



**IN THE COURT OF V ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE, TUMKUR (SITTING AT TIPTUR).**

Dated this 7th day of July, 2026

Present:

**SRI. HEMANTH KUMAR. C.R. B.A.L., L.L.B.,
V Addl. District and Sessions Judge
Tumkur (Sitting at Tiptur)**

Crl. Misc.No.10256/2026

- Petitioner/s : 1. Manu B.R.**
S/o Rangaswamy
Aged about 26 years,
- 2. Chandrashekar**
S/o Kalaiah
Aged about 44 years,
- 3. Mahadevamma**
W/o Rangaswamy
Aged about 52 years,
- 4. Nandini Y.K.**
W/o Chandrashekar
D/o Kariyappa
Aged about 30 years,
- 5. Kanya G.D.**
W/o Manu B.R.
Aged about 30 years,
- 6. Deepak B.R.**
S/o Rangaswamy
Aged about 24 years,
- Kiran**
S/o Manjuatha
Aged about 21 years,



7. R/o: Muskondali Village,
Kondli Post, Nittur Hobli,
Gubbi Taluk, Tumkur District.

(By: Sri.R.M.J., Advocate)
V/s

Respondent : State by
Dandinashivara Police Station.

(By Public Prosecutor)

Order on bail petition filed U/sec 482 of Bharathiya Nagarika
Suraksha Sanhita 2023

This petition is filed by the petitioners seeking anticipatory bail U/Sec.482 of BNSS, 2023 in Crime No.64/2026 of Dandinashivara Police station for the offences punishable U/Sec.74, 115(2), 118(1), 119(1), 126(2), 54, 351(2), 352 R/w Section 190 of Bharathiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023.

2) The brief facts of the case :

On 04.06.2026 at about 6.10 p.m., the complainant Santosh S/o Shivakumaraswamy has lodged the complaint alleging that the complainant is a journalist by profession. On an earlier occasion, when he had gone to report on a road-related dispute in Badenahalli Village, certain persons had allegedly snatched his mobile phone, abused him in filthy language, and attempted to assault him. In that regard, he had lodged a complaint before the Gubbi Police Station, where the family members of Manu B.R. were summoned and counselled. The complainant further alleged that, nursing the said grudge, the accused subsequently committed the present incident. On 02.06.2026, at about 4.02 p.m., while the complainant and his



mother, Girijamma, aged about 53 years, were proceeding to Sampige Village for their personal work, they were intercepted near Kodipalya Gate junction of Sampige Village, Dandinashivara Hobli, Turuvekere Taluk, by Manu B.R. S/o Rangaswamy, Chandrashekar S/o Kalaiah, Mahadevamma W/o Rangaswamy, Nandini W/o Chandrashekar, Kanya W/o Manu B.R., Deepak B.R. S/o Rangaswamy, all residents of Musukondi Village, Nittur Hobli, Gubbi Taluk, who allegedly arrived on four-five motorcycles. According to the the complainant, the accused wrongfully restrained the complainant, abused him in filthy language, threatened him with dire consequences, and forcibly snatched his mobile phone. That Chandrashekar, Deepak B.R and Kiran restrained the complainant by holding his hands and legs, while Manu B.R., at the instigation of the others, assaulted him with an eucalyptus stick on both legs, back, neck, right shoulder, and head causing injuries. Chandrashekar allegedly tore the complainant's white shirt, dragged him by the collar in a public place, abused him in filthy language, and humiliated him.

3) The complainant further alleged that when the complainant's mother, Girijamma, intervened to rescue him, Nandini assaulted her on the chest and head. Mahadevamma and Kanya allegedly restrained her, while Manu B.R. slapped her, causing her nose stud to fall off and resulting in a bleeding injury to her nose. Manu B.R. allegedly pulled her green and white saree and blouse, tore the saree, and threw it by the roadside and the accused further assaulted her with a coconut frond on her shoulder, cheek and back, causing injuries. During the incident, Manu B.R., forcibly removed



Girijamma's mangalasutra, due to which the 3-gram mangalasutra pendant and approximately 10 grams of gold beads went missing. Her 1 gram nose stud was also lost. The accused allegedly abused her in obscene and derogatory language, assaulted the complainant by throwing him to the ground and trampling him and threatened to kill him if he approached the police again. They also allegedly threatened Girijamma and they would enter their house and assault them if any further police complaint was lodged, before fleeing from the spot on motorcycle. That Prasanna Kumar S/o Panchaksharaiah, resident of Kechanahalli Village, Nittur Hobli, Gubbi Taluk, and Dodda Channaiah S/o Kurichannaiah, a resident of Shivananjappanagara, Kadaba Hobli, Gubbi Taluk, witnessed the incident and shifted the complainant and his mother to the Government Hospital, Gubbi, where they received treatment. After obtaining medical treatment, the complainant approached the police station and lodged the present complaint. Therefore, the complainant requested to take legal action against the accused persons. As such alleging the said facts, the complainant has lodged the complaint and the police on receipt of the said complaint have registered the case against the petitioners in Crime No.64/2026 for the offences punishable U/Sec.74, 115(2), 118(1), 119(1), 126(2), 54, 351(2), 352 R/w Section 190 of Bharathiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023.

