

CS (Comm) No. 2289-22
Bank of Baroda Vs. M/s Italian Luggage House

28.04.2023

Present:- Ms. Pooja Sisodia, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
None for defendant.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has filed an application for substitution of AR. She submits that earlier AR Sh. Anshuman Sharma has been transferred to some other branch of plaintiff bank. It is thus prayed that Sh. Manish Kumar Kathore, be substituted as new authorized representative in place of Sh. Anshuman Sharma. Copy of power of attorney in favour of Sh. Manish Kumar Kathore has been filed.

In view of the submissions made and averments made in the application which is duly supported by an affidavit of Sh. Manish Kumar Kathore and the application being formal in nature stands allowed. Sh. Manish Kumar Kathore stands substituted as authorized representative of plaintiff. The present application stands disposed off.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has also filed an application under Order V Rule 20 CPC for service of defendant through substituted mode.

Arguments heard.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that no other address of defendant is available with the plaintiff, hence defendant be served by way of publication.

In view of the submissions made, I allow the

application. Let defendant be served by way of affixation at the last known address as well as publication in the newspaper “*Hindustan*”, having circulation in the vicinity of defendant on filing PF and publication charges. Steps be taken within ten days. Request of *dasti* allowed.

Put up on **13.07.2023**.

(Sanjeev Kumar Aggarwal)
District Judge (Commercial Court)-01,
Central, Tis Hazari Courts,
Delhi/28.04.2023