

**In the Court of II Addl. District & Sessions and Special Judge,
VIJAYAPURA, At: VIJAYAPURA.**

SESSION CASE NO. 149/2022

PW No.13

CW No.13

Deposition of : Usman Mujawar
Father Name : Nabilal
Age : 34 years
Occupation : Pvt. Service.
Residence : Bagayat Galli, Vijayapura.

Duly Sworn on: 03/11/2025.

Examination-in-Chief by Ld. P.P.:

I do not know CW-1/PW-3, C.W.-8/P.W.-9, CW-9, CW-10/PW-10, CW-11/PW-11. But I know CW-12/PW-12.

I do not know whether Accused No.1 to 5 have caused assault upon CW-8/PW-9; and he was taken to the Hospital by CW-11/PW-11; and CW-11/PW-11 had called CW-1/PW-3 on his mobile phone, when myself, CW-1/PW-3 and CW-12/PW-12 were sitting.

When I had been to Yashodha Hospital Solhapur to see CW-8/PW-9, he had sustained injuries to his hand.

Golgumbaz Police have not enquired me in this case; and have recorded my Statements.

**(The learned PP prays to treat this witness hostile. Perused
the entire file and the examination-in-chief of this witness.
Hence permitted)**

Cross Examination by learned PP

It is false to suggest that, I am aware that CW-8/PW-9, CW-9 CW10/PW-10 were friends; and they used to roam together.

It is false to suggest that, I am aware that Accused Nos. 1 to 5 in a financial dispute in between Accused No.1 and CW-9, had caused assault upon CW-8/PW-9, due to which he was admitted to District Hopsital Vijayapur by CW-11/PW-11; and CW-11/PW-11 had informed CW-1/PW-3 over Phone, when myself, CW-12/PW-12 and CW-1/PW-3 were sitting together.

It is false to suggest that, on hearing that Accused Nos. 1 to 5 have assaulted CW-8/PW-9 and that CW-8/PW-9 had sustained grievous injuries, due to which he was admitted at Yashodha Hospital, Solhapur, for which I had been to the said Hospital.

It is false to suggest that, even I had been to District Government Hospital, Vijyapur to see injured CW-8/PW-9.

It is false to suggest that, on 03.01.2021 I have given Statement before Golgumbaz Police contending that 'ನಾನು ಮೇಲ್ಕಾಣಿಸಿದ ವಿಳಾಸದಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಷಯ ತಿಳಿದಿರುತ್ತದೆ. " For identification the said Statement is marked as Ex.P-24.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely in this case in order to help the Accused persons.

Cross-Examination by: Accused persons:

(Sri. MANK Adv for Accused Nos.1 to 5 and Smt. DRB Adv for Accused No.6 submits that Accused persons have no cross examination towards P.W.13. Hence, Cross examination of P.W.13 by the Accused persons is taken as 'NIL'.)

Re-Examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(ABDUL-RAHIMAN .A. NANDGADI)
II Addl. District & Sessions & Spl.Judge,
VIJAYPURA.

**In the Court of II Addl. District & Sessions and Special Judge,
VIJAYAPURA, At: VIJAYAPURA.**

SESSION CASE NO. 149/2022

PW No.12

CW No.12

Deposition of : Arif Mujawar
Father Name : Abdul Rajak
Age : 59 years
Occupation : Business
Residence : Bagayat Galli, Vijayapura.

Duly Sworn on: 03/11/2025.

Examination-in-Chief by Ld. P.P.:

C.W.-8/P.W.-9 is my sister son; CW-1/PW-3 is my brother's son.

I do not know the CW-9 and CW-10/PW-10. I know CW-13. I do not know CW-11/PW-11.

I do not know whether Accused No.1 to 5 have caused assault upon my sister son/CW-8/PW-9; and he was taken to the Hospital by CW-11/PW-11; and CW-11/PW-11 had called CW-1/PW-3 on his mobile phone, when myself, CW-1/PW-3 and CW-13 were sitting.

When I had been to Yashodha Hospital Solhapur to see my sister son/CW-8/PW-9, he had sustained injuries to his hand.

CW-8/PW-9 was residing in the house of CW-13; and he was working as a Mechanic.

Golgumbaz Police have enquired me in this case; and have recorded my Statements.

(The learned PP prays to treat this witness hostile. Perused the entire file and the examination-in-chief of this witness. Hence permitted)

Cross Examination by learned PP

It is false to suggest that, I am aware that CW-8/PW-9, CW-9 CW10/PW-10 were friends; and they used to roam together.

