

**IN THE COURT OF THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
VIJAYANAGARA DISTRICT, HOSAPETE.**

S.C. No.5008/2020

P.W. 07 C.W. 05

Duly Sworn on : 31.01.2026.

Further chief examination by Learned P.P. :

Today I have seen motorcycle not having registration number, in the Court premises. Which is seen in Ex.P.39 and 40 photos which are now shown to me. The said motorcycle is now marked as **M.O.17**.

Cross-examination by Sri. G.V.P., Adv for Accused No.1:-

I put my signature in attendance register in our office. If my superior officer gives me direction in writing I obey it. To a suggestion that in the case on hand my superior officer has not given me direction in writing, the reply is that the said day was Sunday. On the said day my superior officer was not present in the office. I have put my signature on all the photos taken by the Police Investigating Officer at the time of conducting mahazar.

I have not put my signatures on all the pages in Ex.P.24 mahazar. I have not observed the Police Investigating Officer examining the mobiles (seized under Ex.P.24) to know whether those mobile phones were or not in working condition. I have seen the Police Investigating Officer pasting the slips (containing my signatures) on the said 5 mobile phones. Those slips also contain the signatures of another pancha witness and that of the Police Investigating Officer. I have seen the Police Investigating Officer covering each one of the said 5 mobile phones with cloths and sealing each one of them. It is true that I can not say today all the facts noted in Ex.P.24 mahazar. It is true that the 5 mobile phones on which the said slips

were affixed by the I.O. are not found before the Court today. It is also true that the cloths with which the said 5 mobile phones were covered and sealed are not present before the Court today. It is true that I have put signatures on the papers shown by the Police. The witness volunteers that I have signed on the papers which were written on that day. The mahazar was dictated by the the Police Officer and scribed by a Police Constable. It is true that the Police constable scribed as per dictation of Police Officer and that on the said paper I have put my signatures. I have not seen as to whether or not any C.C. Camera is fixed in the Police Station. It is not true to suggest that the Police have not conducted any mahazar at the Police Station, not seized any mobile phones and not taken any photos (as deposed to by me supra). The Police have not taken my signatures on the photos taken at the Police Station.

Before leaving the Police Station for conducting other panchanamas, the Police have not conducted any preliminary panchanamas concerning the other panchanamas to be conducted elsewhere. Before leaving the Police Station, I was not aware about the facts concerning the other panchanamas to be conducted elsewhere. It is not true to suggest that the Police have not taken me to the house of the deceased and not seized any material objects like M.O.9 to 13 and not taken any photos in the said house. Slips containing signatures of we the pancha witnesses, and I.O. were affixed on M.O.9 to 13 and I have seen affixing of the said slips on M.O.9 to 13. It is not true to suggest that the accused persons have not led the Police officer and us to the said house, and not shown the said place of incident. It is not true to suggest that Ex.P.27 mahazar was not drawn by the Police officer in the said house in our presence.

Question: All the mahazars prepared on the said day were dictated by Police Officer and scribed by the Police constable?

Answer: True. The Police Officer was enquiring the accused at that time.

Ex.P.27 mahazar as conducted at the house of the deceased from 3.00 p.m. to 4.00 p.m.. I have not signed on all the pages in Ex.P.27 mahazar.

It is not true to suggest that we have not visited Basavaraja khana (as deposed to by me) and that no mahazar was conducted thereat as per Ex.P.33 and no photos were taken thereat as per Ex.P.34 and 35 in our presence.

It is not true to suggest that we have not visited Sadim Sab khana (as deposed to by me) and that no mahazar was conducted thereat as per Ex.P.36, that no photos were taken thereat as per Ex.P.37 to 40, and that no material objects like M.O.14 to 16 were seized thereat in our presence.

It is not true to suggest that we have not visited the place where eucalyptus tree is standing (which is situated nearby Unne Basavanna temple at Komaranahalli reserve forest area (as deposed to by me) and that no mahazar was conducted thereat as per Ex.P.41 and no photos were taken thereat as per Ex.P.42 to 45 in our presence.

It is not true to suggest that as per the instruction of Police Investigating Officer we have put our signatures in the above noted mahazars at the Police Station without visiting the above noted places. It is not true to suggest that the accused persons have not given any statement/s before the Police Investigating Officer leading to discovery of any fact and that the accused persons have not taken either the Police officers or ourselves to any places (as deposed to by me) and that I am deposing falsely regarding the said facts.

(Sri. K.Y. Advocate for accused no.2 submits that he would adopt the above cross examination on behalf of the accused no.2.)

(Typed to my dictation in the open Court)

R O I & A C

(D.P.KUMARA SWAMY)

Additional District & Sessions Judge,
Vijayanagara District, Hosapete.