred to Lok Adalat.

Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

Before Lok Adalat On 14-10-2019

Case called out before Lok Adalat. Both parties present. Sri. SGH. Adv. for petitioner and Sri. IAP. Adv. for respondents present.

After conciliation both parties have settled their matter and filed compromise petition U/O. 23 R 3 of CPC.

This petition is filed for drawing-up of final decree in respect of preliminary decree passed in O.S. No. 374/2011 by Senior Civil Judge, B.Bagewadi. Accordingly, Court Commissioner was appointed as he has not carried out the entire commission work. So, he was directed to effect

partition by dividing 1/6th each share. But till today the commissioner has not submitted his report.

Now, both parties have settled their dispute and filed the compromise petition showing their respective shares in the suit properties.

The contents of the compromise petition read over to the both parties in the Kannada language and they admitted the contents are true and correct. The petitioner and respondent No.3 have receive cash of Rs. 1,90,000/- each from Respondent No.4 and 5 respectively.

The compromise arrived between the parties is appears to be lawful therefore the compromise petition is accepted.

Consequently suit is decreed in terms of compromise petition. There is no order as to costs.

Draw final decree after furnishing non-judicial stamp.

In view of settlement the

commission warrant issued to
court commissioner is hereby
recalled. Office is to intimate
accordingly.

Adv. Conciliator Judicial Conciliator

Plaintiffs absent. No
representation. Call at 3-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Again case called out at
4-35p.m. plaintiffs absent. Sri. BAP.
Adv. for defendant present. Sri. IAP
Adv. for plaintiffs prays time.
Already sufficient time granted. So,
the plaintiffs have not present
before the court hence his prayer
rejected. It appears that plaintiffs
are not interested in proceeding
with the case. Hence, the suit of the
plaintiffs is dismissed for non
prosecution.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Case advanced. AKG Adv. filed. I.A. No.III U/o. 3 R 2 of CPC seeking permission to Applicant No.1 to GPA holder of Applicant No. 2 and 3. They also filed attested copy of GPA. He also filed. IA IV U/Sec. 151 of CPC seeking to release the amount deposited in the court in

favour of Applicant No.1. Office is to verify and put up.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Plaintiff absent. No representation.
For steps.
Call on 18-10-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Case advanced by VPN Adv. and
filed Vakalat for A3,4 and 5 along
with B/A U/Sec. 437 of Cr.P.C. For
objection call on regular date.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Plaintiffs absent. No representation. Call at 3-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Again case called out at 3-45p.m. plaintiffs absent. No representation. On the last adjournment Sri. IAC Adv. for plaintiffs got retired by issuing notice to the plaintiffs. In spite of that the plaintiffs are not appeared on the last adjournment. Even then the case was posted today for plaintiffs evidence as finally. However, the plaintiffs neither appeared the personally nor through their counsel. This suit is of the year 2016 despite giving sufficient opportunity the plaintiffs have not led their evidence. So, it appears that plaintiffs are not interested in proceeding with the case. Hence, the suit of the plaintiffs is dismissed for non prosecution.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Await report of Sub-Registrar.
Call on 28-10-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

D.Hrs. Absent. No
representation. Call at 03-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Again case called out at 03-
35p.m. D.Hrs. Absent. No
representation. Already sufficient
opportunity has been granted to
the D.Hrs. But, they have not taken
proper steps. Even the D.Hrs. have
not paid PF. Therefore, it appears
that they are not interested to
proceed with the case. Hence, the
E.P. is closed for time being.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Case called out before Lok Adalat. Petitioner present. Sri. SIV. Adv. for petitioner and Sri. SSI. Adv. for respondent No.2 present. The petitioner and Respondent No.2 have settled their matter and respondent No.2 is consenting to pay the compensation amount of Rs. 4,90,000/- to the petitioner in full and final settlement. The petitioner is also agreed for the same. Accordingly, both counsels filed joint memo. The joint memo filed by the both parties is accepted. In view of the joint memo the Respondent No.2 shall pay the compensation amount of rs. 4,90,000/- to the petitioner. If the Respondent No.2 failed to deposit the compensation amount in this court within 2 months then he shall pay interest at the rate of 6 percent per annum. After deposit made by the Respondent No.2 petitioner entitle to receive Rs. 3,90,000/- and remaining Rs. 1,00,000/- shall be kept as F.D. in any nationalized bank of his choice for three years.

Draw award accordingly.

Await SS.
Call on 12-11-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Case advanced. Sri. SIV Adv. filed Application U/Sec. 151 of CPC. Seeking to release the deposited amount. Office is to verify and put-up.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Both Petitioners called out absent.
No representation. For hearing.
Call on 19-10-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Reissue the same. If complied.
Call on 18-11-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

CC No. 57/2018

Complainant present.
Accused absent. EP filed. As per
the submission of the both
counsels matter referred to Lok
Adalat.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

BEFORE LOK ADALAT ON 19-10-2019

Complainant present. Both
Counsel present.

Sri. KPB. Adv. for Complainant
filed a memo stating that the
complainant has received Rs.
1,00,000/- from the accused and
matter is settled prayed to close the
case.