It is false to suggest that, I am aware that Accused Nos. 1 to 5 in a financial dispute in between Accused No.1 and CW-9, had caused assault upon CW-8/PW-9, due to which he was admitted to District Hopsital Vijayapur by CW-11/PW-11; and CW-11/PW-11 had informed CW-1/PW-3 over Phone, when myself, CW-13 and CW-1/PW-3 were sitting together.

It is false to suggest that, on hearing that Accused Nos. 1 to 5 have assaulted CW-8/PW-9 and that CW-8/PW-9 had sustained grievous injuries, due to which he was admitted at Yashodha Hospital, Solhapur, for which I had been to the said Hospital.

It is false to suggest that, even I had been to District Government Hospital, Vijyapur to see injured CW-8/PW-9.

It is false to suggest that, on 03.01.2021 I have given Statement before Golgumbaz Police contending that 'ನಾನು ಮೇಲ್ಕಾಣಿಸಿದ ವಿಳಾಸದಲ್ಲಿ, ವಿಷಯ ತಿಳಿದಿರುತ್ತದೆ. " For identification the said Statement is marked as Ex.P-23.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely in this case in order to help the Accused persons.

Cross-Examination by: Accused persons:

(Sri. MANK Adv for Accused Nos.1 to 5 and Smt. DRB Adv for Accused No.6 submits that Accused persons have no cross examination towards P.W.12. Hence, Cross examination of P.W.12 by the Accused persons is taken as 'NIL'.)

Re-Examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(ABDUL-RAHIMAN .A. NANDGADI)
II Addl. District & Sessions & Spl.Judge,
VIJAYPURA.

**In the Court of II Addl. District & Sessions and Special Judge,
VIJAYAPURA, At: VIJAYAPURA.**

SESSION CASE NO. 149/2022

PW No.11

CW No.11

Deposition of : Fayazahemad Turki
Father Name : Nazeer ahemad
Age : 45 years
Occupation : Auto Driver
Residence : Gulamhusain Galli, Vijayapura.

Duly Sworn on: 03/11/2025.

Examination-in-Chief by Ld. P.P.:

In the year 2020 I was working in Water Plant at Basha Nagar Vijayapur.

I do not know the CW-8/PW.-9; and Accused No. 1 to 5 present in the Open Court today.

I have not seen the Accused Nos.1 to 5 chasing CW-8/PW-9; and took Inuried CW-8/PW-9 to the District Hospital Vijayapura and got him admitted.

Now, M.O.-1 to M.O.-4 present in the Open Court are shown to the witness and questioned him to identify them. Witness fails to idenitify M.O.-1 to M.O.-4.

Witness stepdown from the witness box, went and saw Scorpio vehicles bearing Reg. No. KA-28/N-2300 and KA-48/M-0707, parked in the Court premises; and shown in the positive Photographs/Ex.P-6,7 and 14; and then set in the witness box, took oath and contended that

he has seen the Scorpio vehicle bearing Reg. No. KA-28/N-2300/M.O.-5; and not seen the Scorpio bearing Reg. No. KA-48/M-0707/M.O.-6 hereinbefore.

Now, M.O.-1 to M.O.-4 present in the Open Court are shown to him and questioned him to identify them. Witness fails to identify M.O.-1 to M.O.-4.

Now, Spot cum Seizure Mahazar/Ex.P-1 is shown to the witness and questioned him to identify whether the said document contains his signature. Witness answers in the affirmative and identifies his signature. On identification signature of witness is marked as Ex.P.-1(c).

Now, Positive Photograph/Ex.P-2 is shown to the witness and questioned him to identify whether he seen in the said Photograph, witness answered in the Affirmative.

I have not shown any spot to Golgumbaz Police to conduct any Spot Mahazar; and no any material have been seized by the said Police in my presence.

Now, blood stained concrete pieces and unstained concrete pieces present in the Open Court are shown to the witness and questioned him to identify them. Witness fails to identify them. For identification blood stained concrete pieces marked as M.O.-7 and Sample concrete pieces are marked as M.O.-8.

Golgumbaz Police have not enquired me in this case; and have recorded my Statements.

(The learned PP prays to treat this witness hostile. Perused the entire file and the examination-in-chief of this witness. Hence permitted)

Cross Examination by learned PP

It is false to suggest that, I know C.W.-8/PW-9, who is my friend; and the Accused Nos. 1 to 5.

