

ORDERS ON I.A.No.V

Counsel for respondent No.2 filed the I.A.No.V U/o 14 Rule 5 of C.P.C. and requested to frame the additional issues as sought in the application.

In the memorandum facts, respected counsel stated that: in para No.12 of the written statement respondent No.2 has taken the specific contention about the limitation and maintainability. The petition was filed after lapse of 6 months from the date of accident. The counsel requested to frame the additional issues in relating to limitation and maintainability.

On perusal of the papers, petitioner filed the I.A.No.1 U/s 5 of Limitation Act. Respondent No.2 filed the I.A.No.IV U/o 7 Rule 11(d) and requested to reject the petition on the ground of Limitation. This tribunal passed the considered orders on I.A.No.1 and IV on 20-01-2025. This Tribunal rejected the I.A.No.IV and allowed the I.A.No.1. The question of limitation was decided at the

time of adjudication of I.A.No.1 and IV. For that, it is not necessary to frame the additional issue with respect to limitation is concerned. Hence, pass the following:

ORDER

I.A.No.V filed by the respected counsel for respondent no.2 U/o 14 Rule 5 of C.P.C is hereby rejected.

Evidence of respondent as last chance.