The contents of the memo read
over to the complainant he admitted its
contents. So, it appears that the matter
is settled between the parties.

The accused is hereby acquitted
of the offence punishable U/Sec. 138 of
N.I. Act. by acting U/Sec. 320(8) of
Cr.P.C.

The bail bond stands canceled.

Sri. SPL. Adv. for accused filed a
memo to refund the cash security of Rs.

25,000/- deposited in this case.

As the case is disposed off before Lok Adalat. Office is to refund cash security of Rs. 25,000/- to the accused after proper identification.

Adv. Conciliator Judicial Conciliator

Case called out complainant
absent. No representation.
Call at 03-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Again case called out at
3-45p.m. Complainant absent. No
representation. This complainant
is filed in the year 2014 thereafter
Police have filed 'B' report for
which the complainant submitted
objection and his sworn statement
recorded on 14-11-2017 thereafter
the complainant remained absent.
No representation made on his
behalf. In spite of that this court
has granted sufficient opportunity
for further sworn statement. But,

neither the complainant nor his counsel present before the court through out this day. There is no further statement on behalf of complainant and his counsel has not submitted his arguments. It shows that the complainant is not having any interest to proceed with the case. There is no material on record to set aside the 'B' report filed by the Police. Therefore, B report filed by the I.O. is accepted. Case is closed.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Case called out Petitioners
absent. No representation.
Call at 03-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Again case called out at
3-50p.m. Petitioners absent. No
representation. This petition is
filed in the years 2015, thereafter
petitioner No.1 lead her evidence
as PW 1 and her chief was deferred
on 19-03-2016. Since then the
petitioner and her counsel
remained absent. It shows that the
petitioner are not having any
interest to proceed with the case.
Absolutely, there is no
representation on behalf of
petitioners. Therefore, it seems
that petitioners are at present not
having any interest to proceed
with the case. Therefore, this case
is dismissed for non prosecution.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

D.Hr. absent. No representation.
For steps. Call on 19-10-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Case called out D.Hr. absent.
No representation.
Call at 03-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Again case called out at 4-00p.m. D.Hr absent. No representation. This E.P. is filed in the years 2017 for the execution of the award passed in MVC No. 1476/2010 by Prl. Senior Civil Judge Belagavi. Since filing of the petition the warrant issued to the J.Dr. was not executed thereafter case was posted for taking for further steps on 03-01-2019 since then neither D.Hr. nor his counsel present before the court and taken proper steps. Moreover, at the time of filing of petition the age of the D.Hr. is shown as 17 years represented his Minor Guardian in the year 2017. Now, we are in the year 2019 automatically the D.Hr. has attained age of majority. But he has not appeared before this court

nor he has got discharge his minor guardian. Therefore, it shows that the D.Hr. has no interest to proceed with the case. Hence, the E.P. is closed for not taking proper steps.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Case called out D.Hr. absent.
No representation.
Call at 03-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Again case called out at 4-15p.m. D.Hr absent. No representation. This E.P. is filed in the years 2017 for the execution of the award passed in MVC No. 1516/2015 by Prl. Senior Civil Judge Vijayapura. After the service of notice to J.Dr. he remained absent. So, the case was posted for taking further steps on 07-04-2018. Since then neither D.Hr. nor his counsel present before the court and taken proper steps against the J.Dr. Absolutely there no progress in this case since 07-04-2018. Therefore, it shows that the D.Hr. has no interest to proceed with the case. Hence, the E.P. is closed for not taking proper steps.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

BEFORE LOK ADALAT ON 16-10-2019

Case case called before Lok Adalat. Petitioner present. Sri. SIV Adv. for petitioner and Sri. SSI. Adv. for respondent No.2 present.

The petitioner and Respondent No.2 have settled their matter and respondent No.2 is consenting to pay the compensation amount of Rs. 4,90,000/- to the petitioner in full and final settlement. The petitioner is also agreed for the same. Accordingly, both counsel filed joint memo.

The joint memo filed by both parties is accepted. In view of the joint memo the Respondent No.2 shall pay the compensation amount of Rs. 4,90,000/- to the petitioner. If the Respondent No.2 failed to deposit the compensation amount in this court within 2 months then he shall pay interest @ 6% per annum.

After deposit made by the Respondent No.2 the petitioner entitle to receive Rs. 3,90,000/- and remaining Rs. 1,00,000/- shall be kept as F.D. in any nationalized bank of his choice for three years.

Draw award accordingly.

Adv. Conciliator Judicial Conciliator

BRA Adv. prays time. For
Argument. Call on 05-11-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Case advanced. Plaintiff and defendant No.1 and 2 present. Sri. MSB. Adv. filed Vakalat for Defendant No.1 and 2 Sri. VGK. Adv. for plaintiff present. Both plaintiff and defendant No.1 and 2 have filed compromise the compromise petition U/O. 23 Rule 3 of C.P.C.

The contents of the compromise petition read over to the both plaintiff and defendant No. 1 and 2 in the Kannada language and they admitted the contents are true and correct.