It is false to suggest that, on 31.12.2020 at about 9-15p.m. when I was working Water Plant at Basha Nagar, at that time a Scorpio vehicle bearing Reg. No. KA-28/N-2300/M.O.-5 came chasing and another vehicle bearing Reg. No. KA-48/M-0707/M.O.-6 coming towards Ambedkar Circle; and the said vehicle restrained and curtailed vehicular movements of the vehicle bearing Reg. No. KA48/M-0707/M.O.-6, wherein there were exchange of words inmates of both vehicles, wherein the inmates of the vehicle bearing Reg. No. KA-28/N-2300. M.O.-5 chased the inmates of the vehicle bearing Reg. No. KA-48/M-0707/M.O.-6, wherein the Accused Nos. 1 to 5 assaulted with M.O.-1 to M.O.-4 to CW-8 /PW-9 and left him injured and ran away from the said spot; on hearing the screaming noise, I went to the spot and revealed that the said injured person is my friend/CW-8/PW-9; thereafter I took him to District Hospital Vijayapur for treatment; and informed CW-1/PW-3 who is relative of C.W.-8/PW-9 over phone; thereafter CW-1/PW-3 and his relatives came to the District Hospital Vijayapura, enquired CW.-8/PW-9, wherein CW-8/PW-9 revealed the said facts before them.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely that I have not given Statement before Golgumbaz Police at on 01.01.2021, contending that 'ನಾನು ಮೇಲ್ಕಾಣಿಸಿದ ವಿಳಾಸದಲ್ಲಿ..... ನನಗೆ ತಿಳಿದಿರುತ್ತದೆ. " For identification the said Statement is marked as Ex.P-21.

It is false to suggest that, on 03.01.2021 I have given detailed Statement before Golgumbaz Police contending that 'ಈಗ ತಾವು ಓದಿ ಹೇಳಿದ ನನಗೆ ಕೇಳಿ ಗೊತ್ತಾಯಿತು. " For identification the said Statement is marked as Ex.P-22.

It is false to suggest that, on 01.01.2021 at 09.00a.m., when golgumbaz Police had come, I have shown the Spot to the them for which they have conducted the Spot Mahazar as Ex.P-1, got Seized M.O.-7 and M.O.-8, by drawing the sketch; got click Positive Photographs ex.P-2 in the presence of two panchas namely CW-2/PW-1 and C.W.-3/PW.-2; the said Mahazar Proceedings were conducted in between to 09-00 am. To 10-a.m. in the morning.

It is false to suggest that, Since I have shown the spot, So my signature was obtained as Ex.P-1(c).

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely in this case in order to help the Accused persons.

Cross-Examination by: Accused persons:

(Sri. MANK Adv for Accused Nos.1 to 5 and Smt. DRB Adv for Accused No.6 submits that Accused persons have no cross examination towards P.W.11. Hence, Cross examination of P.W.11 by the Accused persons is taken as 'NIL'.)

Re-Examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(ABDUL-RAHIMAN .A. NANDGADI)
II Addl. District & Sessions & Spl.Judge,
VIJAYPURA.

**In the Court of II Addl. District & Sessions and Special Judge,
VIJAYAPURA, At: VIJAYAPURA.**

SESSION CASE NO. 149/2022

PW No.10

CW No.10

Deposition of : Bilal Babarchi
Father Name : Khazasab
Age : 32 years
Occupation : Mechanic
Residence : J.M.Road, Vijayapura.

Duly Sworn on: 03/11/2025.

Examination-in-Chief by Ld. P.P.:

I do not know the CW.-1/PW-3, CW-8/PW.-9 and CW- 9

I do not know the Accused No. 1 to 6 present in the Open Court today.

Accused Nos. 1 to 5 have never tried to assault me, C.W.-8/PW-9 and C.W.-9, due to the financial dispute in between Accused No.1 and C.W.-9.

Now, M.O.-1 to M.O.-4 present in the Open Court are shown to the witness and questioned him to identify them; and questioned as to whether anybody had tried to assaulted him and his friends with the said articles. Witness replies that nobody had tried to assault him with M.O.-1 to M.O.-4.

Witness stepdown from the witness box, went and saw Scorpio vehicles bearing Reg. No. KA-28/N-2300 and KA-48/M-0707, parked in

the Court premises; and shown in the positive Photographs/Ex.P-6,7 and 14; and then set in the witness box, took oath and contended that he has not seen the said Scorpio vehicles hereinbefore.

Golgumbaz Police have not enquired me in this case; and have recorded my Statement.