4) The petitioners being accused persons aggrieved by the same have preferred this bail petition on the following among other grounds:

The petitioners submit that the petitioners are innocent and law-biding citizens and have not committed any of the offences



alleged in the complainant. The petitioners are permanent residents of the address shown in the cause title and have deep roots in society, belong to respectable families, and have aged parents, wives and children dependent upon them. The petitioners further submit that the petitioners are in no way connected with the alleged incident, either directly or indirectly and have been falsely implicated at the instance of persons who are not on cordial terms with them. The petitioners further submit that the petitioners are sole earning members of their respective families, and their family members are dependent on them on their livelihood and although the petitioners have not committed any offence, the respondent police falsely registered the present case against them only with the intention of harassing them and tarnishing their reputation and the petitioners further undertake not to tamper with the prosecution witnesses or flee from the course of justice. The petitioners further submit that the petitioners are ready and willing to abide by the terms and condition imposed by this court and to co-operate with the investigation and also ready to furnish substantial sureties. Hence, prays to allow the bail petition.

5) After service of notice, the learned Public Prosecutor has appeared and filed objection contending that the offences alleged against the petitioners are grievous in nature and also further contended that the complaint averments prima-facie shows that the petitioners are involved in the alleged offences. The learned Public Prosecutor has further contended that the offence alleged against the petitioners U/Sec. 74 of BNS, 2023 is punishable with imprisonment up to 5 years and fine. The learned Public Prosecutor



has contended that the petitioners have committed the offence against the women and the same is grievous in nature. The learned Public Prosecutor has further contended that investigation is still in progress and the investigation officer has to collect evidence and also to record statement of the witnesses. As such, at this stage, if the bail petition of the accused persons is allowed there is a chances of threatening prosecution witnesses, destroy evidence, abscond and hamper the trial. Hence, prays to reject the bail petition.

6) Heard arguments and perused the records. The points that arise for my consideration are:

1) Whether the petitioners have made out sufficient grounds to allow the petition filed U/Sec. 482 of Bharathiya Nagarika Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ?

2) What order?

My findings on the above points are as under:

- 1) Point No.1 : In the Affirmative
- 2) Point No.2 : As per the final order
for the following:

REASONS

7) **POINT No.1:** The petitioners counsel has addressed the arguments contending that the petitioners are innocent and law-biding citizens and have not committed any of the offences alleged in



the complainant. The petitioners counsel further contended that the petitioners are permanent residents of the address shown in the cause title and have deep roots in society, belong to respectable families, and have aged parents, wives and children dependent upon them. The petitioners counsel further contended that the petitioners are in no way connected with the alleged incident, either directly or indirectly and have been falsely implicated at the instance of persons who are not on cordial terms with them. The petitioners counsel further contended that the petitioners are sole earning members of their respective families, and their family members are dependent on them on their livelihood and although the petitioners have not committed any offence, the respondent police falsely registered the present case against them only with the intention of harassing them and tarnishing their reputation and the petitioners further undertake not to tamper with the prosecution witnesses or flee from the course of justice. The petitioners counsel further contended that the petitioners are ready and willing to abide by the terms and condition imposed by this court and to co-operate with the investigation and also ready to furnish substantial sureties. Hence, prays to allow the bail petition.

8) The learned Public Prosecutor has addressed the arguments contending that the offences alleged against the petitioners are grievous in nature and also further contended that the complaint averments prima-facie shows that the petitioners are involved in the alleged offences. The learned Public Prosecutor has further contended that the offence alleged against the petitioners U/Sec. 74 of BNS, 2023 is punishable with imprisonment up to 5 years and



fine. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that the petitioners have committed the offence against the women and the same is grievous in nature. The learned Public Prosecutor has further contended that investigation is still in progress and the investigation officer has to collect evidence and also to record statement of the witnesses. As such, at this stage, if the bail petition of the accused persons is allowed there is a chances of threatening prosecution witnesses, destroy evidence, abscond and hamper the trial. Hence, prays to reject the bail petition.

9) On perusal of the records, it is noticed that the of case of the prosecution is that on 04.06.2026 at about 6.10 p.m., the complainant Santosh S/o Shivakumaraswamy has lodged the complaint alleging that the complainant is a journalist by profession. On an earlier occasion, when he had gone to report on a road-related dispute in Badenahalli Village, certain persons had allegedly snatched his mobile phone, abused him in filthy language, and attempted to assault him. In that regard, he had lodged a complaint before the Gubbi Police Station, where the family members of Manu B.R. were summoned and counselled. The complainant further alleged that, nursing the said grudge, the accused subsequently committed the present incident. On 02.06.2026, at about 4.02 p.m., while the complainant and his mother, Girijamma, aged about 53 years, were proceeding to Sampige Village for their personal work, they were intercepted near Kodipalya Gate junction of Sampige Village, Dandinashivara Hobli, Turuvekere Taluk, by Manu B.R. S/o Rangaswamy, Chandrashekar S/o Kalaiah, Mahadevamma W/o Rangaswamy, Nandini W/o Chandrashekar, Kanya W/o Manu B.R.,



