

IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE (POCSO ACT),
AT SOLAPUR.

MHSO010014812016 Criminal Bail Application No. 533/2026



Annapurna Athawale & Others
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra

Bail application under Section 482 of BNSS

Necessary Particulars.

A)	Case details:	
1.	FIR number and date	161/2026 dated 27.03.2026
2.	Police Station, District, State	Solapur Taluka Police Station, Solapur, Maharashtra.
3.	Sections invoked	U/secs. 137(2), 64(2) (i) (m) of BNS and U/secs. 4, 6, 8, 12 and 17 of POCSO Act.
4.	Maximum Punishment prescribed	Life Imprisonment.
B)	Custody and procedural compliance	
1.	Date of Arrest	NA
2.	Total period of custody undergone	NA
C)	Status of trial :	
1.	Stage of proceeding	Investigation
2.	Total number of witnesses cited in the charge-sheet	NA
3.	Number of prosecution witnesses examined	NA

D)	Criminal antecedents :	Nil
1.	FIR and Police Station	Nil
2.	Sections	Nil
3.	Status	Nil
E)	Previous bail applications :	Nil
1.	Court	
2.	Case number	N.A.
3.	Outcome of case	N.A.
F)	Coercive process :	N.A.
1.	Whether any non-bailable warrant was issued	N.A.
2.	Whether declared a proclaimed offender	N.A.

ORDER BELOW EXH.01

(Passed on this 02nd July, 2026)

Applicants have filed this application for grant of anticipatory bail under section 482 of B.N.S.S.

02. Perused application, say filed by the learned APP (Exh.6) and say of the victim at Exh.7. Heard learned advocate Mr. V.D. Kapure appearing for the applicants and Ld. APP Mr.Kurdukar.

03. The victim girl(Age-16 year) is a daughter of the informant. Son of the applicant namely Mangesh(co-accused) is a private teacher and taught baseball to the victim girl. Mangesh had given a mobile to the victim girl. Her mother told said fact to the informant. The informant told the victim girl to stop talking with Mangesh. On 24.03.2026 at 9:30 PM, the

victim left her home without telling her parents. Later on, she found at one petrol pump. That time victim told the informant that accused had taken her away after telling her that he will recruit her in police department from sport quota. Subsequently, the informant kept the victim at a place of his maternal uncle. On 26.03.2026 at about 8:00 PM, the informant's brother-in-law made a call to him and told that the victim girl had left his home. After checking the CCTV footage, they found that the victim girl had gone with Mangesh on a motorcycle. Therefore, on 27.03.2026, the informant filed the first information report against the accused. Applicant No. 1 & 2 are parents of Mangesh.

04. Notice of this application is given to the victim. In her reply, the victim stated that Mangesh had taken her to his parents. His mother brought them at the place of maternal aunt of the accused Mangesh. All accused harassed the victim. Therefore, victim prayed for rejection of the application.

05. Learned advocate for the applicants submitted that name of the applicants is not mentioned in the FIR. It is alleged in the remand report that the applicants abated the commission of the crime. Apart from this, there is no material on record to show that applicants are involved in the crime. Nothing is to be recovered or discovered at the instance of the applicants. They have been falsely implicated only on the ground that they are parents of main accused Mangesh. There is no need of custodial

integration of the applicants. The applicants are ready to abide condition imposed on them. Therefore, learned advocate prayer for their release on bail.

06. Per contra, learned prosecutor submitted that offence is of serious nature. The applicants are also involved in the crime. Investigation is in progress. Custodial interrogation of the applicants is also necessary. Therefore, learned prosecutor prayed for rejection of the application.

07. I have gone through the record. The victim stated in her say that the applicants also harassed her. Names of the applicants are not mentioned in the first information report. In view of order below Exh.04 the Court granted interim anticipatory bail to the applicants. The investigating officer mentioned in his say that the accused/the applicants attended the police station. It appears that the applicants have co-operated the investigation. Considering the role of the applicants, their custodial interrogation is not necessary. Under such circumstances and for the reasons mentioned above, in my opinion, the applicants are entitled for anticipatory bail. Consequently, this application deserves to be allowed. Hence, in the result, I pass the following order.

ORDER

01. The application is allowed.

02. Interim pre-arrest bail order dated 09.06.2026 is hereby confirmed.
03. The applicants shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court.
04. The applicants shall attend concerned police station as and when required by the Investigating Officer till the filing of the charge sheet.
05. Violation of any above condition may entail a ground for cancellation of bail forthwith.
06. Inform concerned police station accordingly.

Place : Solapur
Date : 02/07/2026

(A. R. Ubale)
Special Judge (POCSO),
Solapur.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file Judgment/Order are same word to word as per the original Judgment/Order.

- a) Name of the Stenographer : R.P. Sakinal, Steno. Grade-2
- b) Court : District Judge-4 and
Special Judge (POCSO Act),
Solapur.
- c) Date of Judgment/Order : 02.07.2026
- d) Judgment/Order signed by
the Presiding Officer on : 02.07.2026
- e) Judgment/Order uploaded on : 02.07.2026