(The learned PP prays to treat this witness hostile. Perused the entire file and the examination-in-chief of this witness. Hence permitted)

Cross Examination by learned PP

It is false to suggest that, CW-8/PW-9 and CW-9 are my friends; and he used to roam jointly.

It is false to suggest that, I am aware of the fact that CW-9/Fairoz had taken hand loan from Accused No.1; there was dispute between them.

It is false to suggest that, even I am aware of the fact that Accused No. 6 had insisted Accused No.1 to take way the life of CW-9 and his friends; on contending that he will take care of the consequences.

It is false to suggest that, even I am aware of the fact that Accused No.1 and his friends were accompanied with arms in their vehicle, inorder to cause assault upon CW-9 and his friends, including me.

It is false to suggest that, on 31.12.2020 at about 9-00p.m. myself, CW-8/PW-9 and CW-9 were moving in a Scorpio vehicle bearing Reg. No. KA-48/M0707/M.O.-6, standing in the name of CW-15 brought by me, from Jumma Masjid towards Ambedkar Circle; when we were near Basha Nagar, Accused No.1 to 5 chased us with

Scorpio vehicle bearing Reg. No. KA-28/N-2300/ M.O.-5; and restrained and curtailed our vehicular movements, by parking their vehicle in front of our vehicle; thereby Accused No.1 to 5 got down from their vehicle, Accused No.1 started abusing C.W.-9 in filthy language, on contending that as to when he will be repaying his loan dues, for which C.W.-9 replied Accused No.1 not to abuse him filthy language, and requested that he will repay the said amount within few days; thereafter Accused No.4 open the Dicky of their vehicle, from which Accused No.1 and Accused No.3 took two Lungs/Choppers, and Accused No.2 and 5 took knives; and they started assaulting me and C.W.-8/PW-9 and CW-9; in order to get escape, we all ran away from the spot towards Basha Nagar street; even then the Accused persons chased us, due to which myself and CW-9 got ourselves escaped, but CW-8/PW-9 was caught, for which Accused No. 4 curtailed his movements, Accused Nos.1 and 3 as well as Accused Nos. 2 and 5 assaulted him with the instruments in their hands on his limbs, due to which, he sustained cut, bleeding and grievous Injuries; since he raised hue and cry, for which the Accused Nos. 1 to 5 left him injured and ran away; thereafter C.W.-11 who was knowing him, took him to District Hospital Vijayapura; and his cousin brother/C.W.-1/PW-3 has lodged the Complaint.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely that I have given Statement before Golgumbaz Police at Yashodha Hospital, Solhapur on 03.01.2021, contending that 'ನಾನು ಮೇಲ್ಕಾಣಿಸಿ ವಿಳಾಸದಲ್ಲಿ, .. ನನಗೆ ತಿಳಿದಿರುತ್ತದೆ. " For identification the said Statement is marked as Ex.P-20.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely in this case in order to help the Accused persons.

Cross-Examination by: Accused persons:

(Sri. MANK Adv for Accused Nos.1 to 5 and Smt. DRB Adv for Accused No.6 submits that Accused persons have no cross examination towards P.W.10. Hence, Cross examination of P.W.10 by the Accused persons is taken as 'NIL'.)

Re-Examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(ABDUL-RAHIMAN .A. NANDGADI)
II Addl. District & Sessions & Spl.Judge,
VIJAYPURA.

**In the Court of II Addl. District & Sessions and Special Judge,
VIJAYAPURA, At: VIJAYAPURA.**

SESSION CASE NO. 149/2022

PW No.09

CW No.08

Deposition of : Wasim Loni
Father Name : Jakir Loni
Age : 37 years
Occupation : Mechanic
Residence : Bagayat Galli, J.M.Road, Vijayapura.

Duly Sworn on: 03/11/2025.

Examination-in-Chief by Ld. P.P.:

I do not know the CW.-1/PW-3, and CW-11. I do not know the CW-9 and 10.

I do not know the Accused No. 1 and 6 present in the Open Court today. So also I do not know the Accused No.2 to 5 present in the Open Court today.

Nobody has assaulted me. I had sustained Injuries when I was working on a cutter machine, so I had been to District Hospital Vijayapura for treatment; thereafter I had gone to Yashodha Hospital Solhapur for further treatment.

Now, M.O.-1 to M.O.-4 present in the Open Court are shown to the witness and questioned him to identify them; and questioned as to whether anybody had assaulted him with the said articles. Witness replies that nobody had assaulted him with M.O.-1 to M.O.-4.

