



**IN THE COURT OF V ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE, TUMKUR (SITTING AT TIPTUR).**

Dated this 10th day of June, 2026

Present:

**SRI. HEMANTH KUMAR. C.R. B.A.L., L.L.B.,
V Addl. District and Sessions Judge
Tumkur (Sitting at Tiptur)
Crl. Misc.No. 10222/2026**

- Petitioner/s : 1. Naveen Kumar H.**
S/o Honnappa
Aged about 30 years,
- 2. Honnappa**
S/o Ramanna
Aged about 60 years,
- 3. Nagarathnamma**
W/o Honnappa
Aged about 52 years,
- 4. Dinesh H.V.**
S/o Vishwanath H.R.
Aged about 40 years,

All are residents of
Halenahalli Village,
Honnnavalli Hobli,
Tiptur Taluk,
Tumkur District.

(By: Sri.H.C.V., Advocate)

V/s

Respondent : State by
Tiptur Town Police Station
(By Public Prosecutor)



**Order on bail petition filed U/sec 482 of Bharathiya Nagarika
Suraksha Sanhita 2023**

This petition is filed by the petitioners seeking anticipatory bail U/Sec.482 of BNSS, 2023 in Crime No.71/2026 of Tiptur Town Police station for the offences punishable U/Sec.318(4), 75, 351(2), 352 R/w Section 3(5) of Bharathiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS).

2) The brief facts of the case :

On 03.05.2026 at about 5.30 p.m., the complainant Shyla S.K. D/o Krishnappa has lodged the complaint alleging that the complainant is working as a part-time teacher and residing at Shivara, Gurugadahalli Post Tiptur Taluk. One Naveen Kumar H. S/o Honnappa, resident of Halenahalli, Halkurike Post, Honnavalli Hobli, Tiptur Taluk, Tumkur District, became acquainted with her through the Uppara Matrimony platform during June-2025. Thereafter, he expressed his intention to marry her. The complainant first met Naveen Kumar H. near Tiptur Railway Station on 27.07.2025. On that day, Naveen Kumar allegedly obtained Rs.50,000/- from her. The complainant disclosed to him that she was suffering from a skin condition known as Vitiligo. Despite knowing the said fact, Naveen Kumar allegedly assured her that he would marry her. However, he stated that his family would not immediately approve of the marriage and suggested that they live separately after marriage for two years and that his family would eventually accept them after the birth of a child. The complainant further alleged that Naveen Kumar repeatedly requested money on the pretext of starting a business and maintaining their future life



together. He allegedly persuaded her to borrow money from others without informing her family members and assured her that the borrowed amounts would be repaid within fifteen days with additional returns. He also allegedly compelled her to pledge her gold ornaments and bank cheques to raise funds. Believing his promises, the complainant transferred money to the bank accounts of Naveen Kumar through Bank deposits, cash payments and PhonePe transactions. Due to continuous pressure and mental harassment by Naveen Kumar demanding money, she paid him a total sum of Rs.7,50,000/- in installments. Naveen Kumar allegedly promised to repay the amount along with an additional sum. Thereafter, he informed her to make arrangements for their marriage. Accordingly, marriage arrangements were made at Sri.Siddalingeshwara Swamy Kalyana Mantapa, Yedyuru, Kunigal Taluk, and the marriage was scheduled on 22.11.2025 and 23.11.2025 during the auspicious muhurta between 9.15 a.m. and 10.15 a.m. However, just two hours before the scheduled ceremony, Naveen Kumar allegedly informed her that he could not attend, stating that his parents had taken away his mobile phone and were not permitting him to leave the house. Subsequently, he allegedly informed her that he would not marry her and advised her to marry someone else.

3) The complainant further alleged that thereafter Naveen Kumar stopped responding to her calls and messages. He allegedly uploaded certain videos and attempted to blackmail her. He also allegedly threatened to commit suicide and falsely implicate her and her family by leaving behind a note containing their names. Further he allegedly abused her in filthy language through himself and



others action on his behalf. Naveen Kumar's father, Honnappa, his mother Nagarathnamma and his relative Dinesh had initially assured repayment of the money but later abused and threatened her with dire consequences. The proposed marriage and her association with Naveen Kumar had become known to members of the public, causing damage to her reputation and dignity. Several marriage proposals received by her were withdrawn after prospective families came to know about her relationship with Naveen Kumar. Her parents became mentally distressed and even contemplated suicide due to the humiliation and financial burden. Persons from whom money had been borrowed were also demanding repayment.

