



IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT JUDGE, SALEM.

Present : Thiru. Swarnam J. Natarajan, M.L.,

Principal District Judge, Salem.

Friday, this the 31st day of July - 2026

O.S.No.254/2021 -in -

CNR.No.TNSA01-003351-2021

A.K. Gopi

... Plaintiff

- versus -

1. A.K. Saravanan (died)*

2. Uma

3. S. Kalaiselvi

4. S. Sukanya

... Defendants

* Amended as per order in I.A.No. 5 / 2025 dated 06.07.2025

The petition came up for final hearing before me on 31.07.2026 today in the presence of Thiru.N. Mathiyalagan, Advocate for the plaintiff and Thiru.N. Venkatesu, Advocate for the 2nd defendant, Thiru. S. Sivakumar, Advocate for the 3rd and 4th defendants and a compromise petition has been filed by both parties stating that the matter has been compromised between them and recorded and in view of the compromise petition, this court deliver the following :

ORDER

The suit is instituted by the plaintiffs seeking for preliminary decree directing the defendants 2 to 4 to devide the suit proeprty into 3 equal shares



by metes and bounds and allot one such share to the plaintiff, if the defendants 2 to 4 fail to do so, pass a final decree by appointing a commissioner to divide the suit property into 3 equal shares by metes and bounds and allot one such share to the plaintiff and put him in separate possession of his allotted share, to grant permanent injunction restraining the defendants 2 to 4 from in any way alienating or encumbering the entire suit property to any third parties including the plaintiff's 1/3 share till a final decree is passed with costs.

2. When the suit is pending, both the plaintiff and the defendants filed a joint compromise petition reporting that they have amicably settled the matter between themselves and seeks for permission to record the same. Accordingly, the parties were identified by their respective counsels. The terms and conditions and the agreement arrived between the parties were explained in the court and the parties who appeared, having understood the nature of the terms and conditions, had also voluntarily agreed for the same after having understood the terms and conditions mentioned in the compromise petition. On being satisfied that the parties have voluntarily entered into compromise after knowing the contents of the same, this court is inclined to allow the compromise petition filed by permitting the parties to settle the matter in terms of the compromise memo filed, and the suit is disposed of in terms of the compromise petition by ordering for the compromise petition and the plan to be forming part



of the decree.

3. Refund of court fee is ordered in lieu of *the judgment of the Honourable Supreme Court in SLP.No.723 of 2023 dated 28.01.2025.*

In the result,

(i) the compromise petition I.A.No.14/2026 filed by the parties under Order 23 Rule 1 and 2 is recorded. Parties are permitted to compromise the matter in terms of the compromise arrived, and the suit is disposed of in terms of the compromise recorded between the parties.

(ii) Refund of full court fee ordered as per the rules. The compromise petition and the plan forms part of the decree.

(iii) The original documents filed in this suit by the parties are ordered to be returned to the respective parties by substituting with the xerox copies.

Dictated to the Steno-Typist, taken by her in shorthand, transcribed and typed by her with the help of voice recorder, and Microsoft 365, corrected and pronounced by me, in the open court, this the 31st day of July - 2026.

**Principal District Judge,
Salem.**



scan the code using 'e Courts Services'

mobile application download from Play Store

4

O.S.No.254/2021

Principal District & Sessions Court,
Salem.

O.S.No.254/2021

Draft/Fair judgment

Date : 31.07.2026

31.07.2026

Principal District Judge, Salem.