

**IN THE COURT OF THE 3<sup>rd</sup> A.D.J., AT HOSAPETE**

**S.C. No.5058/2020**

P.W. 01 C.W. 01

Duly Sworn on : 04-08-2025.

**Further Cross Examination by: Sri.S.C.P. Advocate for accused:-**

I have not stated in the FIS in the present case (which is marked at Ex.P.1) to the effect that since 3 years prior to the alleged incident, the accused was doubting the fidelity of my daughter. Likewise I have not stated in Ex.P.1 – FIS to the effect that since 3 years prior to the alleged incident, the accused used to quarrel with my daughter and used to assault her by saying that the daughter of my daughter is not born to the accused. Likewise I have not stated in Ex.P.1 – FIS to the effect that in connection with the above stated matter myself, my wife (CW.16) Smt.Susheelamma, CW.17 – Smt.Neelamma, CW.13 – Sri.Eligar Kotrappa, and CW.7 – Salmani Ningappa had been to Kunchuru village where the house of the accused is situated and held panchayath in the house of the accused. Likewise I have not stated in Ex.P.1 – FIS to the effect that in spite of advice given by us in the panchayath, the accused did not mend his ways. It is true that on 26.04.2019 I was not in Hyarada village but I was in Holalu village. On the previous day of the alleged incident I myself had sent my wife (CW.16) to the house of the accused at Kunchuru village. It is true that since the accused telephoned to me and told me that my daughter (deceased Smt.Pavitra) was not listening to the words of the accused and asked me to come to his house, I had sent my wife to his house at Kunchuru village. For the said reason my wife (CW.16) Smt.Susheelamma

went to the house of the accused. It is true that on 25.04.2019, my wife (CW.16) Smt.Susheelamma, CW.17 – Smt.Neelamma, and CW.18 – Smt.Dugatti Halamma had advised my daughter not to quarrel with accused. My wife (CW.16) Smt.Susheelamma had advised my daughter with regard to the fact that my daughter was not cooking food and she was quarreling with the accused.

Question:- Your wife telephoned to you and informed you that your daughter is not listening to their advice?

Answer:- False. My wife (CW.16) Smt.Susheelamma had gone outside to bring other persons. By the time she came back to the house of the accused, the accused ran away from his house taking along with him my daughter (the wife of the accused) and his daughter.

I do not know if my wife had gone to the house of Smt.Neelamma and Smt.Halamma had gone to her son's house (CW.19 – Sri.Chandrappa's house) after the said panchayath.

Question:- Not listening to the advice of my wife (CW.16) Smt.Susheelamma, CW.17 – Smt.Neelamma, and CW.18 – Smt.Dugatti Halamma, your daughter went out of the said house by taking her daughter?

Answer:- False. Accused has taken his wife and his daughter away from his house.

It is not true to suggest that my wife telephoned to me and told me that after she returned to the house of the accused, she found the accused

and his son Sri.Dugatti Lokappa (CW.15) in the house of the accused person. It is not true to suggest that my daughter had taken with her, her daughter Kum.Mamatha and went away from the house of the accused person during night hours and that I know the said fact.

Question:- Have you stated in Ex.P.1 – FIS to the effect that at that time, Accused had brought Jaragappanavara Ningappa to the residence, wherein the Jaragappanavara Ningappa told my wife that, my daughter Pavitra is having illicit affairs with another person, whom he has seen personally?

Answer:- I have not heard anybody saying like that to me. I have not stated so before the Police. The said statement is wrong.

It is true that even the accused has not told me the said fact to the effect that my daughter Pavitra is having illicit affairs with another person. In Ex.P.1 – FIS I have not stated before the Police to the effect that the accused had telephoned to me at 06.30 p.m. on 26.04.2019 and told me that he had murdered his wife (my daughter) and his daughter and that I can do whatever I want. The accused has not telephoned to me and told me about the alleged incident (murders) directly. I have not stated in Ex.P.1 – FIS to the effect that the accused had telephoned to CW.3 – Sri.Goneppa and CW.20 – Sri.Ravindra @ Hrishikeshi and informed them that the accused has murdered his wife and his daughter and they can do whatever they want. I have not stated in Ex.P.1 – FIS to the effect that when CW.20 – Sri.Ravindra @ Hrishikeshi had telephoned to the accused, the accused picked-up the phone call at which point of time the accused has told CW.20 – Sri.Ravindra @ Hrishikeshi to the effect that the

accused has murdered his wife and his daughter and he can do whatever he wants. I have not stated in Ex.P.1 – FIS to the effect that I have gone to Hullakkanagudda (scene of offence) along with the Police. I have not stated in Ex.P.1 – FIS to the effect that when I visited Hullakkanagudda (scene of offence) along with the Police we found the dead bodies of 2 deceased persons (my daughter, and my daughter's daughter). I have not stated in Ex.P.1 – FIS to the effect that the accused has murdered my daughter, and my daughter's daughter. The distance between Kunchuru village and Hyarada village is around 17 Kms. It is true that the accused is a son of my direct sister by name Smt.Halamma. It is true that I have a direct younger brother by name Sri.Bharmappa. It is true that I have filed suit against my said brother, said sister, and my mother Smt.Mudukamma for partition in the year 2016 bearing O.S.No.67/2016. It is true that the said case pertains to our ancestral land measuring 24 Acres and a house property. It is true that my sister Smt.Halamma has asked for a share in the suit properties in O.S.No.67/2016.

(Further Cross-examination of P.W.1 is deferred).

**(Typed to my dictation in the open Court)**

**R O I & A C**

**Sd/-**

**(D.P.KUMARA SWAMY)**

III Additional District & Sessions Judge,  
Ballari (sitting at Hosapete)