

Case is advanced on board and called out.

Accused No.4 is present.

Counsel Sri V.R.N., advocate filed vakalath for accused No.4 along with bail application U/Sec.480 of BNSS.

Learned APP filed objection to bail application U/Sec.480 of BNSS.

Heard both side counsels on bail application U/Sec.480 of BNSS.

**ORDER ON BAIL APPLICATION UNDER
SECTION 480 OF B.N.S.S., FILED ON
BEHALF OF THE ACCUSED No.4**

In the bail application, it is averred that, accused person is innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the police officials. Further stated that, the offences alleged against the accused person punishable U/Sections 303(2), 317(2) of B.N.S. The said offences are triable by this court. Further contended that, alleged offences are non bailable offences but not punishable with death or imprisonment of life. Further, counsel for the accused person submits that the accused person is ready to obey any conditions impose by

this court, hence, prays for allow the said application.

2. On the other hand, the learned A.P.P. has filed objection, wherein stated that accused person is committed offences punishable U/Sections 303(2), 317(2) of B.N.S. Further this offences are non-bailable in nature. If this court grant the bail to the accused person then they may chance to abscond and hamper and tamper the prosecution witness. Hence, prays to reject the bail application filed by the accused person.

3. Heard the argument of both the counsels and perused the materials available on record.

4. The only point that arises for my consideration is:

1. Whether the accused person is entitled for the bail?
2. What order?

5. My answer to the above points are as follows:

Point No.1: In the **Affirmative.**

Point No.2 : As per final order for the following:-

REASONS

6. **Point No.1:** This court has considered the above bail application along with the objection filed by the learned A.P.P. After perusal

it reveals that the offences alleged against accused person is punishable U/Sections 303(2), 317(2) of B.N.S. which are non-bailable in nature.

However, though the alleged offences are non bailable in nature, the same are not punishable with death or imprisonment of life. Further the said offences are triable by this Court only. The appearance of accused person before the court can be secured without any hurdles.

The apprehensions of the prosecution can safeguarded by imposing suitable conditions. Moreover, police officials have already completed the investigation and filed charge sheet before the court.

On the other hand, the accused person made out valid grounds for enlarging on bail. The grounds urged by the prosecution do not appear sufficient and satisfactory for denying the right of the accused person to be enlarged on bail.

The accused person is ready to offer surety for he release on bail and abide by the conditions which would be imposed on them. Hence, by imposing stringent conditions, the apprehension of prosecution would be safeguarded and as such, accused person is entitled for the bail. In the light of foregoing discussions, this court is opinion that the accused person is entitled to be enlarged on bail. **Hence, I answer point No.1 in the Affirmative.**

7. Point No.2 :- As per the following:-

ORDER

The bail application filed by the counsel for the accused No.4 U/Sec. 480 of BNSS., is hereby allowed.

The accused No.4 is enlarged on bail on executing personal bond for sum of Rs. 50,000/- with one surety for the like-sum with the following conditions:

1. The accused No.4 shall not tamper or terrorize the prosecution witnesses.
2. The accused No.4 shall not leave the jurisdiction of this court without prior permission.
2. The accused No.4 shall appear before the court on all adjourned dates.
3. The accused No.4 shall not commit any type of similar offenses.

SD/-

**Addl. Civil Judge & JMFC.,
Honnavar.**

Counsel Sri V.R.N., advocate filed S/A, D/A, and E-Swattu along with xerox copy of aadhar card of surety.

Surety present before the court.

One person by name Ganapati Madeva Naik, Aged about 42 years, Occupation: Agriculturist, R/o Sharadahole, Shirali, Tq: Bhatkal, Uttara Kannada District is present is ready and willing to offer surety. He has filed surety affidavit along with declaration U/Sec. 486 of BNSS., with his E-Swattu bearing No.152700201100120379, situated at

Mavalli village, Mavalli Gram Panchayat, Bhatkal Block, Uttara Kannda District and photo along with xerox copy of aadhaar card for his identification. Surety inquired, found sufficient, hence surety accepted.

Perused the surety affidavits and its enclosures. Wherein it is stated that the accused No.4 is well known to the surety and he is ready and willing to stand as surety to the said accused No.4. Further, the surety has undertaken that he will abide all the conditions imposed by this court. Therefore, this court feels that the surety is sufficient. Hence, the surety is accepted.

Office is hereby directed to take personal & surety bonds accordingly.

Copy of the charge sheet furnished to the accused person as per Sec.230 of BNSS.

Re-issue summons to Accused No.1 to 3.

Call on 04-08-2025

SD/-
**Addl. Civil Judge & JMFC.,
Honnavar.**