

Witness recalled and duly sworn on 29-08-2016.

Cross-examination by: Sri.KSB Advocate for petitioner.

I am working as In-charge Asst. Executive Engineer in KPTCL, Udupi. I was working as Asst. Engineer in the year 2008-2010. Executive Engineer and Asst. Executive Engineer will decide in which lands the high power electric lines have to be laid. I was present when high-tension power electric lines were laid in the properties of petitioners. I am having knowledge about the location of properties of petitioners. There were about 20 cashew nut trees, one teak wood tree and other forest trees. There was no house in the property of petitioners. It is false to suggest that there was house of petitioners in the petition schedule properties. The house of the petitioners was at a distance of about 40-50 meters from the place where electric lines were laid. The total length of electric lines laid in the property of petitioners is about 100 meters and its width is about 7 meters. We are not held survey of the lands but we have conducted technical survey about where the electric lines have to be drawn. The distance between the property of the petitioners and national high way No.66 is about 1½ kms. There were 29 electric towers have been erected between Brhamavar to Manipal. One electric tower has been laid in the property of petitioner. There are about three electric towers between sub station situation in Herooru village and property of petitioners. The distance between sub station

situation in Herooru village and electric tower in property of petitioner will be available in technical survey report. It is false to suggest that the distance between NH-66 and property of petitioner is about 500 meters. It is false to suggest that we have not produced technical survey report to suppress the same.

2). Building cannot be constructed under the high-tension electric lines. The building cannot be constructed under the high-tension electric lines for a distance of 8 meters on both sides from center of lines. It is true that the conversation of land will not be granted in the corridor area measuring 16 meters. The area in which the tower has been erected is about 2 cents. The commercial activity cannot be done for a distance of 8 meters on both sides from center of tower. The voltage passes in the high-tension electric lines is 110 kv. The radiation of 110 kv voltage is about 3.5 meters around the electric lines. It is false to suggest that the radiation of 110 kv voltage is about 10-15 meters around the electric lines. The length of the tower erected in the property of petitioner is about 20 meters. The height of the tower will be mention in technical survey report. I do not know if it is suggested that the Brahmavar and area in which property of petitioners is situated is developing area. It is false to suggest that Supreme Feeds, Krishna Milk Production unit, Agriculture Research centre are situated near the property of petitioners. Supreme Feeds

and Krishna Milk Production unit are situated at the distance of 600-700 meters from the property of petitioners. Little Rock school is situated at a distance of 2½ kms. from the property of petitioners. I do not know that the lands situated around the property of petitioners are converted lands and it is a developing area. It is true to suggest that due to the electric high tension lines the petitioners cannot convert their land. The witness volunteers that agriculture activity can be carried in the property of petitioners.

3). The valuation of the trees cut in the property of petitioners has been got done through Forest and horticulture departments. We have not issued notice in writing the date of valuation of the trees cut in the property of petitioners. But the petitioners were present at the time of said valuation. It is false to suggest that we have not correctly shown the number of trees cut in the property of petitioners. The timber of cut trees has been handed over to petitioners. It is false to suggest that the timber of cut trees has not been handed over to petitioners. It is false to suggest that due to laying of high-tension electric lines in the property of petitioners has become useless. It is true that high going trees cannot be grown below the high-tension electric lines. It is false to suggest that the petitioners have sustained loss due to laying of high-tension electric lines in the property of petitioners. It is false to suggest that the

respondent is liable to pay the compensation claimed in the petition.

Re-examination: NIL.

(Dictated and typed in open court)

R.O.I. & A.C

Prl.Dist. & Sessions Judge,
Udupi.