

MHLA030000982015



R.C.C. No.3/2015
The State Vs. Navnath &
Ors.

ORDER BELOW EXH. 113.

The accused no.3 Dhananjay Nagnath Raut has filed this application for grant of permission for renewal of passport.

2) It is stated therein that, the accused is holding passport bearing no. H7669318 and same has been expired on 22.10.2019. Therefore, he has applied for renewal of passport. However, passport authority has called no objection from this court. The accused is running his business by name and titled as Ankur Hightech Rope Vatika at Latur, moreover he is Manufacturer of Agarbatti, Dhupbatti, Havansamagri, Perfumery and Fragrance products at Latur. Therefore, the accused needs to go to foreign country for training in respect of his business. The accused undertakes that, he is ready to comply with all the conditions imposed by this court. He will remain present on each date before this court and shall not cause any obstruction in this proceeding.

2) The learned Assistant Public Prosecutor has resisted this application by submitting her say at Exh. 116. It is contended that, depending trial in respect of offences punishable under Section 143, 147, 148, 452, 114, 323, 504, 506 read with Section 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. According to learned APP, if permission is granted as sought, then, there is a likelihood that, accused will be absconded and that caused delay in this proceeding. On this background learned APP prayed to reject this application.

3) Heard both sides. Perused record. It seen that, the accused is charged for the offence punishable U/Sec.143, 147, 148, 452, 114, 323, 504, 506 read with 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. The bail has been granted to him, accordingly he has furnished surety. The evidence of prosecution is about to over. During trial at this stage, the accused was present before the

court. He has not misused the liberty granted to him. Even now onward, he is ready to remain present before the court. Now he is seeking for renewal of passport and not seeking permission to go abroad. The points raised by learned APP as regard to abscondance of accused will be taken into consideration, while dealing with his application to grant permission to go abroad. Therefore, this contention of learned APP is not acceptable at this stage. Considering the nature of offence and the business of the accused no.3, it cannot be said that the accused will abscond, if permission for getting passport is granted to him. However, it is submitted that, accused will not go to abroad unless permitted by this court. In view of same the present application deserves to be allowed. In the result, I pass the following order :-

ORDER

1.	Present application is allowed.
2.	This court has no objection to renew passport of accused no. 3 Dhananjay Nagnath Raut after complying the requisite conditions likely to be imposed by the passport authority, as per rules.

SD/-

(Shrinivas H. Panchal)
Judicial Magistrate First Class
Court No.4, Latur

Date: 20.03.2026
Place :- Latur