

**IN THE COURT OF THE SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC,
TIPTUR.**

MVC No. 684/2024

PW-2

Oath is administered to the witness on 05.02.2026

Chief Examination by: Sri. BAB Counsel for Petitioner

**I am submitting an affidavit for my chief examination, stating that
all the facts are true and correct.**

I am submitting the following documents:

Ex.P. 21 : OPD

Ex.P. 22 : Case sheet

Ex.P. 23 : 12 old X-rays

Ex.P. 24 : 2 New X-rays

Cross examination by: Sri. AMV counsel for Respondent

No.2

I can recognize the patient. Today the patient is not present before the court. I can not say from which hospital the patient was referred to our hospital. There is no document to that effect. As per the records, the accident

took place on 27.03.2024 and he was admitted on the next day morning the patient came to Victoria Hospital for treatment. The patient did not give referral letter from Government Hospital Tiptur. It is false to suggest that in injury No.1 and 2 and Injury No. 3 and 4 interrelated. Now I see Ex.P6 wound certificate in which only two injuries were mentioned. Witness voluntarily says at that time the concerned medical officer who examined the patient did not take X-ray and therefore he was unable to mention all injuries. It is false to suggest that though the petitioner sustained only two injuries I have shown four injuries in the records to help the petitioner in getting higher compensation.

Now I see Ex.P6 wound certificate in which only injury to left lower limb is mentioned and it is not mentioned about the injury on the right limb. Witness voluntarily says at that time the concerned medical officer who examined the patient did not take X-ray and might the patient was serious and therefore he could not have examined her thoroughly and sent the patient to higher treatment. It is true to suggest that generally a medical officer asks the patient about injuries and mention the

same in the wound certificate. Witness voluntarily says that I do not know whether the patient was conscious to say about injuries to him at that time.

The first surgery was conducted on 31.03.2024 and another surgery was conducted on 11.04.2024 and between this period she was under clinical examination and haemodynamic stabilization. She was discharged on 19.04.2024. At the time of discharge she was fit for discharge. She was stable. When it is suggested to the witness that whether the operation was successful or not, the witness has stated that we have performed the surgery at level best. Post operative X-ray was taken and then discharged. I cannot say the exact dates of follow up treatment in between the date of discharge and on the last date of follow up treatment. Witness voluntarily says the patient he was attending the follow up treatment regularly. It is false to suggest that she was not taking follow up treatment regularly. I cannot say whether the deformities stated in my evidence affidavit was shown in OPD of follow up treatment. It is false to suggest that the deformities mentioned in my evidence affidavit happens only when the patient go against the advice of a doctor. It is false to

suggest that the petitioner has no such deformities as mentioned in my affidavit and I am falsely deposing the same to help the petitioner in getting higher compensation. It is true to suggest that I have not given radiology report to all 14 X-rays.

It is false to suggest that I have not given disability to the petitioner on basis of guidelines of Central Government. I have not given separate sheets for the calculation on mobility and stability components. Witness voluntarily says that he mentioned the same in the evidence affidavit. It is false to suggest that after union of fracture there will be no such deformities.

It is false to suggest that all the injuries mentioned in the wound certificate and case sheet are simple in nature. It is false to suggest that the petitioner can do his routine works without assistance from any body. It is false to suggest that I am falsely deposing that the petitioner has got disability to upper-limb and lower-limb and to the whole body at 30%. It is false to suggest that I have shown disability at higher end to help the petitioner.

It is false to suggest that I've submitted false documents to help the petitioner and also It is false to

suggest that I'm falsely deposing before the court to help the petitioner. Further, It is false to suggest that I did not give any treatment to the petitioner.

Re-examination- Nil

(R.O.A & I.C.)

(Mohamed Arifulla C.F)
SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE JMFC,
TIPTUR