

(43)

IN THE COURT OF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE

AT 58TH BANDRA COURT , MUMBAI.

Case No. 67/SC/2022

M/s Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited

.....Complainant

V/s

Tanav Sanjay Mhase

.....Accused

Application For Substitution


May It Please Your worship;

I humbly submit on behalf of Complainant as follows:

1. That previous representative of Complainant Mr. ~~Aditya Kale~~, has left job and need appoint new representative to proceed with aforesaid matter.
2. That Mr. Amrut Tambe is new representative of complainant .
3. That previous complainant is now not reachable and out of contact. Hence the complainant was to proceed the with procedure. Hence the new representative Mr. Amrut Tambe may be substituted in place of Mr. ~~Aditya Kale~~. This the genuine reason as the complainant cannot hold the officer who wants to leave. So New representative Mr. Amrut Tambe may be substituted in Place of Mr. ~~Aditya Kale~~.
4. That the copy of Board Resolution is Affixed herewith the Application.
5. Hence Prayed to this Hon'ble court substitute Mr. Amrut Tambe in place of Mr. ~~Aditya Kale~~.

FOR THIS ACT OF KINDNESS COMPLAINANT SHALL REMAIN DUTY BOUND AS EVER PRAYED.


Date: 28/01/25


Adv. for complainant
(Chhaya Deo)


Complainant
Mr. Amrut Tambe Auth.
Representative of M/s Kotak
Mahindra Bank Ltd.

ORDER

Read application. Heard. Perused photocopy of Board Resolution. The earlier complainant/Authorized person Mr. Aditya Kale who is left the job from the complainant company. The substitution of Authorized person Mr. Amrut Tambe will not cause any prejudice to the rights of accused at this preliminary stage. Hence, application allowed in the interest of justice.



(Mr. P. Saraf)

Judicial Magistrate, First Class,
58th Court, Bandra, Mumbai.
J.O.Code: MH01362

Date : 28.01.2025