

IN THE COURT OF THE 3rd A.D.J., AT HOSAPETE

Spl.C.No.5002/2015

P.W. 01 C.W. 03

Duly Sworn on : 03-03-2023.

Cross-examination by by Sri.U.B.R. Adv for Accused:-

My Higher Officer had informed me to attend the Office of the Lokayuktha about 12.00 noon on 23.07.2014. By the time I reach the Lokayuktha Office, already C.W-2 was present in the Office of Lokayuktha. It is false to suggest that, cleaner Bheemappa has not handed over 06 notes of Rs.100/- denomination to the Police in my presence.

I did not touch the currency notes at the time of demonstration of procedure by the Lokayuktha Police, but it was C.W-2 who had touched the currency notes. At that time, Lokayuktha Police did not get wash my hands, but got washed the hands of C.W-2, wherein the solution had turned into pink colour. The said solution was got seized and packed by the Lokayuktha Police in a separate container.

It is false to suggest that, C.W-1/P.W-2 never appeared before the Office of the Lokayuktha and filed a Complaint. It is false to suggest that, I have never accompanied the Complainant/C.W-1/P.W-2 to the check post. It is false to suggest that, no any Panchanama was drawn the Lokayuktha Police for delivering the powdered currency notes to C.W-1/P.W-2.

It is true to suggest that, the Hotel which I have referred in my Examination-in-chief at Page No.02, line No.4 is a Hut. It is true to suggest that, by the time we reach the said Hotel/Hut, it was already a dark evening.

The Lokayuktha Police have enquired with the Accused with regard to the Mobile Phone and had requested him to give the said Mobile Phone, but the Accused contended that, he is not possessing the Mobile Phone and did not return the Mobile Phone. It is true to suggest that, the Home Guard by name M.V.Manjunath/C.W-14 produced the Mobile Phone before the Lokayuktha Police.

It is true to suggest that, Accused had requested the Lokayuktha Police to get washed his hands, by contending that, he has not received any amount from the Complainant/C.W-1/PW-2.

It is false to suggest that, the Lokayuktha Police had forcibly asked the Accused to touch the currency notes.

It is false to suggest that, no any Sketch is drawn on the place, where the raid is conducted.

It is false to suggest that, I had accompanied Bheemappa; Bheemappa had given the tainted currency notes (06 currency notes of Rs.100/-) to the Accused; and the Accused on receiving the said notes had kept the said notes in his front shirt pocket.

It is false to suggest that, by the time we went to the check post, the Accused had sat inside the check post.

I have stated in my Statement given to the Lokayuktha Police as well as narrated in the Trap Panchanama that, I was standing at a distance of about 05 Feet, when Bheemappa was giving tainted currency notes to the Accused, as contended by me in my Examination-in-chief. It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely that, I have heard the conversation taken place inbetween the Bheemappa and the Accused.

It is false to suggest that, on handing over of Rs.600/- tainted currency notes to the Accused, Bheemappa made signal to the Lokayuktha Police. It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely that, on having the Trap, the Accused was taken to the Check post Office, wherein the hands of the Accused were washed with the solution, for which the said solution got turned into pink colour.

It is true to suggest that, the said Check post is under C.C.Tv surveillance. It is true to suggest that, C.C.Tv camera is not available in the check post Office, wherein the Panchanama proceedings are taken place. It is false to suggest that, since the entire proceedings will come to light through C.C.Tv footage, so the said proceedings were conducted in the Check post Office where C.C.Tv camera is not available. It is false to suggest that, no any Panchanama was drawn either on the place of Trap or in the Check post Office, but the Panchanama was got drawn in the Office of Lokayuktha and I have affixed my signature on the said Panchanama in the Office of the Lokayuktha.

It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely in this case, at the instance of Lokayuktha Police.

Re-examination - **Nil** -.

(Typed to my dictation in the open Court)

R O I & A C

Sd/-

(ABDUL-RAHIMAN .A. NANDGADI)
III Additional District & Sessions Judge,
Ballari (sitting at Hosapete)