Witness stepdown from the witness box, went and saw Scorpio vehicles bearing Reg. No. KA-28/N-2300 and KA-48/M-0707, parked in the Court premises; and shown in the positive Photographs/Ex.P-6,7 and 14; and then set in the witness box, took oath and contended that he has not seen the said Scorpio vehicles hereinbefore.

Accused persons have not assaulted me with M.O.-1 to M.O.-4.

Golgumbaz Police have not enquired me in this case; and have recorded my Statement.

Rukayyabegaum is my mother; she is no more. I do not know the relation ship between CW-1 and my mother.

(The learned PP prays to treat this witness hostile. Perused the entire file and the examination-in-chief of this witness. Hence permitted)

Cross Examination by learned PP

It is false to suggest that, CW-1 is my cousin brother, as he happens to be the brother son of my mother/Rukayyabegaum; C.W.-1 is a running Auto Garaige work Tipu Sultan Circle Vijayapura, in the name of Abid Auto Works. It is false to suggest that, after the death of my mother CW-1 was taking my care.

It is true to suggest that, my father due to mental illness has left us and our residence; and I am working as mechanic on Lingadagudi Road, Vijayapura.

It is false to suggest that, CW-9 and 10 are my friends; and he used to roam jointly.

It is false to suggest that, I am aware of the fact that CW -9/Fairoz had taken hand loan from Accused No.1; there was dispute between them.

It is false to suggest that, even I am aware of the fact that Accused No. 6 had insisted Accused No.1 to take away the life of CW-9 and his friends; on contending that he will take take on the consequences.

It is false to suggest that, even I am aware of the fact that Accused No.1 and his friends were accompanied with arms in their vehicle, in order to cause assault upon CW-9 and his friends including me.

It is false to suggest that, on 31.12.2020 at about 9-00p.m. myself, CW-9, 10 were moving in a Scorpio vehicle bearing Reg. No. KA-48/M0707/M.O.-6 brought by C.W-10 from Jumma Masjid towards Ambedkar Circle; when we were near Basha Nagar, Accused No.1 to 5 chased us with Scorpio vehicle bearing Reg. No. KA-28/N-2300/ M.O.-5; and restrained and curtailed our vehicular movements, by parking their vehicle in front of our vehicle; thereby accused No.1 to 5 got down from their vehicle, Accused No.1 started abusing C.W.-9 in filthy language, on contending that as to when he will be repaying his loan dues, for which C.W.-9 replied Accused No.1 not to abuse him filthy language, and requested that he will repay the said amount within few days; thereafter Accused No.4 open the Dicky of their vehicle, from which Accused No.1 and Accused No.3 took two Lungs/Choppers, and Accused No.2 and 5 took knives; and they started assaulting me and C.W.-9 and 10; in order to get escape, we all ran away from the spot towards Basha Nagar street; even then the Accused persons chased us, due to which CW-9 and 10 got themselves escaped, but I was caught, for which Accused No. 4 curtailed my movements, Accused Nos.1 and 3 as well as Accused Nos. 2 and 5 assaulted me the instruments in their hands on my limbs, due to which I sustained cut, bleeding and

grievous Injuries; due to which I raised hue and cry, for which the Accused Nos. 1 to 5 left me injured and ran away; thereafter C.W.-11 who was knowing me, took me to District Hospital Vijayapura; and my cousin brother/C.W.-1/PW-3 has lodged the Complaint.

It is false to suggest that, when I was admitted in Yashodha Hospital, Solhapur, Golgumbaz Police had come to the said Hospital and have recorded my Statement, wherein I have narrated the above said facts.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely that I have given Statement before Golgumbaz Police at Yashodha Hospital, Solhapur on 03.01.2021, contending that 'ನಾನು ಮೇಲ್ಕಾಣಿಸಿ ವಿಳಾಸದಲ್ಲಿ .. ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿ ನೀಡಿರುತ್ತಾನೆ. " For identification the said Statement is marked as Ex.P-19.

It is false to suggest that I am deposing falsely that, I have sustained the Injuries while working on the cutter machine.

It is false to suggest that, I have sustained the said Injuries due to assault caused upon me by the Accused Nos. 1 to 5.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely in this case in order to help the Accused persons.

Cross-Examination by: Accused persons:

(Sri. MANK Adv for Accused Nos.1 to 5 and Smt. DRB Adv for Accused No.6 submits that Accused persons have no cross examination towards P.W.9. Hence, Cross examination of P.W.9 by the Accused persons is taken as 'NIL'.)

