

Duly Sworn on: 04-03-2022

**Cross Examination : By Sri. A.D.C for plaintiff :**

It is true to suggest that Abdul Razak Abdul Momin is the Uncle of Ahmed Momin. It is true to suggest that they are the permanent residents of Hosali of Karwar Tq. It is not true to suggest that Abdul Razak Momin and Ahmed Momin were doing sale of stainless steel jointly. It is true to suggest that their shop is situated in front of Bai Kuvar High School, Karwar. I do not have any idea about the Survey Number of shop. There are documents to show that I was sitting in the said shop. I can produce those documents before the court. It is not true to suggest that the shop No. 484 was jointly purchased by Abdul Razak Momin and Ahmed Momin. Witness volunteers that the said shop was purchased by Abdul Razak Momin only.

I am aware about the averments of the plaint. I am not aware about the averments made in para 3 of page No. 2 of plaint about the sale deed. 07-01-1994. It is not true to suggest that I am aware about sale deed dtd 07-01-1994. It is not true to suggest that I am deposing false evidence. I have gone through the plaint averment before filing my written statement. I have gone through the documents produced by plaintiff before the court. I have gone through the sale deed dtd. 07-01-1994. It is not true to suggest that Abdul Razak Momin and Ahmed Momin have jointly purchased

land measuring 3 gunta 11 anas from the funds derived from their joint business. It is true to suggest that Mariyambi has purchased 3 guntas 9 anas. It is not true to suggest that the property bearing CMC No. 1432/1 is standing in the name of Abdul Razak Momin. But the said property is jointly standing in the name of Abdul Razak Momin and Kulsumbi Momin. There are documents to show that the said property is jointly standing in the name of Abdul Razak Momin and Kulsumbi Momin. I have contributed more than 75% of money to construct the said house. I was working in Gulf countries while constructing the house. There are documents to show that I was working in Gulf countries and contributed money towards construction of house. I was aged about 30 years at the time of construction of said house. I have passed SSLC examined and thereafter I did Diploma course and thereafter discontinued my education.

It is not true to suggest that I am the permanent resident of Canacon. Witness volunteers that I was residing at Karwar, since my childhood. It is true to suggest that my parents were the permanent residents of Canacon. But I was residing at Karwar since my childhood. It is true to suggest that I have brothers and sisters.

It is not true to suggest that there was a family dispute with respect to our family properties. It is true to suggest that I have

Ration card in my name. It is true to suggest that my parents were taking ration materials by using the said ration card. It is not true to suggest that my name, the name of my mother and name of Rahidabi were mentioned in the ration card. Witness volunteers that my name and the name of my mother alone mentioned in the ration card. It is true to suggest that I am a married person. It is true to suggest that I have children. My children are residing in India. My son and my daughter are studying at Bombay. I do have ration card in India in the name of my parents in the address of Goa and later on it was transferred to Karwar. The address of the Karwar is Masjid Road, Near KEB, Karwar. It is not true to suggest that I have taken a defense in my written statement that I am not working in Gulf countries.

(At this stage, further cross is deferred at the request of learner counsel for plaintiff)

(Typed to my dictation in the Open Court. )

R.O.I. & A.C.

Prl. Senior Civil Judge,  
Karwar.