

PBGD010034422026



Presented on : 17-03-2026

Registered on : 17-03-2026

Decided on : 19.03.2026

**IN THE COURT OF MS. BALJINDER SIDHU, ADDITIONAL
SESSIONS JUDGE, FAST TRACK SPECIAL COURT,
GURDASPUR**

UNIQUE IDENTIFICATION CODE NO. PB0100

Date of institution: 17.03.2026

Date of Decision: 19.03.2026

CIS no. BA-1441-2026

FIR no. 77 dated 07.03.2026

Under Section: 74/115(2)/191 (3)/190 of BNS
P.S. Civil Lines Batala

1. Vanshdeep Singh @ Vansh son of Lakhbir Singh

2. Lakhbir Singh son of Shingara Singh

3. Manjinder Kaur wife of Kashmir Singh

All residents of village Hassanpura Tehsil Batala, District
Gurdaspur.

.....Accused/applicants

Versus

State of Punjab

Present: First bail application under Section 482 BNSS
Shri B.S. Simble Adv., counsel for accused-applicants.
Shri Hardeep Kumar, Additional P.P. for State.

ORDER

1. This order of mine shall dispose off application seeking
bail under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhit on
behalf of above said accused/applicants.

2. Notice of bail application was given to State, consequent
upon which learned APP for the State and contested the bail
application.

3. In brief, the facts of the case are that present FIR was registered on the statement of Prosecutrix wife of Narinder Singh to the effect that she is a household lady and her husband was a truck driver, who was working with Kashmir Singh, but said Kashmir Singh did not pay the salary to her husband so her husband got off the truck and about Rs. 27,000/- salary of three months were pending against Kashmir Singh, but he was not paying the said amount due to repeated requests. The complainant further stated that on 13.02.2026 at about 07:30 PM, she along-with her son Harpal Singh went to the house of Kashmir Singh for taking money, but he refused to pay the money and at about 07:35 PM, she alongwith her son Harpal Singh were going towards their house; Manjinder Kaur called him and exhorted that lesson should be taught to them for demanding money. It was further stated by complainant that thereafter Manjinder Kaur along-with her son Navjot Singh armed with stick, Kashmir Singh empty handed, their relatives Vanshdeep Singh armed with stick and Lakhbir Singh came and Navjot Singh gave two blows which hit on her head and right elbow; Vanshdeep Singh gave stick blow which hit on her stomach and backside; Lakhbir Singh gave fist blow on her lips; Manjinder Kaur caught hold her from the hairs and threw on the ground due to which she got injured on her right knee; Kashmir Singh gave fist blows on her and thereafter Vanshdeep Singh gave slap blows on her face due to which causing a lot of pain in her teeth and jaw. In the meantime, Dalbir Singh armed with stick came at the spot and gave stick blow which hit on her left elbow, Manjinder Kaur torn her clothes and

pulled her hairs and due to torn her clothes, her modesty was outraged. The complainant raised alarm, “Mar Ditta, Mar Ditta” and on hearing, people of the locality gathered there and her relatives Gurjit Kaur and Sarabjit Singh came at the spot and on seeing them, all the assailants fled away from the spot along-with their respective weapons.

4. I have heard counsel for accused-applicants, learned Additional PP for the State and have also perused the record.

5. The counsel for the applicants/accused argued that applicants/accused are innocent and falsely implicated as no such occurrence was taken place and FIR was registered after the unexplained delay of 22 days. It was further argued that injuries attributed to the accused-applicants were on the non vital part of the body and were simple in nature and no offence under Section 74 of BNS is made out. The counsel for complainant further argued that it is a version and cross version case and nothing is to be recovered from the accused-applicants and prayed for grant them anticipatory bail.

6. On the other hand, while controverting the contentions put forth by learned counsel for accused-applicants, learned Additional PP for the State vehemently opposed the contentions put forth on behalf of the accused-applicants and argued that serious allegations of causing hurt and outraging the modesty of the complainant in connivance with other co-accused have been leveled against the accused/applicants and as such, they do not deserve the leniency of the court in grant of relief of bail and prayed for its dismissal.

7. After hearing rival contention of both the parties and perusing the record, it has come to forefront that the allegations against accused-applicants are that they along-with other co-accused in connivance with each other outraged the modesty of the complainant and caused injuries on her person. The perusal of record reveals that there are specific allegations against accused-applicant Manjinder Kaur that she torn the clothes of the complainant due to which her modesty was outraged. Even the complainant had specifically named the accused-applicants in her statement recorded by the police that they had caused injuries on her person and outraged her modesty, when she demanded three months salary of her husband. These allegations are very serious and grave in nature. The matter is still pending investigation and challan is yet to be presented. Therefore, there is every likelihood that if accused/applicants are granted bail, they may attempt to hamper the process of the investigation in one way or the other and as such without further delving into the merits of the case and keeping in view of the fact that there are specific and serious allegations against the applicants, the bail application of accused/applicant stands dismissed. Papers of bail application be consigned to record room.

Date of Order: 19.03.2026
Dilbag Singh Steno-I

(Baljinder Sidhu)
Additional Sessions Judge
Fast Track Special Court
Gurdaspur/UID no. PB0100