



IN THE COURT OF THE CIVIL JUDGE
AT SIDDAPUR

O. S. No. 33 / 2025

PLAINTIFFS : : SMT.VEENA & ANOTHER

-V/s-

DEFENDANTS : : RAMACHANDRA LAXMAN NAIK & 04 ANOTHER

I S S U E S

1. Whether the plaintiffs prove that, the suit properties are their ancestral and joint family properties of plaintiffs and defendants and they are in joint possession of the same and they have got right to claim share over the suit properties?
2. Whether the plaintiffs further prove that, the alleged Gift deed bearing SR No.SRS-1-01241-2007-08 dated: 05.12.2007 which is registered on 13.12.2007 which is executed by the defendant No.1, in favour of the defendant No.2 with respect to the suit item No.1 property is not binding on their share over the same?
3. Whether the plaintiffs further prove that, the alleged Gift deed bearing SR No. SRS-1-00459-2018-19 dated: 24.08.2018 executed by the defendant No.2, in favour of the defendant No.3 with respect to the suit item No.1 property is not binding on their share over the same?
4. Whether the plaintiffs further prove that, the alleged Sale deed bearing SR No. SRS-1-00325-2005-06 dated: 13.05.2005 which is registered on 18.05.2005 executed by the defendant No.2, in favour of the defendant No.5 with respect to the suit item No.5 property is not binding on their share over the same?
5. Whether the plaintiffs further prove that, the alleged Sale deed bearing SR No. SRS-1-00326-2005-06 executed by the defendant No.1, in favour of the



defendant No.4 with respect to the suit property is not binding on their share over the same?

6. Whether the plaintiffs further prove that, the alleged Sale deed bearing SR No. SRS-1-00044-2022-23 dated: 20.04.2022 executed by the defendant No.3, in favour of the defendant No.5 with respect to the suit item No.1 property is not binding on their share over the same?
7. Whether the plaintiffs further prove that, the alleged Sale deed bearing SR No. SRS-1-00798-2024-25 dated: 31.01.2025 which is registered on 18.05.2005 executed by the defendant No.2, in favour of the defendant No.6 with respect to the suit item No.6 property is not binding on their share over the same?
8. Whether the defendants prove that, a legally valid partition has already taken place in their family?
9. Whether the suit is properly valued and requisite court fee is paid on the plaint?
10. Whether this Court has got pecuniary jurisdiction to try this suit?
11. Whether the defendants prove that, the suit of the plaintiffs is barred by Law of Limitation?
12. Whether the defendant No.2 proves that, the suit item No.5 & 6 properties are his self acquired properties and thereby the plaintiffs have not right, title and interest over the same?
13. Whether the plaintiffs are entitled for the relief claimed in the suit?
14. What order or decree?

Date : 09.12.2025

[UMESH MP]
Civil Judge,
Siddapur



Case called out.
Parties are absent.

Both the counsels submitted that,
there are no chances of settlement.
Hence, case is posted for issues.

Issues framed and read over in the
open court.,

Hence, for the list of witness, list
of documents and plaintiffs'
evidence.,

Call on 19.12.2025

Civil Judge,
Siddapur

KAUK910003052025



KAUK910003052025

