

**IN THE COURT OF THE CIVIL JUDGE AT ANKOLA**

**ORIGINAL SUIT NO.24/2019**

**PLAINTIFF :** Smt. Radha kom Raya Naik

**-Vs-**

**DEFENDANTS:** 1. Sri. Laxman Govind Naik & others

**ISSUES**

1. Whether the plaintiff proves that the first defendant and plaintiff belong to joint family.?
2. Whether the plaintiff proves that the item No. 1 of the suit schedule property i.e., property bearing Sy. No. 46/1 measuring 0.11.00 situated at Bhavikeri village, Ankola Taluk in which an house situated belonging to Smt. Bhimya Govind Nayak was acquired by the Government for Seabird Neval Base and compensation of Rs. 3.68.000/- has been granted out of which the plaintiff is having half share in that.?
3. Whether the plaintiff proves that the item No. 2 of the suit schedule property bearing Sy. No. 616/3 totally measuring 1.05.00 situated at Belekeri village of Ankola Taluk, out of which an extent measuring 0.22.08 belongs to plaintiff and defendant No. 1 and the plaintiff is having half share in said property.?
4. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for the relief as sought for.?

## ISSUES ON COUNTER CLAIM.

5. Whether the defendant No. 1 proves that the father of the defendant No.1 i.e., Govind Tukkappa Nayak had executed a will in favour of defendant No. 1 bequeathing his share of the property in the item No. 2 of the suit schedule property consequently item No. 2 of the property have been granted to the defendant No. 1.?
  
6. Whether the defendant No. 1 proves that he alone is entitled for the entire compensation amount granted towards acquiring the house situated in the portion of 'A' schedule property.?
  
7. Whether the defendant No. 1 is entitled for the relief of declaration that he is the owner of property measuring 0.22.08 out of 1.05.00 property bearing Sy. No. 616/3 situated at Bhavikeri village of Ankola Taluk.?
  
8. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for the relief as sought for?
  
9. What order or decree?

16.03.2020  
Ankola

**(Rangaswamy J)**  
Prl.Civil Judge, Ankola