

**In the Court of II Addl. District & Sessions and Special
Judge, VIJAYAPURA, At: VIJAYAPURA.**

SPL.C SC.ST NO.17/2020
PW No.36

**Witness examined as per Orders on IA No.2/2026 dated:
24.06.2026.**

Deposition of : Dr. Siddaraya Hanjagi
Father Name : Yashavantarao
Age : 46 years
Occupation : General Physician, Vendant Hospital,
Vijayapura
Residence : Vijayapura

Duly Sworn on: 03/07/2026.

Examination-in-Chief by Ld. Spl. P.P.:

I am serving as General Physician at Vendant Hospital, since,
10 years.

A patient by name Nagamma W/o. Shankar Imnad, Age: 34
years, R/o. Devapur, Tq: Babaleshwar has come to Vendant Hospital
with a history of Cylinder Blast at her home at 5.30pm on
30.12.2019, on the same day at 8.30pm; she was brought by her
relatives.

On having medical examination, she was shifted to ICU in the
Hospital; patient was stable, her pulse, B.P. and Oxygen saturation
was normal; she had superfecial to deep burnt injuries over her
both lower limbs, front portion of her right upper limb, on her back.
She had sustained nearly 50% burnt injuries to her entire body.

On 02.01.2020, at about 12.30pm, Tahashildar, Vijayapura had come to our Hospital, wherein he had enquired about the Censorium of injured Nagamma; upon my examination to the patient, the said patient was fully conscious and oriented; the said Tahashildar has enquired the patient, wherein the patient informed him that, she had sustained burnt injuries due to Cylinder blast; the same is got writtended. Witness further volunteers that, he has not heard as to what was the enquiry conducted by the Tahashildar; and he was not present during the interaction done by the Tahashildar with the patient.

Witness identifies his signature found in the Dying Declaration/Ex.P.117. On identification, signature of the witness is marked as Ex.P.117(e).

(The Learned Spl. PP prays to treat this witness partly hostile. Perused the entire file and the examination-in-chief of this witness. Hence permitted)

Cross Examination by Learned Spl. PP.

It is true to suggest that, when the Tahashildar was taking the Statement of injured Nagamma, I was personally present.

It is false to suggest that, injured Nagamma has expressed her suspicion upon her sisters Basavva/Accused No.1 and Durgavva/Accused No.2 behind the incident of she sustaining burn injuries.

It is false to suggest that, inorder to help the Accused persons I am deposing falsely that, injured Nagamma has not expressed any suspicion upon her sisters, as contended by me above.

Cross-examination by Sri. SHL Adv for Accused persons :-

As per the Hospital records, injured Nagamma was admitted to the Hospital by her husband/Shankar Imnad.

It is true to suggest that, when a person sustains burn injuries more than 30% to 35%, such patient will be treated as Critical patient.

It is true to suggest that, patient Nagamma when she was brought to the Hospital, she was in pain mode, she was shouting and screaming/crying. Inorder to pacify the pain, usually Sedatives and Pain killers will be administered.

As per the Case history of Nagamma/Ex.P.72, sufficient Pain Killers and Sedatives were given to Nagamma.

It is false to suggest that, on administering of Pain killers and Sedatives, the patient will be having Drowsiness and Sleepy.

Ex.P.117 is recorded on 02.01.2020.

It is false to suggest that, patient Nagamma was not in a position to give Statement as on 02.01.2020, due to sustaining of deep burn injuries and treatment offered to her.

It is false to suggest that, I have affixed my signature to Dying Declaration/Ex.P.117, prior to commencement of recording of Statement of patient Nagamma.

Re-examination - **Nil** -.

(Typed to my dictation in the open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(ABDUL-RAHIMAN .A. NANDGADI)
II Addl. District & Sessions & Spl.Judge,
VIJAYPURA.