

**IN THE COURT OF THE 3<sup>rd</sup> A.D.J., AT HOSAPETE**

**Spl.C.No.5003/2017**

P.W. 01 C.W. 03

Duly Sworn on : 20-05-2023.

**Cross-examination by Sri.G.V.P. Adv for Accused Nos.1, 2, 4 to 6:-**

On 03.02.2014, I have not received any Written instructions to attend the Office of the Lokayuktha Police, but my Higher Authorities had orally instructed me. I have not affixed my signature on the Attendance Muster Roll of my Office, for having attended my Office on 04.02.2014.

Prior to drawing of the Mahazar/Panchanama Proceedings, I did not make any efforts to know the name of the Complainant, but I was aware that, the said Complainant is from Chikkajogihalli village. I am not aware as to what were the allegations made by the Complainant in the Complaint with the Lokayuktha Police. I am not aware about the facts of the case, prior to me attending the Panchanama Proceedings.

C.W-23/Sundar Vadivelu, Assistant Engineer has submitted the Report before the Complainant/K.P.Rudresh, prior to conducting the Panchanama Proceedings. I am not aware about the contents of the Report submitted by C.W-23/Sundar Vadivelu. Filing of the Report by C.W-23/Sundar Vadivelu, is mentioned in the Mahazar drawn during Panchanama Proceedings. I have not read the Report submitted by C.W-23/Sundar Vadivelu.

It is true to suggest that, in the Mahazar there is no mention about the curves existing in each Lane of the village; and the number of curves in the said village. It is true to suggest that, in the Mahazar there is no mention about the width of the Lane; the length of the Lane; the diameter of the Lane; the curvature of the curves existing on the Lanes.

It is true to suggest that, while fixing 'T'-Bracket to the pipeline, pipeline on either sides of 'T' will be peirched into the 'T' for about 02 inches inches. It is true to suggest that, for getting fixed 'T'-Bracket and 'L'-Bracket in the course of dwelling the pipeline, there will be variation in the measurements. It is true to suggest that, in the Mahazar there is no mention about, as to how many 'T'-Brackets, 'L'-Brackets and Bend Brackets were used and utilized for dwelling the pipeline.

It is true to suggest that, the fact of digging the ground to verify the pipeline, interms of width and length of the Pits, have not been mentioned in the Mahazar.

It is true to suggest that, if all these aspects i.e., with regard to utilization of 'T'-Brackets, 'L'-Brackets and Bend Brackets; measurements of the width of the Lane, length of the Lane, curves in the Lane, curvatures of the Lane were taken into consideration in the Mahazar, then real facts would have been brought on record.

It is false to suggest that, I have affixed my signatures to the Mahazar and Panchanamas in the Office of the Lokayuktha. Witness volunteers that, he has affixed his signatures in the Spot. I do not

remember, as to how many spots we have visited while conducting the Panchanama, and while drawing the Mahazars.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely that, I have personally visited the Spots, while conducting the Panchanama and Mahazars; and I know the contents of the Mahazars drawn on 04.02.2014 and 05.02.2014.

I have affixed my signatures on the Panchanamas, around 06.00 p.m. to 06.30 p.m. in the evening, on the respective days. No any separate Panchanamas were drawn on each and every Spot of inspection of pipeline.

It is true to suggest that, there is no mention about deficit of the work to be done; the total estimate of loss of work done, in the Mahazar.

I have not personally seen the Writings of Raghavendra Typist on paper, written by him, prior to preparing the Computer Outputs in the form of printouts.

I have not affixed my signatures on the Computer printouts on verification of the contents of the computer printouts with that of the Writings/Rough Notes prepared by Raghavendra, Typist.

All the computer typing and printouts were taken at Chikkajogihalli village I.B.; and I have affixed my signatures at Chikkajogihalli village I.B.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely in this case, at the instance of Lokayuktha Police.

**Cross-examination by Accused No.3:-**

(Sri.L.C.M. Adv for Accused No.3 adopts the Cross Examination done on behalf of Accused Nos.1, 2, 4 to 6 to P.W-01.)

Re-examination - **Nil** -.

**(Typed to my dictation in the open Court)**

**R O I & A C**

**Sd/-**

**(ABDUL-RAHIMAN .A. NANDGADI)**  
III Additional District & Sessions Judge,  
Ballari (sitting at Hosapete)