

**IN THE COURT OF SH. SUSHIL ANUJ TYAGI,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-04, CENTRAL,
TIS HAZARI COURTS, DELHI.**

CNR No. DLCT01-000117-2025-2020

CR No. 08/2025



Iqbal Ahmed

S/o. Sh. Sirajuddin

R/o. H. No. 1049, Mohalla Kishan Ganj,
Teliwara, Azad Market, Delhi.

.... Revisionist

Vs.

State

.... Respondent

Date of institution of revision	:	09.01.2025
Date on which order reserved	:	10.01.2025
Date on which order pronounced	:	10.01.2025

ORDER

1. This is a revision petition against the impugned order dated 20.12.2024 passed by Ms. Tania Singh, Id. ACCM, Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi, the Id. Trial Court vide which the application of the revisionist seeking permission to travel to Saudi Arabia from 13.01.2025 to 01.02.2025 for religious purpose was allowed.
2. It is contended by the Counsel for the revisionist that the Id. Trial Court had imposed condition of furnishing FDR

in the sum of Rs. 5 Lacs in the name of the Court which is a very harsh condition upon the revisionist as he is belonging to middle class family and he does not have financial capacity to deposit the FDR of such amount. It is further contended that if such condition shall prevail then the revisionist shall not be able to travel as he would not be able to comply with such direction. It is contended that considering the financial condition of the revisionist, the impugned order may be modified. Ld. Counsel has relied upon one judgment i.e. *Syed Abu Ala vs. NCB*, CrI. Appeal No. 1294/2010 of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

3. Ld. Addl. PP for the State with the assistance of the IO submits that there is no illegality in the impugned order and that in a recent judgment, the revision petition on similar facts have been rejected by the Hon'ble High Court.
4. I have heard the arguments and gone through the judicial record.
5. Vide impugned order, the Id. Trial Court has allowed and permitted the revisionist to visit Makka Madina from 13.01.2025 to 01.02.2025 for religious purpose subject to certain conditions.
6. One of the condition imposed upon the revisionist is to furnish FDR of Rs. 5 Lacs which shall be returned to the revisionist after his return from abroad. The revisionist has shown his financial incapacity to deposit the FDR of such

amount and it is submitted that the revisionist is going for religious tour and not on a leisure trip.

7. Considering the submissions on behalf of the revisionist and the financial position portrayed of the revisionist, the condition of furnishing FDR of Rs. 5 Lacs seems to be a harsh condition on the revisionist which if allowed to stand, will curtail the right of the revisionist to travel abroad for religious purpose.
8. In such circumstances, the impugned order dated 20.12.2024 passed by the Id. Trial Court is hereby modified to the extent that the amount of FDR is reduced from Rs. 5 Lacs to Rs. 1 Lac. Rest of the impugned order remains intact.
9. The revision petition stands allowed in above terms.
10. Copy of this order be given dasti to the revisionist.
11. Copy of this order be also sent to the Id. Trial Court for information and necessary action.
12. Revision file be consigned to Record Room.

**Pronounced in open court
on 10th January, 2025**

**(SUSHIL ANUJ TYAGI)
Additional Sessions Judge-04
Central, Delhi, THC, Delhi.**