



CNR No. GANG01-001915-2022



Presented on: 12.10.2022

Registered on: 12.10.2022

Decided on: 19.10.2022

Duration: Years Months Days
-- -- 04

**IN THE COURT OF THE ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE
NORTH GOA, MAPUSA**

(Before Mrs. Kshama Joshi, Additional Sessions Judge,
Mapusa-Goa)

Anticipatory Bail Application No.242/2022

Mr.Swapnil Krishna Vaingankar,
Son of Krishna Vaingankar,
Aged 32 years, married, business,



R/o house number 227,

Dangui Colony,

Mapusa Bardez, Goa.

.....

Applicant

V/s

1. State

(Through Police Inspector

Mapusa Police Station

Mapusa, Bardez, Goa)

2. The Public Prosecutor,

Panaji Goa.

.....

Respondents

Applicant represented by Advocate V.V.Pandit at the time of arguments.

Respondents represented by P.P. A.Talaulikar at the time of arguments.

ORDER

(Delivered on this the 19th day of the month of October, of the 2022)

This Order shall dispose of an application for Anticipatory Bail filed by the applicant.



2. Arguments were advanced by Advocate for the applicant and PP for respondents. I have perused the application, reply filed by the respondents and considered the arguments advanced by Advocate for the applicant and PP for the State and the case papers.

3. It was argued that Mapusa police have registered offence under Section 506(ii) of Indian Penal Code against the applicant and two other persons and that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case as they are not in good terms with the complainant and therefore Anticipatory Bail be granted.

4. On the other hand, PP submitted that the investigation is in progress and Section 41A Notice was also issued to the applicant and his associates, however the applicant and his associates have failed to comply with the notice and hence Anticipatory Bail be rejected.



5. It is seen that since Notice under section 41A of Cr.P.C. has been issued by the IO, it is the duty of the applicant to co-operate for inquiry and hence, it cannot be said on perusal of the Section 41A Cr.P.C. that there is apprehension of arrest against the applicant. Hence, for the reasons stated herein above I pass the following.

ORDER

The Anticipatory Bail Application filed by the applicant shall stand dismissed accordingly.

Place : Mapusa

Dated: 19.10.2022

(Kshama Joshi)

Additional Sessions Judge, Mapusa.

Sj*