

**In the Court of II Addl. District & Sessions and Special Judge,
VIJAYAPURA, At: VIJAYAPURA.**

SESSIONS CASE NO.97/2024

CW No.10

PW No.03

Duly Sworn on: 03/07/2026.

Cross-Examination by: Sri. NBK Adv., for Accused:

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely that, I know the Accused by name Husain Yusufsab Nandyal; and said Husain Nandyal was visiting Pune.

I do not remember the name of the person, of whose marriage my sons had come to Bijapur.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely that, my son/Hasnain/C.W.1/P.W.2 had informed me the name of the Accused, contending that, he has caused assault upon my another son/Ayaan by stabbing him.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely that, Kumar/C.W.8 is also known by the name Rushi; and further I have enquired Rushi about the incident and came to know that, when my son/Ayaan and Rushi had gone to have Cold drinks to a Cold drink shop, Accused came and assaulted my son/Ayaan.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely that, Vijayapura Police have enquired me and have recorded my Statement, as contended by me in my examination in chief.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely that, Accused

has caused assault upon my son/Ayaan, since the Engagement of Accused with Muskan was breakdown; and the mother of Muskan has assured to give her daughter Muskan to my son/Ayaan, as contended by me in my examination in chief.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposed falsely that, house of Mehaboob Shaikh is situate besides my residence; and said Muskan is the daughter of Mehaboob Shaikh.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely that, Accused used to come to Pune to meet Muskan; on seeing my son/Ayaan talking with Muskan, he used to express his displease (Naraz), even subsequent to Engagement of Muskan with Accused.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely that, my son/Ayan and his friends had come to Bijapura to perform marriage of Shoib Kope/C.W.14.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely that, I have received Phone Call of my son/Hasanain on 24.12.2024 at about 4.00pm in the evening, informing the event of assault to me.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely that, when we came from Pune, we went to Civil Hospital, Bijapura, wherein Kumar/Rushi was present alongwith my son/Hasanain in the said Hospital; when I enquired them about the incident, they have narrated me the entire event of the incident.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely that, Engagement has taken place inbetween Accused and Muskan.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely in this Case, since deceased Ayaan is my son.

Re-Examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(ABDUL-RAHIMAN .A. NANDGADI)
II Addl. District & Sessions & Spl.Judge,
VIJAYPURA.

**In the Court of II Addl. District & Sessions and Special Judge,
VIJAYAPURA, At: VIJAYAPURA.**

SESSIONS CASE NO.97/2024
CW No.01
PW No.02

Duly Sworn on: 03/07/2026.

Further Examination-in-Chief by Ld. P.P.:

About 2 ½ year back, we had come for the marriage of C.W.14/Sohaib to Vijayapura in the morning. Myself, my brother/deceased Ayaan, Saheel/C.W.9 and Kumar/C.W.8/P.W.1 had come to attend the marriage. The said marriage was scheduled at Phadkhan Hall.

After the marriage at about 2.00pm, my brother/Ayaan and Kumar/C.W.8 had gone to have Tea; we friends were in the marriage hall.

Accused has stabbed my brother/Ayaan with Knife on the Leftside of the Neck, Leftside of the Abdomen and on the Rightside of the Abdomen.

When the incident of stabbing took place, I was inside the Hall.

Thereafter, myself and Kumar/C.W.8 took my brother/Ayaan to the Hospital, wherein the Doctor in the said Hospital declared my brother/Ayaan as brought Dead. It was about 3.00pm in the afternoon.

Thereafter, we went to Golgumbaz Police Station, Vijayapura; and lodged Complaint.

When Accused stabbed my brother/Ayaan, Kumar/C.W.8 came running to the Marriage hall intimated the same to us; thereafter, we went to the spot.

Now a document/Complaint dated 24.12.2023 is shown to the

Witness and questioned him to identify, whether the said document contains his Signature. Witness answers in the Affirmative. On identification, the said Complaint is marked as Ex.P.19, signature of the witness is marked as Ex.P.19(a).

