

**IN THE COURT OF THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
VIJAYANAGARA DISTRICT, HOSAPETE**

S.C. No.5061/2019

P.W. 10 C.W. 22

Name : Sri.Krishna Naik.
Father's Name : Sri.Annappa
Age : 42 years
Occupation : Police Inspector, Railway Police
Station, Davanagere
Residence : Davanagere

Duly Sworn on : 29-11-2025.

Examination-in-chief by: learned P.P.

On 15-09-2017, I received the investigation file from CW-21 Sri.Suresh.D., for further investigation. I have perused the investigation file. On 08-01-2018, I received a report from F.S.L., Bengaluru regarding the handwriting the deceased Sri.C.Basavaraj. On 31-01-2018, since the investigation was complete and I was satisfied that the allegation against the accused persons in connection with the alleged offence Under Section 306 and 506 Read with Section 34 of I.P.C., I have submitted charge sheet against the accused persons.

Now I see Ex.P7 which a diary wherein the death note of the deceased Sri.C.Basavaraj is found. It was received by me along with F.S.L., report. Ex.P.8 is also received by me along with F.S.L., report. F.S.L. report along with all its annexures (which includes a slip containing specimen seal of the expert and red ink page number 66 to 77) is now marked as Ex.P.14 and my signature therein is now marked as Ex.P.14(a).

Cross-examination by Sri. G.B.R., Adv for Accused Nos.1 :-

I do not know above educational qualification of the deceased Sri.C.Basavaraj. It is true that Ex.P.6 is an F.I.S., wherein no person is named as accused. The witness voluntarily states that based Ex.P.6 U.D.R was registered. It is true that in inquest Mahazar which is marked at Ex.P.3, no allegation is forthcoming against any of the accused persons. It is true that in spot Mahazar which is marked at Ex.P.4, no allegation is forthcoming against any of the accused persons. The persons who clicked the photographs which are marked at Ex.P.1 and Ex.P.2 is not cited as witness in the charge sheet and his statement is not recorded. It is true that a certificate Under Section 65B of Evidence Act is not obtained in respect of Ex.P.1 and Ex.P.2. It is true that a certificate Under Section 65B of Evidence Act is not obtained from the persons who has issued call details register which is produced along with the charge sheet.

It is not true to suggest that even though the accused persons have not committed the alleged offences, by hiding the true facts I have submitted false charge sheet against the accused persons for the alleged offences.

Cross-examination by Sri. L.U., Adv for Accused Nos.4 to 6 :-

The handwriting of the deceased Sri.C.Basavaraj found in Ex.P.7 diary is not the disputed handwriting but it is specimen handwriting of deceased Sri.C.Basavaraj. The handwriting of the deceased Sri.C.Basavaraj found in Ex.P.8 death note is the disputed handwriting of deceased Sri.C.Basavaraj. It is not true to suggest that Ex.P.7 and Ex.P.8 documents are created for the purpose of this case.

(He submits that he would adopt cross examination conducted by Sri.G.B.R. advocate).

Cross-examination by Sri. Y.M.M., Adv for Accused Nos.2 :-

He submits that he would adopt the cross examination recorded supra.

Accused No.3 in person submits that he would adopt the cross examination recorded supra.

(Typed to my dictation in the open Court)

R O I & A C

(D.P.KUMARA SWAMY)

Additional District & Sessions Judge,
Vijayanagara District, Hosapete.