Re-Examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(ABDUL-RAHIMAN .A. NANDGADI)
II Addl. District & Sessions & Spl.Judge,
VIJAYPURA.

**In the Court of II Addl. District & Sessions and Special Judge,
VIJAYAPURA, At: VIJAYAPURA.**

SESSION CASE NO. 149/2022

PW No.08

CW No.21

Deposition of : Dr. Jyothi Patil
Husband's Name : Sanjay Patil
Age : 59 yrs.
Occupation : Principal, District Training Center, Vijayapura
Residence : Vijayapura.

Duly Sworn on: 03/11/2025.

Examination-in-Chief by Ld. P.P.:

I was serving as Dy.C.M.O of District Hospital Vijayapur since 2019 to 2021.

On 31.12.2020 a patient by name Wasim Jakir Loni came to the District Hospital at about 10.30p.m., with an a history of assault; on examination of the said patient I have found;

- 1) Liner incised wound over back of upper 1/3rd right forearm tailing downward measuring 6.5 x 1 ½ cms; it was muscle deep in nature; and edges were clean cut.
- 2) Oblique chop wound a cross back and outer aspect of right forearm and wrist, measuring 10 x 1 ½ cms.; it was bone deep in nature; underlying radius bone; and it was clean cut.
- 3) Vertically placed shop wound along with the length of left thumb from tip to the root; measuring 5 x 1 ½ cms., bone depth. Both the Phalanges were clean cut.

4) Inside wound a cross the pulp of the tip of the left ring finger, measuring 1x 1cms.; it was muscle deep.

As per me injury Nos. 1 to 3 are grievous in nature; and Injury No.4 is simple in nature.

All the wounds might have been caused with sharp weapon.

I have issued Wound Certificate to this effect. On identification the Wound Certificate is marked as Ex.P17 and Signature of the Witness is marked as Ex.P17(a).

On 10.02.2021 District Hospital Vijayapur has received requisition from PSI, Golgumbaz PS alongwith four seized and sealed articles, with a request to give weapon opinion.

I have unsealed the said four articles, wherein the said articles were long metallic chopper like sword; metallic semicured single edged chopper like sword; single edged knife; and single edged straight chopper. I have examined the said articles and I have given by weapon opinion contending that the wounds shown in the Wound Certificate/ Ex.P-17 could be caused with the articles presented before me. On identification the Weapon Opinion is marked as Ex.P18 and Signature of the Witness is marked as Ex.P18(a).

After my examination I have sealed all the four articles separately and handed over them to the Police Official who had brought it, by affixing the seal; and handed over the sample seal.

Now, M.O.-1 to M.O.-4 present in the open Court are show to the witness; and questioned her to identify them. Witness identifies M.O.-1 to M.O.-4.

Cross-examination by Sri.MANK. Adv for Accused Nos. 1 to 5 :-

Nobody had accompanied the patient by name Wasim, so I have not mentioned the name of any of the person in the wound certificate/Ex.P-17.

It is true to suggest that, if a Patient comes of his own, then we will be mentioning as brought by self in the wound certificate. It is true to suggest that, I have not mentioned in the wound certificate/Ex.P-17 as the Patient had appeared personally, as Self.

It is true to suggest that, I have not mentioned identification marks of the Patient in the wound certificate/Ex.P-17.

It is true to suggest that, if a person at the time of working on machinery, comes in contact with sharp objects, then such person can sustained the injuries shown by me in the wound certificate/Ex.P-17.

Since the Patient was not admitted; and since the Patient was sent to higher center for further treatment, so I have not mentioned the date of discharge in the wound certificate/Ex.P-17.

It is false to suggest that, I have issued wound certificate/Ex.P-17 at the instance of Golgumbaz Police.

It is true to suggest that, I have not mentioned the description of the seal, with which I had sealed the articles, in the Weapon Opinion/Ex.P-18.

It is false to suggest that I have issued Weapon Opinion/Ex.P-18, at the instance of Golgumbaz Police.

Cross-examination by Smt. DRB. Adv for Accused Nos. 6 :-

(Smt. DRB Advocate for Accused No. 6 adopts the cross examination done to the witness on behalf of Accused Nos.1 to 5)

Re-examination - **Nil** -.

(Typed to my dictation in the open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(ABDUL-RAHIMAN .A. NANDGADI)
II Addl. District & Sessions & Spl.Judge,
VIJAYPURA.