I can identify the clothes worned by my brother/Ayaan, on the day of incident; as well as my clothes worned by me, on the day of incident.

Witness identifies Shirt/M.O.10 and Grey colour Pant/M.O.11 present in the Open Court to have been worned by him, on the day of incident.

Now, Two Positive Photographs are shown to the Witness and questioned him to identify whether he is seen in the said Photographs. Witness answers in the Affirmative. On identification, the said photographs are marked as Ex.P.20 and 21.

(Now the sealed materials are unsealed in the presence of the Learned PP for the State and Sri. NBK., Adv for Accused; and found blood stained Black and White Strips shirt, Jeans Pant, Rebook Company Red colour Elastic underwear, blood stained White boot).

Now the said materials have been shown to the witness and questioned him to identify them. Witness identifies the said material objects, contending that, the same belongs to his brother deceased Ayaan, which he has worned on the day of incident. On identification, blood stained Black and White Strips shirt is marked as M.O.15, Jeans Pant is marked as M.O.16, Rebook Company Red colour Elastic underwear is marked as M.O.17 and blood stained White boot is marked as M.O.18.

I have narrated the facts to Golgumbaz Police in Hindi Language, while lodging the Complaint, the same was script in Kannada

language.

Accused has stabbed my brother/Ayaan due to his relationship with a girl by name Muskan. The said girl/Muskan is from Pune. Engagement was performed inbetween the said girl/Muskan and the Accused; and said Muskan had refused to get married with the Accused, as she was interested in getting married to my brother/Ayaan. Marriage was not performed infurtherance of the Engagement inbetween the Muskan and the Accused. Due to which Accused had nurtured vengeance against my brother/Ayaan.

Cross-Examination by: Sri. NBK Adv., for Accused:

C.W.11/Hashim, C.W.12/Firoz are our relatives. Babajan/C.W.13 is my brother-in-law. It is true to suggest that, C.W.11 to C.W.13 are residing at Managlavaar Peth, Pune and not Hadapsar, Pune.

Myself and my brother/Ayaan had come for the first time to Bijapura to attend the marriage. I do not know the names of vicinities at Bijapura. I do not know the name of the place, where the marriage was performed, the name of the bride and the address of the bride.

I do not know the date as to when engagement of Accused with Muskan had taken place.

About 1000 and more people had come to attend the marriage; and the said people were present in the marriage hall alongwith me, when we received the information of stabbing my brother/Ayaan.

It is false to suggest that, I have not narrated the fact in the Complaint that, Kumar/C.W.8 came running to the marriage hall and intimated the fact of stabbing by the Accused to my brother/Ayaan, to us.

It is false to suggest that, I have not narrated the fact in the Complaint that, Muskan had refused to marry the Accused and she was having interest in my brother/Ayaan.

I had narrated the facts to the Police, while lodging the Complaint; the said Police has got typed in Kannada language. I do not know the name of the said Police official.

I do not know as to what is written in Kannada language in the Complaint/Ex.P.19.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely that, M.O.10 and M.O.11 clothes belong to me; and M.O.16 to M.O.18 clothes and shoes belong to my deceased brother/Ayaan.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely that, after completion of the marriage, my brother/Ayaan and Kumar/C.W.8 had gone for Tea outside the marriage hall; and after alleged stabbing Kumar/C.W.8 came running and informed event of stabbing by the Accused, to us; thereafter, we went to the spot and took my brother/Ayaan to the Hospital, wherein he was declared as brought dead.

It is false to suggest that, my brother/Ayaan was not having cordial relationship with the people from Sikh community, situate at Hadapsar, Pune; so the said people had nurtured vengeance against my brother/Ayaan.

It is false to suggest that, even my brother/Ayaan used to tease female persons in Hadapsar, Pune, so people at Hadapsar were not happy with my brother/Ayaan.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely in this Case, since deceased Ayaan happens to be my brother.

Re-Examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(ABDUL-RAHIMAN .A. NANDGADI)
II Addl. District & Sessions & Spl.Judge,
VIJAYPURA.