The compromise arrived between the parties is appears to be lawful therefore the compromise petition is accepted.

Since the other defendant yet to be appeared in this case. Hence, the compromise petition filed above will considered at the final hearing of the suit. Call on regular date.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

SRG. Adv. prays time. For
Argument. Call on 08-11-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

M.A.No. 9/2018

Appellants absent. No
representation. Call at 03-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Again case called out at 05-
00p.m. Appellants absent. No
representation. On perusal of the
order sheet this appeal is filed on
04-05-2018 thereafter the
respondent No.2 and 3 appeared

but the notice issued to respondent No.1 is not served. In spite of giving sufficient opportunity the appellants have not taken proper steps against the respondent No.1. On perusal of the records the main relief in this appeal is against the respondent No.1. But the appellants have not taken proper steps for appearance of respondent No.1. Since, more than one year the appellant and their counsel remained absent. So, it appears that they are not having any interest to proceed with the matter. Hence, the appeal is dismissed for not taking proper steps.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Plaintiff absent. SSB Adv. prays
time. For plaintiff evidence finally.
Call on 05-11-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

As there is an element. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

The present. Case is closed for time being with liberty to reopen it after furnishing the order of the Land Tribunal B.Bagewadi by either of the party with notice to other side.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

B.B.B. Adv. filed I.A. No. IV U/Sec.
151 of CPC seeking extension of T.I.
Perused. T.I. extended till next date
of hearing. Await Summons
Call on 17-01-2020

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Plaintiff absent. SSB Adv. prays
time. For plaintiff evidence finally.
Call on 05-11-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Reissue the same.
Call on 28-10-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Await notice.
Call on 25-11-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Issues framed. Since there exist
element of settlement. So matter
referred to Lok Adalat to be held
on 14-12-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

As there is an element of settlement. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Case called out Complainant
absent. No representation. Register
the case as PC and Issue direction
to P.S.I. Managuli PS. to investigate
and submit report call on 30-11-
2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

As per submission of both counsel
matter is referred to Lok Adalat to
be held on 14-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Plaintiffs absent. No representation. Call at 3-00 p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Again case called out at 3-25p.m. Plaintiffs absent. Sri. VGK Adv. filed the retirement memo alongwith copy of notice postal receipts, postal envelop. This case is of the year 2014 the case was posted for plaintiffs evidence since 26-04-2019, but the plaintiffs remained absent. So, it shows that the plaintiffs have no interest to proceed with the case. Even the notice issued by their counsel was returned unserved. So it also shows that the plaintiffs have not given proper address for communication to their counsel. No proper reasons were made to grant time. Hence, the retirement memo filed by the Sri. VGK. Adv. is accepted. Looking to the

continuous absence of the plaintiffs , there is no progress in the case. Hence, suit is dismissed for non prosecution.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Plaintiffs absent. No representation. Call at 3-00 p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Again case called out at 3-25p.m. Plaintiffs absent. Sri. VGK Adv. filed the retirement memo alongwith copy of notice postal receipts, postal envelop. This case is of the year 2014 the case was posted for plaintiffs evidence since 26-04-2019, but the plaintiffs remained absent. So, it shows that the plaintiffs have no interest to proceed with the case. Even the notice issued by their counsel was returned unserved. So it also shows that the plaintiffs have not given proper address for communication to their counsel. No proper reasons were made to grant time. Hence, the retirement memo filed by the Sri. VGK. Adv. is accepted. Looking to the continuous absence of the plaintiffs , there is no progress in the case. Hence, suit is dismissed for non prosecution.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Appellant called out absent. No
representation call at 3-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Case again called out at 3-30p.m. Appellant called out absent. No representation. On perusal of order sheet it shows that Respondent No.1 and 3 were reported to be dead. Notice of Respondent No.2 was returned unserved. So, the matter was posted for taking steps to the respondents several times but the Appellant has not taken proper steps. Today the case was posted for taking proper steps as finally, even then the appellant remained absent. No representation is made on his behalf. So, it shows that the appellant has no interest to proceed with the case. Therefore, the case against respondent No.1 and 3 stands abated. So far as Respondent No.2 is concerned the appeal is dismissed for not taking proper steps.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

D.Hrs. called out absent. No representation call at 3-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Case again called out at 3-40p.m. D.Hrs called out absent. No representation. On perusal of order sheet this petition is filed for execution of award passed in MVC No. 85/2009 by MACT-IX, B.Bagewadi. Since filing of the

petition D.Hrs. remained absent. After service of notice to the J.Drs. the D.Hrs. have not taken proper steps. Absolutely there is no progress in this case since 2016. So, it shows that the D.Hrs. have no interest to proceed with the case. Therefore, the present E.P. is closed for time being.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

As per submission of both counsel
matter is referred to Lok Adalat to
be held on 14-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Jr. of MRI. Adv. prays time. For
Argument. Call on 19-11-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

As there is an element of settlement. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Appellant absent. Proxy of VSS Adv.
prays time. For argument.

Civil Misc. No. 3/2016

PW 1 absent. No representation.
Call at 3-00 p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Again case called out at 4-00p.m.

