

Shokeen Vs. State of Haryana

Present: Shri Saleem Ahmed, Advocate for petitioner-accused.
Shri Honey, Public Prosecutor for respondent-State.

Instant application for regular bail under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. has been presented today. It be checked and registered.

At the outset, learned counsel for petitioner has made statement as under:

“It has come to my knowledge that another bail application on behalf of petitioner has already been filed and argued before this Court. Hence, I withdraw the instant bail application. ”

The petitioner-accused has got filed two separate regular bail application through two different counsels and there is affidavit in both the bail applications that this is the first bail application on behalf of petitioner. One of the bail applications filed yesterday on behalf of petitioner, has already been decided after hearing arguments. Hence, in view of statement made by learned counsel for petitioner, the instant bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is dismissed as withdrawn. Petitioner is burdened with costs of Rs. 2,000/-to be deposited in DLSA, Nuh for getting file wrong affidavit and also for wasting precious time of Court. Costs of Rs.2,000/-deposited. File be consigned to record room after due compliance.

Date of Order :07.10.2022

[Dinesh Kumar]

Stenographer-III

(Sandeep Garg)

Sessions Judge, Nuh

UID No. HR0068