

**IN THE COURT OF CIVIL JUDGE, HARAPANAHALLI**

**O.S. No. 206/2015.**

**Plaintiff / s : D. Fazalu Rahaman dead,  
Rep. By his LR's**  
**V/s**  
**Defendant / s : D. M. Umar Sab dead, Rep. By  
his LR's**

**:: I S S U E S ::~**

- 1. Whether the plaintiffs prove that themselves and the defendants are in joint possession of the suit schedule properties as tenant in common?**
- 2. Whether the plaintiffs prove that the defendants are trying to alienate the suit schedule properties?**
- 3. Whether the defendant No. 15, 18 and 19 prove that there was a earlier partition in the year 1980 among all the sons of D. M. Abubakarsab?**
- 4. Whether the defendant No. 15, 18 and 19 prove that item No. 1, 2, 5 and item No. 6 of suit schedule properties are self acquired properties of defendant No. 18 as contended in their written statement?**
- 5. Whether the defendant No. 15, 18 and 19 prove that item No. 3 of suit schedule property is self acquired property of defendant No. 19 as contended in their written statement?**
- 6. Whether the defendant No. 15, 18 and 19 prove that item No. 4 of suit schedule property is self acquired property of defendant No. 20 as contended in their written statement?**
- 7. Whether the defendant No. 15, 18 and 19 prove that suit is bad for non-inclusion of all the family properties?**
- 8. Whether the defendant No. 15, 18 and 19 prove that suit is barred by law of limitation ?**
- 9. Whether the defendant No. 15, 18 and 19 prove that the court fee paid by the plaintiffs is insufficient?**

10. Whether the defendant No. 22 proves that he had valid title, right, interest and possession over the item No. 2 of the suit schedule property as contended in his written statement?
11. Whether the plaintiffs are entitled for partition? If so, to what extent?
12. Whether the plaintiffs are entitled for mesne profits ?
13. What Order or Decree?

Place : Harapanahalli  
Date : 29-05-2020.

(Shobha. B. G)  
Civil Judge and JMFC  
Harapanahalli