PW 1 absent. No representation.

On perusal of the order sheet this
petition is filed by the petitioners

U/O. 9 R 13 of C.P.C. to set aside the
ex-parte judgement passed in O.S.

No. 78/2014 dt; 30-09-2015 by
Senior Civil Judge, B.Bagewadi.

Thereafter petitioner lead his
evidence as PW 1 and after filing

the objection by the respondents
the matter was posted for further

chief of PW 1 but inspite of giving
sufficient opportunity PW 1

remained absent as such further
chief of PW1 taken as nil and

posted the matter for cross PW 1
on 16-08-2019. Since then despite

giving sufficient opportunity PW 1
not offered himself for cross

examination. So it shows that the
petitioners have no interest to

proceed with the case. Therefore, it

is ordered that the PW 1 not tendered for cross examination. If PW 1 not offered himself for cross examination then it is no evidence in the eye of law. Therefore, his evidence is discarded and case is dismissed for non prosecution.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

As there is an element of settlement. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Accused present. substance of
accusation read over to him and
he submits that he has defence to
make. Issue SS to CW 1 to 4. Call
on 12-12-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Case advanced. Plaintiff and defendants No. 1 to 5 present. Sri. NBK Adv. for plaintiff present. Sri. BMM Adv. filed valakat for defendants No. 1 to 5. both parties filed compromise petition U/O. 23 Rule 3 of CPC.

The suit is for partition and separate possession in respect of suit schedule properties.

On being questioned defendant No.1 to 3 being sisters of plaintiff and defendant No.4 and 5 stated that they were given in marriage to well to do families and they have given up their share in the suit schedule properties in favour of their brothers.

The contents of the compromise petition readover to the both parties in Kannada language and they admitted the contents are true and correct. The compromise arrived between the parties is appears to be lawful therefore the compromise petition

is accepted. Consequently suit of the plaintiff is decreed in terms of compromise petition.

Three hand sketch maps annexed to the compromise petition are part and parcel of the compromise decree.

Since both parties have filed joint memo to refer the matter to Lok Adalat. Hence, matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-12-2019

Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

As per submission of both counsel
matter is referred to Lok Adalat to
be held on 14-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

As there is an element of settlement. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Await SS .

Call on 26-11-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

O.S. No. 83/2019

Case advanced. GPA of Plaintiff present. Sri.RSP Adv. for plaintiff present and submitted that matter is going to be settled. Hence the matter referred to Lok Adalat.

Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

BEFORE LOK ADALT ON 11-11-2019

GPA of Plaintiff present. Sri. RSP Adv. for plaintiff present and filed a memo stating that the plaintiff and defendants have compromised the matter as per advise of elders and the plaintiff does not want to proceed with the case.

The contents of the memo readover to the GPA and he admitted the contents are true and correct.

In view of the memo the case is dismissed as not pressed.

Adv. Conciliator Judicial Conciliator

Plaintiffs and defendants No. 1 to 4 present. Sri. MBM Adv. filed valakat for defendant No.1 to 4. I.A. No. I U/O. 9 R 1 of CPC seeking to set aside the order placing defendant No. 1 to 3 ex-parte. Sri. SSG. Adv. for plaintiffs submits no objection. Hence, I.A. No.I is allowed.

Both parties filed compromise petition U/O. 23 Rule 3 of CPC.

The suit is for partition and separate possession in respect of suit schedule properties.

On being questioned defendant No. 3 and 4 being sisters of plaintiffs and defendant No.1 stated that they were given in marriage to well to do families and they have given up their share in the suit schedule properties in favour of their brothers.

The contents of the compromise petition readover to the both parties in Kannada

language and they admitted the contents are true and correct. The compromise arrived between the parties is appears to be lawful therefore the compromise petition is accepted. Consequently suit of the plaintiff is decreed in terms of compromise petition.

Since both parties have filed joint memo to refer the matter to Lok Adalat. Hence, matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-12-2019

Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

Plaintiff absent. Defendants
absent. No representation. Finally
for argument of defendants Call on
19-11-2019

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Notice served on respondent.
Petitioner present. Joint memo filed
hence, matter referred to Lok
Adalat.

I/c. Sr. C.J. & JMFC,
B.Bagewadi

BEFORE LOK ADALAT DATED: 12-11-2019

This case is received from Sr. Civil
Judge & JMFC Court B.Bagewadi before
Lok Adalat .

Petitioner filed this petition U/s 13 (3) of
Birth and Deaths of Registration Act.
Petitioner also produced non-availability
certificate. Both petitioner and respondent
are ready to settle the matter.

Both parties filed joint Memo stating
that respondent has no objections to enter
the date of birth of petitioner by name
Divyashree Pawar C D/o. Chandu of
Telagi i.e., on 31-08-1997 in the concerned
register.

Hence, case is compromised,
accordingly I pass the following:

::ORDER::

The petition filed by the petitioner
U/Sec.13(3) of Registration of Births and
Deaths Act, is hereby allowed.

Consequently, the respondent is

directed to make necessary entry accordingly
in the concerned records after collecting
requisite fee and penalty.

