

RA 16/2017

Smt. Indira Donga Naik

V/s.

**Smt. Sushila Achut Naik,
dead by her LR's**

**Shri. Udaya S/o Achut
Naik and Others**

Case is taken before the Lok-Adalath.

The Appellant and her counsel present.

The counsel for the respondent No.1 files memo stating that respondent No.1 died on 24.04.2020 and remaining respondents are the legal heirs of respondent No.1 and same is forthcoming in the order dated 13.09.2022.

The Respondent No.2, 3(a), 3(b), 4(a), 4(b), 4(c), 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10(a), 12, 13 and their counsel present.

The counsel for the respondent No.11 files memo stating that respondent No.11 died on 23.12.2023 and respondent No.12 and 13 are his legal heirs, and same is forthcoming in the order dated 12.03.2026.

The counsels appearing for the parties have identified their respective parties.

Both the parties have filed the compromise petition, stating that the matter has been settled between the parties.

In the said compromise petition, the appellant has agreed to take 40% share and all the respondents have agreed to take 60% of the share jointly in the properties.

Perused the Compromise Petition and also perused the records.

The Appeal is disposed off in terms of the Compromise Petition and Judgment of the Trial Court is modified in terms of the compromise petition.

The office is directed to draw decree in terms of the Compromise petition.

The Compromise petition shall forms part and parcel of the Decree.

Advocate Conciliator Judicial Conciliator