

In the court of the Prl. Civil Judge, Kumta.

(Dated this 1<sup>st</sup> day of July, 2012)

O.S.No. 68-2014

- (Roopashri)  
Pr. Civil Judge, Kumta
1. Meera Anant Shanbhag,  
R/o Bangalore and another

.....Plaintiffs.

/Vs/

1. Ganapayya Anant Shanbhag,  
R/o Sirsi and two others.

.....Defendants.

ISSUES

1. Whether the plaintiffs prove that they and defendants are the members of joint family and suit schedule properties are their joint family properties?
2. Whether the defendants prove that one Mukunda Babu Pai has got 1/7<sup>th</sup> share in item No.1 of suit schedule properties, and one Appa Raya Shanbhag has got 1/2 right in item No.5 of the suit schedule properties?
3. Whether the defendants proves the registered release deed dated 22-12-2011 and release of the share of defendant No.1 and 3 in respect of item No.1 of suit schedule properties in favour of defendant No.2?
4. Whether the defendants prove that Sy.No.43/5 measuring 0-2-4 cents in the ancestral property of the parties to the proceedings?
5. Whether the court fee paid by the plaintiffs is insufficient?
6. Whether the suit filed by the plaintiffs is bad for non joinder of necessary parties and for partial partition?

7. What order or decree?

In the court of the Prl. Civil Judge, Kunita  
( Dated this 1<sup>st</sup> day of July, 2015 )  
O.S.No. 68-2014

( Roopashri )  
Prl. Civil Judge, Kunita  
R/o Bangalore and another

.....Plaintiffs.

vs

.....Defendants.

1. Ganapayya Anant Shambhag  
R/o Sirsi and two others.

### ISSUES

1. Whether the plaintiffs prove that they and defendants are the members of joint family and suit schedule properties are their joint family properties?
2. Whether the defendants prove that one Mukunda Babu Pai has got 1/7<sup>th</sup> share in item No.1 of suit schedule properties, one Appa Raya Shambhag has got 2/7<sup>th</sup> right in item No.2 of the suit schedule properties?
3. Whether the defendants proves the registered release deed dated 22-12-2011 and release of the share of defendant No.1 and 3 in respect of item No.1 of suit schedule properties in favour of defendant No.2?
4. Whether the defendants prove that S.No.43/2 measuring 0-2-4 cents in the ancestral property of the parties to the proceedings?
5. Whether the court fee paid by the plaintiffs is insufficient?
6. Whether the suit filed by the plaintiffs is bad for non joinder of necessary parties and for partial partition?