

Parmod Kumar
13th Addl. Sessions Judge
Rohtas at Sasaram

A.B.P. No. 1413 of 2026

IN THE COURT OF 13th ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SASARAM ROHTAS
Present: Parmod Kumar, 13th Addl. Sessions Judge, Sasaram

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1413 of 2026
(Arising out of Sasaram(T) P.S Case No. 382 of 2026 registered for the offence u/s 85, 115(2), 74, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S 2023 and 3/4 D.P Act 1961.)

1. Lalo Devi, aged about 58 years
W/o- Dev Kumar Chourasia
 2. Dev Kumar Chourasia, aged about 60 years
S/o- Shiv Bhagat Chourasia
Both/R/o Vill.- Sirisiya, P.S.- Karaghar
District- Rohtas
- Petitioners

VERSUS

The State of Bihar

...O.P.

Present:

For the Petitioner ----- Ld. Counsel Sri Dinesh Tiwary.
For the State ----- Ld. Addl. PP Sri Ram Ayodhya Singh.

ORDER

Date: 20.07.2026

The petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection with Sasaram(T) P.S Case No. 382 of 2026 registered for the offence u/s 85, 115(2), 74, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S 2023 and 3/4 D.P Act 1961 have filed the present anticipatory bail petition.

The prosecution case is based on written Information of Informant Kiran Kumari alleging therein that her marriage was solemnised on 29.04.2025 with Sanjay Kumar Chourasia. After marriage husband who was employed in Railway as group D was selected for Driver in Railway. Thereafter her father-in-law, mother-in-law, Nanad and brother-in-law started harassing her physically and mentally and they also tried to declare her mentally unsound. And started pressurizing her to bring Rs. 35 lakh in dowry from her father only then she will be kept otherwise they will have second marriage of her husband after taking dowry. That her husband ask her to stay with his parents and he will keep another wife with him where he is working. It is also alleged that finding the Informant alone Petitioner no. 2 used to sexually harass her and upon objection she was beaten. It is alleged that her mother-in-law and nanad were also involved in the assault. She has further alleged that her mother-in-law and nanad snatched away her gold ornaments. She has further alleged that they ejected her out of their house.

The learned counsel for the Petitioners have submitted that the Petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Further submitted that the Petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. Further submitted that all family members along with married sister and their husband have been made accused in this false and fabricated case with or view to put undue pressure upon the in laws family. This act of the Informant in itself is a cruelty towards her in laws. Further submitted that Informant started behaving abnormally from the day she came to her matrimonial house. Then her husband took her in the clinic of Dr. Nupur Niharika Neuro Psychiatrist, Patna on the advice of her father, where she was treated but did not cure. Further submitted that Petitioners are peace loving person having no criminal antecedents so there is no

Parmod Kumar
13th Addl. Sessions Judge
Rohtas at Sasaram
chance of absconding.

A.B.P. No. 1413 of 2026

Learned Addl. PP has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of Anticipatory bail to Petitioners and requested for its dismissal.

Heard the learned counsel for the Petitioners and Ld. Addl. P.P for the state and perused the record including the copy of case diary. From perusal of the record it transpires that Informant is the daughter-in-law of the Petitioners. And it has been alleged that in 2025 Informant marriage was solemnized with Petitioner's son and at that time Petitioner's son was working as group-D in Railway. But subsequently he was selected as Driver. It has been alleged that Informant has been living with the Petitioner's while her husband is living at Purnea where he is posted. Subsequently Petitioner's, their daughter and son-in-law started subjecting her to physical and cruelty and pressurizing her to bring a dowry of Rs. 35 lakh from her parents else they will solemnize second marriage of their son and desert her. The Informant and other witnesses in their statement recorded at various paras of the case diary have alleged that when Informant father went to their house for reconciliation, they insulted him in presence of other peoples and turned him away from his door. They have also alleged that later they took away all gold ornaments of the Informant and ejected her from their house. It has been alleged that they wanted her to be declared unsound. Even during hearing of the bail petition. Petitioner no. 2 rejected possibility any conciliation and prospect of Informant being allowed to join her husband at her workplace.

Thus, considering the peculiar facts of the case as narrated above that this court is of view that Petitioners are not entitled to the extraordinary privilege of the anticipatory bail at this stage. Accordingly, their prayer for anticipatory bail petition is hereby **rejected**.

Sd/-
(Parmod Kumar)
13th Addl. Sessions Judge
Rohtas at Sasaram

Date of order	20.07.2026
Date of Reserving order	09.07.2026
Uploading date	28.07.2026
Uploaded by	Steno