	(Patil. Veeranagouda.S.)
Advocate Conciliator	Judicial Conciliator

O.S. No. 81/19

Plaintiff and defendant present.
Both counsel present. As per the
submission of the both counsel
matter referred to Lok Adalat.

Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

BEFORE LOK ADALT ON 12-11-2019

Plaintiff and defendant present.
Adv. for plaintiff filed a memo
stating that the matter is settled
between the parties and may be
disposed off.

The Adv. for defendant
submitted no objection to the
memo.

The contents of the memo
readover to the both plaintiff and
defendant and they admitted the
contents are true and correct.

In view of the memo the case
is dismissed as not pressed.

Since the matter disposed off
before Lok Adalat. Office is to
refund the court fee to the plaintiff
as per law after proper
identification.

So far as memo seeking
return of documents by the Adv.
for plaintiff can not be considered.
So plaintiff has to file necessary
application in this regard.

Adv. Conciliator Judicial Conciliator

A 2 not produced under body
warrant. Issue body warrant to Jail
Authority to produce A2. Reissue
SS to A1.
Call on -2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Await SS.
Call on 02-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

As there is an element of settlement. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Since the report from District Registrar dt. 27-9-19 is received on 5.10.2019 but the concerned inward clerk or CMO not submitted to the concerned pending clerk and this court has issued reminder to the said authority. Hence Issue memo the to the then Inward Clark and CMO for not complying the order.

For Hearing.

Call on 25-11-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Cr. No. 60/2014

Case again called out at 4-35 p.m.
Complainant absent. No
representation. In this case, police
have filed B report for which the
complainant has filed objection on
30-08-2015. Thereafter, the case
was posted for recording sworn
statement of complainant. Since
then the complainant remained
absent and not at all lead his sworn
statement. So, it appears that
complainant is not having any
interest to proceed with the case.
Therefore, B report filed by the I.O.
is accepted. Case is closed.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

As there is an element of settlement. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

As per submission of both counsel
matter is referred to Lok Adalat to
be held on 14-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Plaintiff absent. No representation.
For reply.
Call on 29-11-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

A 2 not produced under body warrant. Issue body warrant to Jail Authority to produce A2. Reissue SS to A1.
Call on -2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Jr. of DSP Adv. prays time. For
hearing. Call on 02-12-2019

Addl.Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

O.S. No. 114/2019

Case advanced. Plaintiffs and defendants present. Sri. BMM Adv. for defendant No.2. Both parties filed compromise petition U/O. 23 Rule 3 of CPC.

The suit is for partition and separate possession in respect of suit schedule properties.

On being questioned defendant No.2 being sister of plaintiff No.2 and defendant No.1 stated that she is given in marriage to well to do family and she has given up her share in the suit schedule properties in favour of her brothers and mother.

The contents of the compromise petition readover to

both the parties in Kannada language and they admitted the contents are true and correct. The compromise arrived between the parties is appears to be lawful, therefore the compromise petition is accepted. Consequently suit of the plaintiff is decreed in terms of compromise petition.

The hand sketch map annexed to the compromise petition is part and parcel of the compromise decree.

Since both parties have filed joint memo to refer the matter to Lok Adalat. Hence, matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-12-2019

Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

O.S. No.182/2019

Case advanced. Plaintiff and defendant No. 1 to 3 present. Sri. NSB Adv. filed vakalat for defendant No.1 to 3. Both parties filed compromise petition U/O. 23 Rule 3 of CPC.

The suit is for partition and separate possession in respect of suit schedule properties.

The contents of the compromise petition readover to both the parties in Kannada language and they admitted the contents are true and correct. The compromise arrived between the parties is appears to be lawful, therefore the compromise petition is accepted. Consequently suit of the plaintiff is decreed in terms of compromise petition.

Since both parties have filed joint memo to refer the matter to

Lok Adalat. Hence, matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-12-2019

Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

Case advanced. Plaintiffs and defendants No. 1 to 6 present. Sri. N.B.Masarkal Adv. filed vakalat for defendant No.1 to 6. Both parties filed compromise petition U/O. 23 Rule 3 of CPC.

The suit is for partition and separate possession in respect of suit schedule property.

On being questioned defendant No.2 to 6 being the sisters of plaintiff stated that they

are given in marriage to well to do families and they have given up their share in the suit schedule property in favour of their brother.

The contents of the compromise petition readover to both the parties in Kannada language and they admitted the contents are true and correct. The compromise arrived between the parties is appears to be lawful, therefore the compromise petition is accepted. Consequently suit of the plaintiff is decreed in terms of compromise petition.

Since both parties have filed joint memo to refer the matter to Lok Adalat. Hence, matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-12-2019

Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

Notice issued to the complainant returned unserved for want of correct address. On perusal of the complaint it appears that the complainant is residing in the State of Rajasthan. Since the IO has filed "C" report. So, with a liberty reopen the case after securing the accused by the I.O. the "C" report is accepted and case is closed.

