

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL CIVIL JUDGE, KUMTA.
Original Suit No. 169/2016.

1. Ramayya Babu Patagar
and 2 others

... Plaintiffs.

V/s

1. Madhav Babu Patagar,
and 2 others

... Defendants.


ISSUES

1. Whether the plaintiffs prove that suit schedule properties item No. A 1 to A 12, A 14, A 15 and item No. 'B' properties are the joint family properties of plaintiffs and defendants No. 1 and 2 ?
2. Whether plaintiffs prove that plaint hand sketch clearly depicts the structures and pathways ?
3. Whether plaintiff No.1 proves that suit schedule property item No. A 13 is his self acquired property alongwith defendant No. 1 and 2 ?
4. Whether plaintiff No.1 proves that sale deed executed by defendant No.1 in favour of defendant No.3 is not binding on him ?
5. Whether plaintiffs prove that suit schedule properties item No. 14 and 15 are gajani lands and they are available for partition ?

g

6. Whether defendants No.1 and 2 prove that suit schedule properties were tenanted properties and they have been separately granted by Land Tribunal ?
7. Whether plaintiffs are entitled for the relief of partition and separate possession ?
8. Whether plaintiffs are entitled for the relief of mesne profit ?
If so what extent ?
9. What order or decree ?

Dated this 3rd day of November 2018.


(Vignesh Kumar)
Prl. Civil Judge, Kumta