

IN THE COURT OF PRL CIVIL JUDGE & JMFC HOSAPETE

CC No. 153/2017

PW. 6 CW. 8

Name of the witness : Dr. Asha Kori
Husband's name : Dr. B.R. Shivananda
Age : 52 years
Occupation : Senior specialist
Residence Hosapete

Duly sworn on: 19-04-2025

Examination in chief by : Sri. APP

I have been working as senior specialist for more than 20 years at 100 Bed Government Hospital at Hosapete.

On 28-09-2016 I examined one CW -1 at 12-30 p.m. with history of teeth pain for a long period. On examination the CW 1 explained the history of her pain. She complained that when she took root canal treatment in a private clinic, the doctor had left the piece of an instrument in the canal and put ceramic cap over it.

On clinical examination I found lower right and left second molar were tender on percussion. I referred the CW 1 to higher center for further investigation, IOPA and OPG radiograph and an endodontist opinion. Later, the CW 1 is did not turn up for further examination.

I have issued written communication to the Investigating Officer. The witness identifies her signature on it. The said document is marked as Ex.P. 9, Signature of the witness is marked as Ex.P-9 (a).

Cross examination by : Sri. CPY, Counsel for the accused.

I have studied MBS Orthodontics. The CW 1 did not complained against any particular doctor when she visited me.

It is true to suggest that the teeth of the CW 1 were already covered with ceramic cap when she visited me. I did not remove the said ceramic cap to examine the teeth. I did not take x-ray of the injured portion. The witness volunteers to state that the x-ray facility was not available when PW 1 visited. I did not refer the PW 1 to any x-ray center. I have referred the PW 1 for further investigation to the Govt. Higher center.

It is true to suggest that I have mentioned about history of the injury on the basis of statement given by PW 1. The witness volunteers to state that she has also clinically examined PW 1.

It is true to suggest that a patient has to be examined clinically, physically and radiologically. I do not know who has issued certificate regarding the injury found in the teeth of the PW 1. It is true to suggest that we maintain MLC register in the hospital. The PW 1 did not inform me that the case was MLC. The PW 1 requested me to write the Ex.P. 9. The PW 1 had come to me with complaint of pain only. It is true to suggest that the PW 1 approached me after completion of root canal treatment at SDM Hospital, Dharwad. I do not know for what purpose Ex.P. 9 was obtained from me. The witness volunteers to state that she gave it as referral letter.

We maintain out patient register to enter the particulars of the patient to come for treatment. The said register is in the hospital. I have not brought that register to the Court. It is true to suggest that I do not know the allegation made in the present case. It is true to suggest the I have come here to depose as I received summons from the Court.

Re-Examination : Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open court, corrected and then signed by me.)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Prl. Civil Judge & JMFC., Hosapete.