

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE;
U.K.; KARWAR.
Sessions Case No. 24/2015

C.W. 30 as P.W. 23

Name : Dr.Gajanan Naik

Father's name: Hosabanna Naik

Duly sworn on: 24-07-2024

Age: 59 Years

Occupation: DEEN and Direction, Govt. Medical College, Karwar

Residence: Karwar

Examination in Chief by PP.

1. From 24.02.1999 to 09.01.2020 I worked in different capacities at KIMS Hospital Hubballi. In the year 2015 I was working as Associate professor in department of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology in the said hospital. On 05.03.2015 I received requisition from Taluka Executive Magistrate Hubballi concerned with Honnavar Police station Cr.No.30/2015 to conduct postmortem on the dead body of a female by name Shabreen Abid Shaikh. Along with the requisition I received dead body of the said female at the mortuary of our hospital. I conducted postmortem at the mortuary of our hospital between 3.15 pm and 4.15pm. On my examination I found the dead body to be of a female aged about 25 years. Rigor mortis was present all over the body. Foley's catheter insitu was found on the body. Central venus Catheter insitu was found. Blue colour ink marked was present over palmar aspect of left thumb. On examination in found the following external injuries:-

Primary and secondary, superficial to deep, infected burns present over the scalp, face, neck, front and back of chest, front of middle part of abdomen, back of adbomen, right upper limb, left arm, inner aspect of left forearm and hand, front of both thighs, except two palm breadth over front

of chest in the breast region, left palm and external genitalia. Most part of burnt area covered with slough and pus. Edges of the burnt area shows signs of healing.

After in section of the body I found both lungs intact and lower lobes consolidated, cut section exuded blood stained purulent fluid. Spleen was enlarged and weighed 260 grams. All other organs were intact. There was 55 to 60 percent burn injuries on the dead body which were ante mortum. The patient was admitted in the hospital on 12.02.2015 at 2.53am and was declared dead on 04.03.2015 at 8.30pm with IP No.4841. In my opinion death of the said person is due septicemia as a result of burn injuries sustained. I issued postmortem report. Now I have seen the same and it bears my signature. The report is marked as Ex.P 31 and signature on the last page is marked as Ex.P 31(A). The burn injuries were superficial to the depth of skin and not gone to the muscle. Septic was due to burn injuries to the skin.

Cross examination by Sri.SBS:-

2. It is false to suggest that the death of the above person has occurred due to circulatory failure of the lungs and not due to septicemia. After 5 minutes of receiving requisition from Taluka Executive Magistrate I commenced postmortem. It is false to suggest that I have not received any requisition. It is false to suggest that on a phone call made, I have conducted postmortem. It is false to suggest that my assistant has conducted postmortem. I have conducted postmortem myself but my assistant has helped me. It is false to suggest that I have issued postmortem report without conducting postmortem.

Re examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Prl.District & Sessions Judge,
Uttara Kannada, Karwar.