Addl.Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Plaintiff absent. No representation.
List of witnesses not filed. For
plaintiff evidence. Call on 10-12-
2019

Addl.Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

As there is an element. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Petitioner absent. ASK. Adv. prays
time. For steps.
Call on 07-12-2019

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

CC No. 13/19

Case called out. Complainant absent. No representation. Call at 03-00p.m.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

Again case called out at 03-25p.m. Complainant absent. No representation. On perusal of the records this complaint is filed U/Sec. 200 of Cr.P.C. for the offence punishable U/Sec. 148 of N.I.Act on 25-04-2017. Thereafter the cognizance was taken against the accused on 08-06-2018. Thereafter the complainant has not taken proper steps against the accused. No representation was made on his behalf. Therefore, to day the matter was posted for to take proper steps finally even then the complainant remained absent his counsel also remained absent. It shows that the complainant has not interest to proceed with this case. So, the case is dismissed for not taking proper steps.

Consequently accused is acquitted acting U/Sec. 256 (1) of Cr.P.C.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

Respondent absent. No
representation. For hearing finally .
Call on 13-12-2019

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

As per submission of both counsel
matter is referred to Lok Adalat to
be held on 14-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

D.Hr. absent No representation. Call
at 03-00p.m.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

Again case called out at 04-10p.m.
D.Hr. absent No representation.
This E.P. is filed for the execution of
the order passed in
R.C.S./D4/SOU746/2014-15 by
Assistant Registrar Co-Operative
Society Bagalkot. This E.P. is of the
year 2016 but no progress in the
case. D.Hr. remained absent and no
proper steps are taken. Therefore, it
appears that the D.Hr. is not having
any interest to proceed with this
case. Hence, the E.P. is closed for
time being.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

OS No 184-2019
Case advanced. As per the
submission of the plaintiff matter
referred to Lok Adalat.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

BEFORE LOK ADALAT DT; 02-12-2019

Plaintiff present. Sri. SSP. Adv. for
plaintiff filed a memo stating that
the parties have settled the matter
and the plaintiff is not interested to
proceed with the matter. The
plaintiff also filed his affidavit in
this regard.

In view of the memo the suit
is dismissed as not pressed. Since
the matter disposed off before Lok
Adalat. Office is to return the court
fee to the plaintiff as per law, after
proper identification.

Adv. Conciliator

Judicial Conciliator

Reissue the same.
Call on 10-01-2020

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

As per submission of both counsel
matter is referred to Lok Adalat to
be held on 14-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

The CPI Bagewadi filed requisition to issue body warrant against accused as he is in J.C. under UTP No.8120 in Crime No. 97/19 of Gol Gumbaz PS Vijayapur as he is required for investigation he will seek for police custody of accused. So for the reasons stated in the requisition. Issue body warrant to produce the accused on 07-12-2019

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

EP No. 7/2015

Case called out D.Hrs. absent.
No representation.
Call at 03-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Again case called out at
3-45p.m. D.Hrs absent. No
representation. This E.P. is filed in
the year 2015 for the execution of

the award passed in LAC No. 25/2009 by Senior Civil Judge B.Bagewadi. After the service of notice to J.Dr. remained absent. So, the case was posted for taking further steps on 24-06-2015. Since then neither D.Hrs. nor thier counsel present before the court and taken proper steps against the J.Dr. Absolutely there is no progress in this case since 24-06-2015. Therefore, it shows that the D.Hrs. have no interest to proceed with the case. Hence, the E.P. is closed for not taking proper steps.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

LAC No. 133/2016

Case called out several times, claimant absent. No representation. This is reference made U/Sec. 13 of L.A. Act by the SLAO, Almatti. But none of the claimants are appearing properly nor filed any objection. It appears that O.S. No.388/2011 is pending on the file of Senior Civil Judge B.Bagewadi. So, for that the claimants are not interested to proceed with the case. Hence, this case is closed for time being.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Inspite of issuance of reminder no final report has been filed. Hence, issue show cause notice to PSI Managuli PS. for not complying the order of the court. Call on 30-01-2020.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

As per submission of both counsel
matter is referred to Lok Adalat to
be held on 14-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

SSK Adv. prays time. For Argument.
Call on 09-12-2019

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

Case called out. Complainant
absent. No representation. Call at
03-00p.m.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

Again case called out at 03-25p.m. Complainant absent. No representation. On perusal of the records this complaint is filed U/Sec. 200 of Cr.P.C. for the offence punishable U/Sec. 191,192,197,416,418,420,463,464, 645,647,468,471,504,506 R/w. 149 of IPC on 30-10-2015. Thereafter the cognizance was taken against the accused No.1 to 6 for the offence punishable U/Sec. 416,420,463,464,471, 504,506 R/w. 149 of I.P.C. on 11.03.2016. Thereafter the complainant has not taken proper steps against the accused. No representation was made on her behalf. Therefore, to day the matter was posted to take proper steps against the accused finally, even then the complainant remained absent her counsel also remained absent. It shows that the complainant has no interest to proceed with this case. So, the case is dismissed for not taking proper steps.

