

**IN THE COURT OF THE II ADDITIONAL CIVIL JUDGE AND
J.M.F.C.,
AT KUNDAPURA.**

**Present : Smt. Rohini D., B.A., LL.B.,
II Addl . Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.,
Kundapura.**

Dated this 13th day of February, 2025

O.S. No. 425/2021

Plaintiff/s : Smt. Nirmala Udupa and another

//Vs//

Defendant/s: Sri. Chandrashekhara Udupa and others

I S S U E S

- 1. Whether plaintiffs prove that they have been using a mud road width of 10 feet over the 'B' schedule properties to reach the 'A' schedule properties ?**
- 2. Whether plaintiffs prove that there is no alternative road to reach the 'A' schedule properties ?**
- 3. Whether plaintiffs prove that they have perfected right of easement either by prescription or necessity or grant ?**
- 4. Whether plaintiffs prove that defendants are interfering with the use of the road by plaintiffs ?**
- 5. Whether the defendant No. 1 proves that the suit is bad for non-joinder of necessary parties ?**
- 6. Whether plaintiffs are entitled for relief as sought for ?**
- 7. What order or decree ?**

**(Rohini D.)
II Addl. Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.,
Kundapura.**