4) The complainant further alleged that Naveen Kumar had deceitfully obtained all her money and had caused her severe financial loss, mental agony and social humiliation. Naveen Kumar had physically touched her inappropriately and behaved disrespectfully towards her while warning her not to disclose the same to anyone. Naveen Kumar was liable to marry her and repay the money obtained from her. According to her, the total amount due was Rs.8,40,000/-, compromising Rs.7,50,000/- paid by her, Rs.50,000/- towards additional promised returns and Rs.40,000/- spent towards marriage preparations. Out of the said amount, Naveen Kumar had repaid only Rs.99,000/-, leaving a balance of Rs.7,41,000/- payable to her. The complainant further furnished the mobile numbers, Phonepe numbers and bank account details of Naveen Kumar and stated that she had transferred the money from her bank account to his accounts through various transactions. Therefore, the complainant requested to take legal action against



Naveen Kumar H. As such alleging the said facts, the complainant has lodged the complaint and the police on receipt of the said complaint have registered the case in Crime No.71/2026 for the offences punishable U/Sec.318(4), 75, 351(2), 352 R/w Section 3(5) of Bharathiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS).

5) The petitioners being accused persons aggrieved by the same has preferred this bail petition on the following among other grounds:

The petitioner submit that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present case on the basis of fabricated and baseless allegations and the petitioners have no connection with the alleged offence. The petitioners further submit that the petitioner No.1 is a graduate preparing for competitive examinations, petitioner No.2 is a Senior citizen suffering from age-related ailments, petitioner No.3 is a homemaker, and petitioner No.4 is a daily wage labourer and the sole breadwinner of his family and their arrest would cause severe hardship to their dependent family members. The petitioners further submit that the petitioners are respectable and law-abiding citizen with permanent residence and roots in society and there is no likelihood of their absconding or evading the process of law. The petitioners further submit that the alleged offences are not punishable with death or imprisonment for life and the petitioners undertake, they shall not tamper with evidence influence witnesses or obstruct the court of justice. The petitioners further submit that the petitioners are ready and willing to abide by the terms and condition imposed by this court and to co-



operate with the investigation and also ready to furnish sureties. Hence, prayed to allow the bail petition.

6) After service of notice, the learned Public Prosecutor has appeared and filed objection contending that the offences alleged against the petitioners are grievous in nature and the complaint averments prima-facie shows that the petitioners are involved in the alleged offences. The learned Public Prosecutor has further contended that the offence alleged against the petitioners U/Sec. 318(4) of BNS, 2023 is punishable with imprisonment up to 7 years and fine. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that presence of accused persons are necessary for custodial interrogation, as the investigation is still in progress and the investigation officer has to collect evidence and also to record statement of the witnesses. As such, at this stage, if the bail petition of the accused persons is allowed there is a chances of threatening prosecution witnesses, destroy evidence, abscond and hamper the trial. Hence, prays to reject the bail petition.

7) Heard arguments and perused the records. The points that arise for my consideration are:

- 1) Whether the petitioners have made out sufficient grounds to allow the petition filed U/Sec. 482 of Bharathiya Nagarika Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ?
- 2) What order?



My findings on the above points are as under:

- 1) Point No.1 : In the Affirmative
- 2) Point No.2 : As per the final order
for the following:

REASONS

8) **POINT No.1:** The petitioner counsel has addressed the arguments contending that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present case on the basis of fabricated and baseless allegations and the petitioners have no connection with the alleged offence. The petitioners counsel further contended that the petitioner No.1 is a graduate preparing for competitive examinations, petitioner No.2 is a Senior citizen suffering from age-related ailments, petitioner No.3 is a homemaker, and petitioner No.4 is a daily wage labourer and the sole breadwinner of his family and their arrest would cause severe hardship to their dependent family members. The petitioners counsel further contended that the petitioners are respectable and law-abiding citizen with permanent residence and roots in society and there is no likelihood of their absconding or evading the process of law. The petitioners counsel further contended that the alleged offences are not punishable with death or imprisonment for life and the petitioners undertake, they shall not tamper with evidence influence witnesses or obstruct the court of justice. The petitioners counsel further contended that the petitioners are ready and willing to abide by the terms and



condition imposed by this court and to co-operate with the investigation and also ready to furnish sureties. Hence, prayed to allow the bail petition.