Consequently all accused are acquitted acting U/Sec. 256 (1) of Cr.P.C.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

Case called out D.Hrs. absent.
No representation.
Call at 03-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

E.P. No. 7/2014

Again case called out at 3-40p.m. D.Hrs absent. No representation. This E.P. is filed in the year 2014 for the execution of the award passed in LAC No. 146/2003 by Senior Civil Judge B.Bagewadi. After the service of notice to J.Dr. remained absent. So, the case was posted for taking further steps but impleading application was filed by third party thereafter both parties remained absent no representation was made. Hence, it appears that the D.Hrs. have no interest to proceed with the case. Hence, the E.P. is closed for time being.

Consequently, the pending application stands dismissed.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Case called out Plaintiffs. absent.
No representation.
Call at 03-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

O.S. No. 219/2018

Again case called out at 03-45 p.m.
Plaintiffs absent. No
representation. This suit is filed on
27-11-2018 thereafter defendant
No.1 and 5 have appeared and the
case was posted to take steps
against defendant No.2, 3,4 and 6
on 02-01-2019. Since then neither
the plaintiffs nor their counsel
appeared before this court. They
have also not taken proper steps
against the remaining defendants.
So, it shows that the plaintiffs are
not having any interest to proceed
with the matter. Therefore, the suit
is dismissed for not taking proper
steps.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Notice severed on respondent.
Called out absent. As per
submission of petitioner counsel
matter referred to Lok Adalat on
14-12-2019

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

As per submission of both counsel
matter is referred to Lok Adalat to
be held on 14-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Case called out petitioner. absent.
No representation.
Call at 03-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Again case called out at 03-25 p.m. Petitioner absent. No representation. This petition is filed in the year 2015 before the Civil Judge Court Basavana-Bagewadi. In view of amendment to the Karnataka Panchayat Raj Act this case was transferred to this court. Thereafter since 07-01-2019 petitioner remained absent. No representation was made on his behalf. In spite of giving sufficient opportunity the petitioner has not come forward to lead his evidence. So, it shows that

the petitioner is not having any interest to proceed with the matter. Therefore, the case is dismissed for non prosecution.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Case advanced. Respondent No.1 present. Sri. TSG. Adv. filed vakalat for Respondent No. 1 and filed application U/Sec. 152 of C.P.C. seeking to amend the Judgement and decree mentioning the word “ Respondent No.1” in the page No. 22 para No.2,first line after the word “ Appellants” in the Judgement. So also in the decree at page No.3.

Copy served upon Appellants and Respondent No.2. They were called out absent. So, it means they have no objection to the present application.

Heard. Sri. TSG. Adv. and perused the compromise petition wherein it is clearly mentioned in para No.3 of the compromise petition that Appellants and Respondent No.1 are entitle 1/5th share in the compensation amount. Now, looking to the Judgement and Decree the entitlement of the share of the Respondent No.1 has not find place. So, in order to meet the ends of justice and for the reasons stated by the respondent No.1 in the annexed affidavit to the present application, it requires to be allowed.

Hence, the application is filed by the Respondent No.1 U/Sec. 152 of CPC is hereby allowed as prayed for.

Consequently, the office is to
amend accordingly.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

Case called out petitioner. absent.
No representation.
Call at 03-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

MVC No. 1223/2015

Again case called out at 04-25 p.m. Petitioner absent. No representation. This petition is filed in the year 2015 and Issues were framed on 28-11-2016 and case was posted for petitioner evidence on 11-01-2017. Since then the petitioner remained absent. No representation was made on her behalf. In spite of giving sufficient opportunity the petitioner has not come forward to lead her evidence. So, it shows that the petitioner is not having any interest to proceed with the matter. Therefore, the case is dismissed for non prosecution.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Case called out petitioner. absent.
No representation.
Call at 03-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Again case called out at 04-30 p.m. Petitioner absent. No representation. This petition is filed in the year 2017 and Issues were framed on 12.02.2018 and case was posted for petitioner evidence on 16.04.2018 . Since then the petitioner remained absent. No representation was made on his behalf. In spite of giving sufficient opportunity the petitioner has not came forward to lead his evidence. So, it shows that the petitioner is not having any interest to proceed with the matter. Therefore, the case is dismissed for non prosecution.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Respondent absent. No
representation. For Argument by
Respondent. Call on 18-12-2019

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

As there is an element of settlement. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

As per submission of both counsel
matter is referred to Lok Adalat to
be held on 14-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Accused present. Heard. Perused.
Sec. 273 of IPC is also attracted to
the case. Hence charge framed.
Accordingly same is read over to
him, he pleaded not guilty and
claim to be tried. Issue SS to CW 1
to 4. Call on 09-01-2020

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

As there is an element of settlement. Matter is referred to Lok Adalat to be held on 14-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

As per submission of both counsel
matter is referred to Lok Adalat to
be held on 14-12-2019.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Appellant absent. No
representation. For Argument.
Call on 18-01-2020

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

BPP Adv. filed I.A. No.VII U/Sec.
151 of CPC seeking extension of T.I.
Perused. T.I. extended till next date
of hearing. Reissue the same. Call
on 18-01-2020

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Case called out petitioner. absent.
No representation.
Call at 03-00p.m.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi

Again case called out at 04-30 p.m. Petitioner absent. No representation. This petition is filed in the year 2017 and Issues were framed on 12.02.2018 and case was posted for petitioner evidence on 16.04.2018 . Since then the petitioner remained absent. No representation was made on his behalf. In spite of giving sufficient opportunity the petitioner has not come forward to lead his evidence. So, it shows that the petitioner is not having any interest to proceed with the matter. Therefore, the case is dismissed for non prosecution.