Deepak B.R. S/o Rangaswamy, all residents of Musukondi Village, Nittur Hobli, Gubbi Taluk, who allegedly arrived on four-five motorcycles. According to the the complainant, the accused wrongfully restrained the complainant, abused him in filthy language, threatened him with dire consequences, and forcibly snatched his mobile phone. That Chandrashekar, Deepak B.R and Kiran restrained the complainant by holding his hands and legs, while Manu B.R., at the instigation of the others, assaulted him with an eucalyptus stick on both legs, back, neck, right shoulder, and head causing injuries. Chandrashekar allegedly tore the complainant's white shirt, dragged him by the collar in a public place, abused him in filthy language, and humiliated him.

10) The complainant further alleged that when the complainant's mother, Girijamma, intervened to rescue him, Nandini assaulted her on the chest and head. Mahadevamma and Kanya allegedly restrained her, while Manu B.R. slapped her, causing her nose stud to fall off and resulting in a bleeding injury to her nose. Manu B.R. allegedly pulled her green and white saree and blouse, tore the saree, and threw it by the roadside and the accused further assaulted her with a coconut frond on her shoulder, cheek and back, causing injuries. During the incident, Manu B.R., forcibly removed Girijamma's mangalasutra, due to which the 3-gram mangalasutra pendant and approximately 10 grams of gold beads went missing. Her 1 gram nose stud was also lost. The accused allegedly abused her in obscene and derogatory language, assaulted the complainant by throwing him to the ground and trampling him and threatened to kill him if he approached the police again. They



also allegedly threatened Girijamma and they would enter their house and assault them if any further police complaint was lodged, before fleeing from the spot on motorcycle. That Prasanna Kumar S/o Panchaksharaiah, resident of Kechanahalli Village, Nittur Hobli, Gubbi Taluk, and Dodda Channaiah S/o Kurichannaiah, a resident of Shivananjappanagara, Kadaba Hobli, Gubbi Taluk, witnessed the incident and shifted the complainant and his mother to the Government Hospital, Gubbi, where they received treatment. After obtaining medical treatment, the complainant approached the police station and lodged the present complaint. Therefore, the complainant requested to take legal action against the accused persons. As such alleging the said facts, the complainant has lodged the complaint.

11) On perusal of the records, it is noticed that the police on receipt of the said complaint have registered the case in Crime No.64/2026 for the offences punishable U/Sec.74, 115(2), 118(1), 119(1), 126(2), 54, 351(2), 352 R/w Section 190 of Bharathiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023. The petitioners have contended that they are ready and willing to abide by the terms and conditions imposed by this court and also co-operate with the investigation. On perusal of the records, it is noticed that the offences alleged against the petitioners though are grievous in nature and are not punishable with imprisonment for life or death sentence. In the present case, the investigation is still pending, at this stage, it cannot be said that the petitioners are involved in the alleged offences. The apprehension of the prosecution is that if the bail petition of the accused persons is allowed, there is every chances of petitioners



threatening the prosecution witnesses, destroy evidence, abscond and hamper the trial. The guilt of the petitioners has to be proved during the course of trial as the case is still under the stage of investigation at this stage it cannot be said that the petitioners are involved in the alleged offence. The petitioners having contended that they are ready and willing to abide by the terms and conditions and also co-operate with the investigation. The said apprehension of the prosecution can be satisfied by imposing stringent conditions. Considering all these facts, I am of the opinion that the petitioners can be enlarged on bail by imposing certain conditions, which would meet the apprehension of the prosecution. Accordingly, I answer the point No.1 in **Affirmative**.

12) **POINT No.2:** For the reasons stated above, I proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

The petition filed by the petitioners U/Sec.482 of BNSS, 2023 is hereby allowed.

The respondent – Dandinashivara Police is directed to release the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.64/2026 for the offences punishable U/Sec.74, 115(2), 118(1), 119(1), 126(2), 54, 351(2), 352 R/w Section 190 of Bharathiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023 on obtaining self bond for Rs.50,000/- each with one surety for the like-sum subject to the following;



Conditions:

- 1) The petitioners shall attend the concerned police in between 10.00 A.M., to 5.00 P.M., on 15th of every month and mark their attendance till filing of the charge sheet and co-operate for investigation.
- 2) The petitioners shall not hamper or tamper with the prosecution witnesses or evidence in any manner.
- 3) The petitioners shall not commit or involve in similar offences in future.
- 4) The petitioners shall produce address proof document and not violate the conditions.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by her, script thereof revised, corrected, signed and then pronounced by me in the open Court on this the 7th day of July, 2026)

Sd/-

(Hemanth Kumar C.R.)

V Addl. District & Sessions Judge
Tumkur (Sitting at Tiptur)