9) The learned Public Prosecutor has addressed the arguments contending that the offences alleged against the petitioners are grievous in nature and the complaint averments prima-facie shows that the petitioners are involved in the alleged offences. The learned Public Prosecutor has further contended that the offence alleged against the petitioners U/Sec. 318(4) of BNS, 2023 is punishable with imprisonment up to 7 years and fine. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that presence of accused persons are necessary for custodial interrogation, as the investigation is still in progress and the investigation officer has to collect evidence and also to record statement of the witnesses. As such, at this stage, if the bail petition of the accused persons is allowed there is a chances of threatening prosecution witnesses, destroy evidence, abscond and hamper the trial. Hence, prays to reject the bail petition.

10) On perusal of the records, it is noticed that the prosecution of the case is that on 03.05.2026 at about 5.30 p.m., the complainant Shyla S.K. has lodged the complaint alleging that she is a part-time teacher and resident of Shivara, Gurugadahalli Post, Tiptur Taluk, is that she became acquainted with Naveen Kumar H. through a matrimonial platform in June-2025. After assuring her that he would marry her despite knowing about her medical condition (Vitiligo), Naveen Kumar H. allegedly induced her to part with money on the pretext of starting a business and



building their future life together. Believing his assurances, she transferred a total sum of Rs.7,50,000/- to him through bank transfers, cash payments, and PhonePe transactions. The complainant further alleged that marriage arrangements were made and a wedding date was fixed for November 2025. However, shortly before the scheduled marriage, Naveen Kumar H. allegedly refused to marry her, stopped responding to her calls, and messages, and subjected her to threats, blackmails and mental harassment. That Naveen Kumar H., along with his family members and relative, failed to return the money and instead abused and threatened her. According to the complainant, the accused's actions caused her sever financial loss, mental agony, and damage of her reputation, resulting in the withdrawal of other marriage proposals and distress to her family. She alleged that a sum of Rs.7,41,000/- remained due after partial repayment. Therefore, the complainant requested to take legal action against the accused. As such, alleging the said facts, the complainant has lodged the present complaint.

11) On perusal of the records, it is noticed that the police on receipt of the said complaint have registered the case in Crime No.71/2026 for the offences punishable U/Sec.318(4), 75, 351(2), 352 R/w Section 3(5) of Bharathiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS). The petitioners have contended that they are ready and willing to abide by the terms and conditions imposed by this court and also co-operate with the investigation. On perusal of the records, it is noticed that the offences alleged against the petitioners though are grievous in nature and are not punishable with imprisonment for life



or death sentence. In the present case, the investigation is still pending and at this stage, it cannot be said that the petitioners involved in the alleged offences. The apprehension of the prosecution is that, at this stage, if the bail petition of the accused persons is allowed, there is every chance of petitioners threatening the prosecution witnesses, destroy evidence, abscond and hamper the trial. The guilt of the petitioners has to be proved during the course of trial as the case is still under the stage of investigation and the petitioners having contended that they are ready and willing to abide by the terms and conditions and also co-operate with the investigation. The said apprehension of the prosecution can be satisfied by imposing stringent conditions. Considering all these facts, I am of the opinion that the petitioners can be enlarged on bail by imposing certain conditions, which would meet the apprehension of the prosecution. Accordingly, I answer the point No.1 in **Affirmative.**

12) **POINT No.2:** For the reasons stated above, I proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

The petition filed by the petitioners U/Sec.482 of BNSS, 2023 is hereby allowed.

The respondent – Tiptur Town Police is directed to release the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.71/2026 for the offences punishable U/Sec.318(4), 75, 351(2), 352 R/w



Section 3(5) of Bharathiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS) on obtaining self bond for Rs.1,00,000/-each with one surety for the like-sum subject to the following;

Conditions:

- 1) The petitioners shall attend the concerned police in between 10.00 A.M., to 5.00 P.M., on 15th of every month and mark their attendance till filing of the charge sheet and cooperate for investigation.
- 2) The petitioners shall not hamper or tamper with the prosecution witnesses or evidence in any manner.
- 3) The petitioners shall not commit or involve in similar offences in future.
- 4) The petitioners shall produce address proof document and not violate the conditions.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by her, script thereof revised, corrected, signed and then pronounced by me in the open Court on this the 10th day of June, 2026)

Sd/-

(Hemanth Kumar C.R.)

V Addl. District & Sessions Judge
Tumkur (Sitting at Tiptur)