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Call on 02-01-2020

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

Issue reminder to PSI Managuli PS
for submitting report in this case.
Call on 26-02-2020

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

OS NO. 157/2019

Plaintiff No.1 to 6 present. Defendants absent. Sri. SAY. Adv. for plaintiffs filed compromise petitioner U/O. 23 Rule 3 of CPC.

As per the compromise petition the compromise arrived only among the plaintiffs wherein plaintiff No. 1,4,5 and 6 being mother and sisters of plaintiff No.2 and 3 have relinquished their share in respect of suit property in favour of plaintiff No.2 and 3 by receiving Rs. 2,00,000/- each.

On being questioned to the plaintiff No.4,5 and 6 they stated that they are given in marriage to well to do family and they do not want any share in the suit properties and so also plaintiff No.1 stated that she has also received Rs. 2,00,000/- and she is residing with plaintiff No.2 and 3. So, she does not want any share in the suit properties.

The contents of the compromise petition readover to the Plaintiff No. 1 to 6 in Kannada Language and they admitted its contents are true and correct. The compromise arrived between the parties is appears to be lawful.

Since defendant No.1 and 2 absent as such the compromise petition will be consider after hearing the defendants. Call on regular date.

Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

MHK. Adv. prays time. For
Argument. Call on 10-01-2020

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

c

OS-67/2018

Case advanced. Plaintiffs and defendant No.1 to 3 present. Shri. H.J.C. Adv. filed vakalat for plaintiffs with N.O.C. from earlier counsel. Plaintiffs and defendant No. 1 to 5 and 13 compromised the matter and submitted compromise petition U/O. 23 Rule 3 of CPC. Sri. C.R.S. Adv. for defendant No.1 to 5 filed I.A. No. VII U/O. 32 R 7 of CPC seeking permission to compromise the matter by defendant No.1 on behalf of

minor defendants No.4 and 5. In support of the I.A. defendnat No.1 filed affidavit stating that the defendant No.4 and 5 are her minor brother and sister and they are under her care and custody and the compromise is in the better interest of minors. So also Shri. C.R.S. Adv. filed certificate stating that the compromise is for the welfare of minor defendant No.4 and 5 and there is no adverse interest. In view of the certificate and the contents of the affidavit the I.A. No. VII is allowed.

As per the compromise petition the compromise arrived between plaintiff and defendant No.1 to 4 and 13 in respect of suit property bearing Sy. No. 496/1 measuring 05-Acres 06-guntas .

The contents of the compromise petition readover to the Plaintiff No. 1 and 2 and defendant No.1 to 3 and 13 in Kannada Language and they admitted its contents are true and correct. The compromise arrived between the parties is appears to be lawful.

Since the compromise is only with regard to one property and among defendant No.1 to 5 and 13 as such the compromise petition will be consider at the time of final judgement. Call on regular date.

Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Basavan Bagewadi.

SAY. Adv. filed I.A. No. IV U/Sec.
151 of CPC seeking extension of T.I.
Perused. T.I. extended till next date
of hearing. Reissue SS to D 6 to 8
by RPAD.
Call on 06-02-2020

Addl.Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC
Basavana Bagewadi.

Call on 10-01-2020

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

Call on 14-01-2020

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

FDP No. 15/2015

Petitioners called out absent.
No representation. Call at 02-45p.m.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

Again case called out at 03-00
p.m. Petitioners absent. No
representation. This petition is
filed to draw a final decree on the
basis of the preliminary decree
passed by Senior Civil Judge
B.Bagewadi in O.S. No. 107/2006.

Respondent No.1 reported to
be dead. In spite of giving sufficient
opportunity the petitioners have
not taken proper steps. So, it
appears that the petitioners are not
interested to proceed with the case.
So, with a liberty to file a fresh F.D.P.
this case is closed for not taking
proper steps.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

FDP NO. 3/2019

Case called out. Petitioner absent.
No representation. Call at 02-55p.m.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.

Again case called out at 03-05
p.m. Petitioner absent. No
representation. This petition is
filed to draw a final decree on the
basis of the preliminary decree
passed by Senior Civil Judge
B.Bagewadi in O.S. No. 76/2005.

Respondent No.2 reported to
be dead. In spite of giving sufficient
opportunity the petitioner has not
taken proper steps either to
Respondent No.1 or to L.Rs. of
Respondent No.2. So, it appears that
the petitioner is not interested to
proceed with the case. So, with a
liberty to file a fresh F.D.P. this case
is closed for not taking proper
steps.

Addl. Sr.C. J. & JMFC.,
Basavana Bagewadi.